

2084 + N. 3-9-80

Apr 10. *[illegible]*

March 81  
B + 891 W 9 30 ~~Sept~~

C. 243. R 243.



[Exr. Wks. Form No. 111]

GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1881. { P. W. DEPT.  
EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 9 MARCH 1881

OFFICE NOTE No. 1150  
encl. 8

To  
W.

KEEP WITH Genl. No.

dated . 18 .

Office Note No. 229 S. 8/11 March 1881.

Do 505  
Do 504  
Do 226 h.  
Do 221 h. 28 Feb. 1881.

WITH REFERENCE TO THE PRO-  
MOTIONS AND APPOINTMENTS MADE IN  
THE UPPER SUBORDINATE ESTABLISHMENT  
OF THIS PRESIDENCY AND REFERRED TO  
IN THE CORRESPONDENCE QUOTED IN THE  
MARGIN, WHICH IS HEREWITH RETURNED  
FOR READY REFERENCE, PLEASE STATE ON  
WHAT TOTAL NUMBER THE NUMBER IN EACH  
GRADE HAS BEEN CALCULATED, AND  
WHETHER THIS TOTAL NUMBER HAS BEEN  
DETERMINED BY THE GOVERNMENT OF  
INDIA AS REQUIRED BY PARA 70 OF THE  
P.W. CODE.

2. IN G.O. NO. 2084 A.W. DATED 3RD.  
SEPTEMBER 1880 THE TOTAL NUMBER OF  
UPPER SUBORDINATES WAS FIXED AT 144  
AND PENDING THE DECISION OF THE  
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA ON THE QUESTION  
OF THE NORMAL STRENGTH OF THE DEPART-  
MENTAL ESTABLISHMENT, SUPERNUMERARY

C. 24.3.81 P. 28.3 11/2

OVERSEERS NOT EXCEEDING 16 WERE  
ORDERED TO BE CONTINUED IN ADDITION  
TO THE ABOVE TOTAL. TAKING THE  
ABOVE AS THE BASIS OF FORMER CAL-  
CULATIONS, THE SUB-DIVISIONS IN THE  
SEVERAL GRADES WERE FIXED BY THIS  
GOVERNMENT AS NOTED IN THE MARGIN.

3. NO ORDERS OF THE GOVERNMENT  
OF INDIA HAVE YET BEEN COMMUNICATED  
TO THIS OFFICE AND IN THE ABSENCE  
OF SUCH ORDERS AND OF INFORMATION  
REGARDING THE NUMBER IN EACH GRADE  
CALCULATED WITH REFERENCE TO GOVERN-  
MENT OF INDIA RESOLUTION, NO. 1789 G.  
DATED 15TH. OCTOBER 1880, THIS  
OFFICE IS NOT IN A POSITION TO  
CHECK THE PROMOTIONS IN QUESTION  
OR TO STATE WHAT VACANCIES EXIST.

Sub Engineers 1st Grade 11  
Do 2nd 9 18  
Do 3rd 14  
Superior 1st 14  
Do 2nd 22 36  
Overseers 1st 32  
Do 2nd 32  
Do 3rd 42 106  
180

DM  
9/3  
OFFG.C.

N<sup>o</sup> 274 N  
1146  
11-3-81  
The total number  
is 160, and the proportions  
are those laid down in  
the J. J. I. orders circulated  
with J. O. No 2529 N.

of 4<sup>th</sup> November 1880 -  
The numbers being divided  
between grades in the  
proportion of 3.3.4 -  
Please check the  
promotions proposed  
on this basis, raising  
any question which may  
be necessary as to the  
total (2160) separately -

There can it is presumed  
be no question about  
the distribution between  
grades which is dependent  
upon an order of the  
president of India later  
than the code rule and  
J. O. No 2084 A. W. J  
3<sup>rd</sup> September 1880 -  
D 9.3

10/3

No 1171 Encls 15  
14/3/81.

TO W.

TAKING 100 AS THE PROPOSED SCALE OF UPPER SUBORDINATES FOR THE MADRAS PRESIDENCY, THE FOLLOWING WILL BE THE NUMBER IN THE SEVERAL GRADES, CALCULATED WITH REFERENCE TO PARAS 71 AND 72 OF THE P.W. CODE, AND GOVERNMENT OF INDIA P.W. DEPARTMENT RESOLUTION NO. 1789 G. DATED 15TH. OCTOBER 1880. THE NUMBER IN EACH GRADE AS REGARDS OVERSEERS HAS BEEN DETERMINED IN THE PROPORTION {3-3-4} GIVEN IN YOUR NOTE NO. 274 N. DATED 9TH. MARCH 1881. IN JUXTA-POSITION IS ALSO GIVEN THE STRENGTH OF THE UPPER SUBORDINATE ESTABLISHMENT OF THIS PRESIDENCY, AFTER GIVING EFFECT TO ALL THE PROMOTIONS AND APPOINTMENTS MADE IN THE NOTES PARTICULARIZED IN THE MARGIN, WHICH ARE HERewith RETURNED AFTER HAVING BEEN DULY NOTED IN THIS OFFICE.

|                | Proposed Scale |     | Existing Establishment |     | Remarks |
|----------------|----------------|-----|------------------------|-----|---------|
|                | 1st            | 2nd | 1st                    | 2nd |         |
| Sub. Engineers | 8              |     | 4                      |     |         |
| 2nd            | 4              |     | 4                      |     |         |
| 3rd            | 15             |     | 14                     |     |         |
| Supervisors    | 19             | 30  | 13                     | 22  |         |
| 2nd            | 31             |     | 17                     |     |         |
| Overseers      | 24             | 20  | 31                     | 30  |         |
| 2nd            | 24             |     | 33                     |     |         |
| 3rd            | 32             |     | 38                     |     |         |
| Total          | 80             | 80  | 105                    | 105 |         |
|                | 100            |     | 159                    |     |         |

\* No 221 L. Dated 28 February 1881  
100 L. " " " "  
Chief Eng. Memo to Secy. 17.3.81  
20.1.81  
20.1.81  
20.1.81  
20.1.81

THIS PROPOSED SCALE OF 100, WITH REFERENCE TO WHICH THE ABOVE NUMBERS HAVE BEEN ARRIVED AT, REQUIRES THE SPECIAL SANCTION OF THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA UNDER PARA 70 OF THE P.W. DEPARTMENT CODE.

3. IT SHOULD BE NOTED THAT IN THE PROVINCIAL BUDGET FOR 1881-82 PROVISION HAS ONLY BEEN MADE FOR THE SCALE OF ESTABLISHMENT REFERRED TO IN PARA 2 OF MY OFFICE NOTE NO. 1150 DATED 9TH. INSTANT, VIZ FOR-

SUB-ENGINEERS.

1ST. GRADE.....5  
2ND. DO .....4  
3RD. DO .....9

18

SUPERVISORS.

1ST. GRADE.....14  
2ND. DO .....22

36

OVERSEERS.

1ST. GRADE.....32  
2ND. DO .....32  
3RD. DO .....42

106

IF THEREFORE THE NEW PROPOSED SCALE IS TO BE WORKED UP TO, AN ADDITIONAL SUM WILL HAVE TO BE PROVIDED FOR TO MEET THE ADDITIONAL CHARGES DUE THERETO.

4. PENDING THE RECEIPT OF GOVERNMENT OF INDIA SANCTION REFERRED TO

ABOVE THE NEW APPOINTMENTS AND  
THE RECENT PROMOTIONS CAN ONLY  
BE ACCEPTED PROVISIONALLY.

Don

14/3  
OFFG.C.

R. H. 1/59

17/3/87

14/3

C. No 3/3 ch.

I find on referring back  
to F.O. No 2084 & M.  
of 3rd Sept 1880 that  
I was mis taken as to  
the strength on which  
the proportions were to be  
calculated - That  
strength is 144, not  
160, the extra 16 being  
all owners -

The numbers of sub  
engineers and pipelayers  
would thus be only  
nine tenths of those

shown as "proposed  
strength" in para 2. I  
join note -


The number of owners  
will be 88,

or 26, 27 and 35  
in the three grades -

The proposed promotion  
will however make  
the number in the  
upper grades well within  
these figures -

I have with reference  
to para 3 that the  
promotion will only  
bring the number of  
subengineers and pipelayers  
to 22 + 30 as opposed to  
18 + 36 provided in the  
budget, and so that  
there will be no excess

*budget*  
on this provision -



Do you still consider  
it necessary to apply to  
the J. & J. for sanction  
to the 16 additional  
overseers?

*S*  
*15/3*

16<sup>th</sup> March 1901 -

TO W. *N<sup>o</sup> 1199* *Incls 4*  
*22/3/91*

TAKING 144 AS THE TOTAL  
NUMBER OF UPPER SUBORDINATES PLUS  
16 SUPERNUMERARY OVERSEERS AS THE  
SCALE FOR THE UPPER SUBORDINATE  
ESTABLISHMENT, THE FOLLOWING TABLE  
COMPARES THE EXISTING ESTABLISH-  
MENT TO DATE WITH THE SANCTIONED  
SCALE:-

|                                    | Scale           |       | Existing Establishment |       | Remarks |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|-------|------------------------|-------|---------|
|                                    | 1 <sup>st</sup> | Total | 1 <sup>st</sup>        | Total |         |
| Sub-Engineer 1 <sup>st</sup> Grade | 4               |       | 4                      |       |         |
| " 2 <sup>nd</sup>                  | 14              |       | 14                     |       |         |
| " 3 <sup>rd</sup>                  | 13              |       | 14                     |       |         |
| Superintendent 1 <sup>st</sup>     | 14              | 24    | 13                     | 22    |         |
| " 2 <sup>nd</sup>                  | 25              |       | 14                     | 30    |         |
| Overseer 1 <sup>st</sup>           | 26              | 45    | 31                     |       |         |
| " 2 <sup>nd</sup>                  | 24              |       | 33                     |       |         |
| " 3 <sup>rd</sup>                  | 35              | 88    | 40                     | 104   |         |
|                                    |                 | 160   |                        | 157   |         |

2. THE PROMOTIONS AND APPOINT-  
MENTS THAT HAVE BEEN MADE ARE THERE-  
FORE ADMISSIBLE, BUT AS THE SCALE IN  
QUESTION HAS NEVER BEEN FORMALLY  
SANCTIONED BY THE GOVERNMENT OF  
INDIA, IT APPEARS NECESSARY THAT  
WITH REFERENCE TO PARA 70 OF THE  
P.W. DEPARTMENT CODE, THIS SANCTION  
SHOULD NOW BE OBTAINED.

3. THE PRESENT PROMOTIONS AND  
APPOINTMENTS DO NOT NECESSITATE  
ANY INCREASE TO THE PROVISION ALREADY  
MADE FOR UPPER SUBORDINATE ESTAB-  
LISHMENT IN THE BUDGET FOR 1881-82,  
BUT IF THE SANCTIONED SCALE AS  
GIVEN ABOVE IS TO BE WORKED UP TO,  
FURTHER PROVISION WILL BE REQUIRED.

*Dr*  
*22/3*  
OFFG.C.

*W*  
*22/3*

*W*

No. 3164.



GOVT. } 188 . { P. W.  
OF MADRAS. } DEPT.

SECRETARY.

Chepauk, 16 March 1881.

OFFICE NOTE.

To C  
Please note

that the contemplated  
promotion of  
T. Vydialingam Pillay  
to Supervisor 2<sup>d</sup>  
Grade has been  
reconsidered. He still  
remains Oversee  
1<sup>st</sup> Grade.

S  
by W.

am  
7/7

Ms B  
No 871 & of 30 - March / 81.  
P. W. D.

To

The Secy to the Govt of India  
P. W. Department

Sir,

MA  
I am directed to  
submit for informa-  
tion a copy of Proceed-  
ings of this Govt. No 2084 of  
3<sup>rd</sup> Sept 1880, and to request  
the sanction of the  
Govt of India to the in-  
crement of the  
sixteen additional  
overseers therein referred to  
to.

2. It has been found  
by experience that the  
144 Upper Subordinates  
provided by the scale  
submitted with my  
letter No 266 W of 3<sup>rd</sup> Feb / 80  
is insufficient for  
the

the every day work of the Department, and the additional sixteen men have therefore been entertained.

3. It has been pointed out by the Examiner Public Works Accounts that to this increase the sanction of the Govt of India is necessary. The distribution between grades ordered in the Proceedings now forwarded has been superseded by that laid down in Govt of India No 1789 S of 15<sup>th</sup> Oct/00; but I am to state that this distribution will be applied only to the original number of 144 Subordinates, and

and not to the total of 160, the additional sixteen being all Overseers.

S<sup>y</sup>

Despatch G. O. No 1789 S of 15<sup>th</sup> Oct/00

31/3/01