

FROM MAJOR D.H. TRAIL R.E.

OFFG. EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS,

MADRAS.

Urgent

TO THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

NO. 224 W

MADRAS, 9 MARCH 1881.

SIR,

ADVERTING TO CORRESPONDENCE ENDING WITH YOUR REPLY
(NO. 178 N. DATED 11TH FEBRUARY 1881) TO MY OFFICE NOTE NO.
965 OF THE 10TH ULTIMO, I HAVE THE HONOR TO REQUEST THE
FAVOR OF BEING INFORMED WHAT ORDERS HAVE BEEN RECEIVED
FROM THE FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT ON YOUR REFERENCE REGARDING
ADDITIONAL GRANT FOR ESTABLISHMENT TO MEET THE ANTICIPATED
EXCESS UNDER THAT HEAD DURING THE CURRENT YEAR.

2. THE YEAR IS ABOUT EXPIRING, AND IT THEREFORE APPEARS
HIGHLY DESIRABLE THAT VERY EARLY ORDERS ON THE SUBJECT
SHOULD BE OBTAINED AND COMMUNICATED TO THIS OFFICE.

I HAVE THE HONOR TO BE,

SIR,

YOUR MOST OBEDIENT SERVANT.

D. H. Trail

MAJOR R.E.

OFFG. EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS.

w/ 12/3

C.S. No. 111. 17-1-81.
A.C. No. 236 W. & 2-81.
237

S.W. C. No. 1476.

FROM THE EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS,

MADRAS.

TO THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

P.W. DEPARTMENT.

NO. 227 W

DATED 7th MARCH 1881.

RECD. 9th

PROVISION TO MEET ANTICIPATED
EXCESS ON ESTABLISHMENT GRANT FOR
1880-81. REGARDING-----

C.H.S. 12.15.3.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Letter from the Examiner P.W. Accounts
No 227 W dated 7th March 1881

FOR ORDERS.

It is probably unnecessary to explain that the excess is due to the refusal of the Govt. of India to admit the distribution of establishment charges on the principle on which our Provincial Budget for 1880-81 was based, and that there has been no excess in the provision for establishment as a whole. 2. In the note of the 18th Feb (quoted by the Examiner) it was said that the subject had been

Approved
M

been referred to the Financial Department which is a mistake as no action appears to have been taken on his letter No 164 W of 6 Dec/80. 3. It should be borne in mind that in sending up our Budget for 1881-82 we have pressed for a reconsideration of this matter and if India consents thereto the excess on Provincial establishment will be removed. 4. At this late date it would be altogether impossible to effect any reductions or changes of Establishment

which

No 188 T of 18 Feb/81.

Subject: Excess over the grants for P. h. Establish-
to C.

1. The matter was trans-
ferred to the Financial
Dept, this Dept being
powerless in the matter.

2. There is no excess on the
provision for establishments
as a whole, and the diffi-
culty has arisen from the
Govt of India declining
to allow of its distribution
between funds as intended
when the Provincial Budget
was prepared.

Another reference is
being made upon this
point.

Info to P.

Dy. Secy.

dispt 18/2

1476

by W.

C's letter to the W. of
6 Dec: 80 is one of
those recorded with
G.O. 336 W. of 8 Feb: 81.
& printed in extenso
in the accompanying
collection of papers.

It is not known
which particular
paper you had in
view when para 1 of
officiate 178 T. of
18 Feb: was drafted.

Manuscripts of the
recent references to
the Financial Dept
are put up.

L.S.
10/3.

which would have
any appreciable effect
on the incidence of the
Charge and consequently
as the matter stands, it
will probably suffice
to inform the Examiner
that it depends on the
decision of the Govern-
ment of India to whom
the question has long
since been referred.

C. B. Stanley

Col R. E.
Chief Engineer
P. N. D.

11 March 1881.

Mr W. H. H. H.

*Copy of Office Note from
Nº 65 W of 16 Feb.*

*With reference to this office Nº 164 W
of 6.12.50, please see the enclosed copy
of letter from A and with reference —
thereto be so good as to state whether
any reappropriations of budget grants
are about to be made under Provincial
services to cover the probable excess
over the grants provided for the
Establishment during current
year.*

11.3.12.15.2.

*B
To Mr. H. H. H.*

Nº 24 B.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 17th March /81.

Read the following :—

*From
The Secretary, P.W. } (H.C. Nº 227 W of 7 March /81)
Accounts }*

*The question of a
redistribution of Establish-
ment charges with a view
to relieve Provincial from
the excessive debit now paid
under that head has been
referred for reconsideration
to the Government of India
whose orders should be
awaited.*

L 16/2

Resd. P.W. Secy

Do. ad

17-3-81

R.S.

2/11/81

B. N. 724 W 717. March 1881