Archives I 10,006—16-8 77.

(1) Number of pages 22

(2) Date of repair 22-1-87

(3) Initials of the Referencer [illegible]

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

P.W.D DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. / B.P. No.	884-W	Press. / Mis.
Dated	31-3-1881	197

R.R.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

884
T

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

31st March 1881, No. 884 W.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

Read the following paper:—

No. 100. Endorsement of the Revenue Department, dated 19th March 1881, No. 760.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 10th March 1881, No. 418.

From C. KOUGH, Esq., Acting Sub-Collector of Madura, to the Collector of Madura, dated Dindigul, 12th February 1881, No. 55.

I HAVE the honor to address you on the subject of the Sub-Collector's bungalow under construction at Dindigul.

2. The work is being carried out on the plan sanctioned by Government.

3. In construction two departures have been made from the specifications submitted with the estimate. Both have been for the benefit of the work by effecting, first, greater durability, and, secondly, saving.

4. These alterations are the substitution of dressed stone for brick and Calicut patent tiles for the ordinary roofing tiles of the country.

5. The first of these produces a direct saving in construction of Rs. 521-12-5; as regards the second, although the tiles are dearer, the timber required is so much less that a saving in this is effected of Rs. 113.

6. The annexed statement shows under these heads the net saving, viz., Rs. 634-12-5.

7. But I wish to point out that in spite of this I shall be unable to complete the work within the estimate. The reasons are two-fold.

8. First, the specification for the portion to be covered with Bengal roofing has been found to be utterly inadequate. The rate allowed is only Rs. 12 per square, whereas the lowest for which the work can be done, as calculated by Mr. Anderson, C.E., is Rs. 32 per square, and no one will undertake it for less. The increase in this item alone would be Rs. 754.

9. The second is that the calculation for wood-work and masonry has been made together in a way that leaves no sufficient margin to cover the cost of timber alone. Roughly the gross timber required will be Rs. 2,000, whereas the specifications would give only about half of this.

10. I must therefore respectfully ask permission to submit herewith a supplemental abstract* estimate, as I do not wish to incur the responsibility of leaving a valuable work so far advanced in an unfinished or unprotected state.

* Together with the original estimate (detail and abstract).

11. I have commenced the work under orders, and, so far as I have gone, have effected saving, and I can fairly challenge any test of execution, but I cannot do impossibilities. I am forced to work by contract, but the rates are so low I can hardly get a man to face them. The wood-work has been absolutely declined by resident carpenters, and my Seristadar has had to travel to Negapatam and Trichinopoly to try and get some one to manage it there.

12. I solicit the favor of early orders.

No. 56.

SUBMITTED to the Board of Revenue for sanction with enclosures, with reference to G.O., No. 1,467 W., 23rd June 1880, and No. 1,987 W., 23rd August 1880, communicated with Board's Proceedings, Miscellaneous No. 8,149, 26th July 1880, and No 10,261, 20th September 1880, respectively. The additional allotment is necessary.

MADURA,
23rd February 1881.

(Signed) H. J. STOKES,
Collector.

SUBMITTED to Government with the request that sanction may be accorded for an additional allotment of Rs. 1,970-11-6.

(True Copy and Extract.)

(Signed) C. A. GALTON,
Secretary.

TRANSFERRED to the Public Works Department with the request that, in the circumstances of the case, an increased allotment may be granted.

(Signed) C. G. MASTER,
Secretary to Government, R.D.

No. 101. ORDER THEREON, 31st March 1881, No. 884 W.

THE original estimate framed by the Public Works Officers for a Sub-Collector's house at Dindigul amounted to Rs. 8,860, but the Sub-Collector in his letter of the 2nd April 1880, Z, printed with G.O., No. 1,467 W., dated 23rd June 1880, asserted that it could be constructed for Rs. 6,385 on certain conditions, the first of which was that the execution of the work must be left to the Revenue Department.

2. It was noticed at the time that there was nothing to show on what the Sub-Collector's estimate was based, and that as a fact the work could not be executed as a legitimate business transaction for the sum named.

3. The estimate was sanctioned for execution by the Revenue Department on the distinct understanding that the Public Works Department were entirely relieved from responsibility in connection therewith.

4. It now appears that the work will actually cost Rs. 8,355 at least and may possibly cost more, and this in spite of a saving of Rs. 634 by an alteration in the materials of construction.

5. The estimate previously submitted by the Sub-Collector, for the framing of which moreover it does not appear who is responsible, appears to have been, as indeed

was to be expected, altogether unreliable, and the Government cannot but condemn the method adopted of non-professional supervision.

6. Under the circumstances, however, the revised estimate, amounting to Rs. 8,355, is sanctioned, the additional amount now required, viz., Rs. 1,970, being appropriated from the Provincial Reserve of 1880-81.

7. This sanction is registered as Provincial No. 103 B. of 1880-81 and classified as Civil Buildings, Original Works. It cancels No. 8 B. of 1880-81.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Revenue Department, with ~~four~~ 8 enclosures.
" Superintending Engineer, Third Circle.
" Examiner of Public Works Accounts.
" Accountant-General.

Exd. E. C. Shunker.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of {1881} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

THE REVENUE DEPARTMENT,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated March, 31st.

Issued

Encl.

G. O., 31st March 1881, No. 884 W.

MARCH.

Nos. 100-101.

Sub-Collector's house at Dindigul.—Sanctions with remarks the revised estimate for constructing the —.

Revenue Department.

No. 418

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Dated 10 March 1880.

Read the following :-

No. 66.

From Charles Hough Esquire
Asst Sub Collector of Madura.

To W. J. Stokes Esquire
Collector of Madura.

Sir,

I have the honor to address you on the subject of the Sub Collector's Bungalow under construction at Dindigul.

2. The work is being carried out on the plan sanctioned by Government.

3. In Construction two departures have been made from the specifications submitted with the Estimate. Both have been for the benefit of the work by effecting first greater durability and secondly saving.

4. These alterations are the substitution of dressed stone for brick & Calicut Patent tiles for the ordinary roofing tiles of the Country.

5. The first of these produces a direct saving in Construction of Rs. 521-12-5.

As

As regards the Second although the tiles are dearer the timber required is so much less that a saving on this is effect-ed of Rs. 113-4-0

6. The annexed statement shews under these heads the nett saving viz Rs 634-12-5.

7. But I wish to point out that in spite of this I shall be unable to complete the work within the Estimate. The reasons are two fold.

8. First the Specification for the portion to be covered with Bazar roofing has been found to be utterly inadequate - the rate allowed is only Rs 12 per Square, whereas the lowest for which the work can be done, as calcu-lated by Mr Anderson C.E. is Rs 32 per square and no one will undertake it for less - the increase in this item alone would be Rs. 754.

9. The Second is that the calculation for wood work and masonry has been made together in a way that leaves no sufficient margin to cover the cost of timber, alone. Roughly the gross timber required will be Rs 2000 whereas the Specifications would give only about half of this.

10. I must therefore respectfully ask permission to submit herewith a Supplemental abstract Estimate, as I do not wish to incur the responcibility of leaving a valuable work

* Together with the orig. Estimate (detail & abstract)

to far advanced in an unfinished or unprotected state.

11. I have commenced the work under orders and so far as I have gone have effected saving & I can fairly challenge any test of execution, but I cannot do impossibilities. I am forced to work by contract, but the rates are so low I can hardly get a man to face them. The wood work has been absolutely declined by resident carpenters and my Sarishtadar has had to travel to Negapatam & Trichinopoly to try & get some one to manage it there

12. I solicit the favor of early orders.

I have &c
(Sd) C. Keough
Asst. Sub-Collector.

Madura Sub-Collectr Office
Dindigul, 22 Feb 1881.

(True Copy)

No. 56.

Submitted to the Board of Revenue for sanction with enclosures with reference to G.O. No. 1467 W 23-6-82 and 1987 W 23-8-82 Communica-ted with Board's Proceedings Nos. No. 8749 26-7-82 and 10261 20-9-82 respectively. The additional allotment is necessary.

Madura
23d Feb/81.

(Sd) H. J. Stokes
Collector

Submitted

1 " 15

Submitted to Government with the request that sanction may be accorded for an additional allotment of Rs. 1970-11-6.

/True Copy & Extract/

Secretary

To

The Secretary to Government R.D.

with 4 orgl Enclosures to the petn.

31 May /80 — 305/9 -
13 July — — 2395 Rupees
23 — — — 2486 Rupees
23 — — — 2457 Rupees
11 September — 3/57 Rupees
16 October — 4136 Rupees
22 — — 4209 Rupees
27 January /81 — 183 Rupees.

A6. Lo. 2831 W. 10-11-79.
" " 1467 W. 23-6-80 (Supl.)
" " 1987 W. 23-8-80.

Mis. No. 760

Transferred to the Public Works Department with the request that, in the circumstances of the case, an increased allotment may be granted.

Secretariat
Secy to Govt
Fort St George
19th March 1881.

A. 28-3. R 30-3
C. 7-3-81 R 19-3-81

Revenue 1881. 296

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue.

No 1339.

Dated 10 March 1881.
Despatched to
Received 11 — do —

Mis. No. 418

SUBJECT.

Requesting Sanction of Govt for an addl allotment of Rs 1970-11-6 for the construction of the Sub Collectors Bungalow at Dindigul. Madura Dt

Transferred

P. W. SECRETARIAT—1870-80. 80-81.

KEEP-WITH. 8/6

Current No. 1777

Rev. Pro. ~~Letter~~ No. 760

Dated the 19th March 1881.

from the Revenue Dept.

Note of Remarks.

The Estimate with Comparative Statement for Constructing a Sub. Collectors House at Dindigul in the Madura Dist. amounts to Rs 7656-7-7 or Rs 1970-11-6 in Excess of the Sanctioned Estimate for the Main building.

The Work is being carried out by the Rev: Dept. on the plan sanctioned by Govt.

The Alteration proposed by the Collector are, the substitution of Deccan Steel for Cochin Country Patent tiles for the Ordinary Roofing tiles. the first produces a Saving of Rs 321-12-8 & the second Rs 313 - thereby a total Saving of Rs. 634-12-8.

The Rates more or less agree with the Dist Schedule.

The Estimate also has been Countersigned by the Collector.

23rd March 1881.

× Exclusive of Out-building viz Rs 698-14-8 -

C. No. 1777

P. W. Secretariat.

884/7

Note by Budget Section.

Work

Remarks and References.

Constructing a Sub-Collectorate House at Bidigul -

Fund Provincial
Class Service Head - Civil Building
Dyed Head - Civil Works

Amount of estimate ... ... Rs. 8355/-

Expenditure in previous year ... ... " -

Allotment in current year ... ... " 6385 -

Balance required to complete ... ... " 1970

Total Rs. 1970

Note of available funds.

Rs 41,982 are available under Provincial Revenue of 1880/81 -

B. S.
Budget Superintendent. Clerk

24/3/81

× Main building Rs 7656-7-7
Out building — Rs 698-14-8
8,355-6-3

Rest of Sale proceeds in G.O. No 1957 dt 23/8/80 } 6,284-10-9
Excess — 1970-11-6

Note by Budget Section.

1.283.R.213

Read the following:—

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue
No 418 dated 10th March 1881.

FOR ORDERS.

The original estimate — framed by the Public Works officers for this building was — Rs 8,585, but the Sub-Collector in his letter No 2 of 2nd April 1880, printed with G. O. No 1467 of 23rd June 1880 insisted that it could be constructed for Rs 6,385 on certain conditions, the first of which was that "the execution of the work must be left to the Revenue Department."

2. On the "for orders" note, it was pointed out that there was nothing to show on what the Sub Collector's estimate was — based, and that as a fact the work could not be executed

as a legitimate business transaction for the sum named.

3. The estimate was sanctioned for execution by the Revenue Department on the distinct understanding that the Public Works officers were entirely relieved from responsibility in connection therewith.

4. It now appears that the work will have actually cost Rs 8,353 at least, and may possibly (vide last para of Sub Collector's letter) cost more; and this in spite of a saving of Rs 634 by an alteration in the materials of construction.

5. The original estimate appears to have been, as indeed was to be expected, ~~altogether~~ altogether unreliable

H. Lawley
Colonel R.E.
Secretary to Govt
P.W.D.

FOR ORDERS.

Hon W. Huddleston

8816.

H.C. 202. R. 20.2.

2383. R. 20.2.

&c. &c. 8816. B.P. Works.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT

UNDER date the 31st March 1881.

Read the following:—

Endorsement from the Rev. Department No. 760 & 19th March 1881 on Proc. of the Board of Revenue No. 418 & 10th March 1881.

The original estimate framed by the Public Works Officer for a Sub Collector's house at Dindigul amounted to Rs 8880, but the Sub Collector in his letter of the 2nd April 1880, ~~f~~ printed with G.O. No. 1467 of 23rd June 1880, asserted that it could be constructed for Rs 6,383, on certain conditions, the first of which was that the execution of the work must be left to the Revenue Department.

2. It was noticed at the time that there was nothing

nothing to show on what the Sub Collector's estimate was based, and that as a fact the work could not be executed as a legitimate business transaction for the sum named.

3. The estimate was sanctioned for execution by the Revenue Department on the distinct understanding that the Public Works Dept were entirely relieved from responsibility in connection therewith.

4. It would appear that the work will actually cost Rs 8355 at least, & may possibly cost more; and this in spite of a saving of Rs 634 by an alteration in the materials of construction.

The

C. 283. 8/87

E/2

5. The estimate previously submitted by the Sub Collector, for the framing of which moreover it does not appear who is responsible, appears to have been, as indeed was to be expected, altogether unreliable, & the Govt cannot but condemn the method adopted of non-professional supervision.

6. Under the circumstances however the revised estimate amounting to Rs 8355 is sanctioned, & the additional amount now required, viz Rs 1970, being appropriated from the Provincial Reserve of 1880-81.

7. This sanction is registered as Provincial Work No 3 B of 1880-81, & classified as Civil Buildings Original Works - It cancels No 8 B of 1880-81.

To the Rev Dept with 4 cards. of
" S.S. 3 " circle of
" Exec of P. W. D. with abstract
" Acct General " 2 copies
8/24/87

Sgt/

1777

Govt. of [1881] Madras

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

Dated

Issued

Recd. Encl.

G. O., 31 Mar 1881, No. 884

Nos. 665 670

Sub Collectrs house at Dindigul Sanctions with remarks the revised estimate for constructing the —