

N^o 2406 Superintendent of Works Office Kistna
Masulipatam 1st February 1881.
bwd 2nd Do

From

The Superintendent of Works
Kistna

To

The Chief Engineer
Public Works Department

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to your
notice the hard Case of 3rd Class Draftsman
K. Narasimharow, attached to my office.

2. This man, after serving in ^{the} Completion
Project, Office Masulipatam under Mr. Hasted R.E.
for 3 years & months, and finally, drawing
Rs 37 pay, was in August 1876, appointed, as
a Draftsman, on the permanent establishment
in the Golconda Range, Vizagapatam District,
and, drew first Rs 29, and from October 1877 -
Rs 40 per mensem, after obtaining a Certificate
as second Class Draftsman. On the reorgani-
zation, of 1878, he was transferred to the Vizaga-
patam Division Office on the same salary.

3. Narasimharow states, that in the

Lists prepared to carry out the reduction of October 1879, he was provided with a post in the Office of the Superintending Engineer 1st Circle, but that subsequently on the arrangements rendered necessary by the order of February 1880, he was thrown out of employ. He then succeeded in getting a post in the Office of the Local Fund Engineer Cocanada Circle, on a salary of Rs 40, but on receiving notice dated 12 May from Mr. Welch, then Supt. of Works & Kistna, that he would appoint him Assistant Draftsman, in this Office, on Rs 30/- he resigned his post in Local Fund Service, believing his prospects in Government Service, though on less pay, to be better.

He joined this Office, on the 13 June, and up to November last, drew pay at Rs 30/- which as the maximum of his Grade was passed to him. In the Disallowance list for November, the Examiner states that as Karasimharow was not continuously in employ he can only draw the minimum of his grade, viz Rs 20/-

and that the extra pay, drawn in the mean while should be recovered.

4. The Examiner is, doubtless, right according to the letter of the order under which he is acting, but the case is I think an exceptionally hard one, and as such, I venture to request that you will lay the matter before Government to sanction the grant of the maximum pay of his grade to Karasimharow, while holding his present post.

5. I do this on the following grounds (1) that for $2\frac{1}{4}$ years Karasimharow drew Rs 40 (2) that he accepted his present post believing he would get Rs 30/- not Rs 20/- Mr. Welch's Memo mentions Rs 30/- as the pay (3) that the short time of Karasimharow's employment under the Local Fund Board viz 3 months is not sufficient to cause a break in his service.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt. Servt.
J. W. Russell
Superintendent of Works
Kistna.

Compd. servt.

I W. C. R. 7.
 From
 The Superintendent of Works
 Ruzna

To
 The Chief Engineer
 P. W. Dept.

Date 1st } Feby 1881
 Despatch 2nd }
 Recd 7th }

No 2406.

Narasimharow. K.
 Drafterman. Requesting
 to obtain sanction of Govt.
 to the Grant of Maximum
 pay of Rs 30 to the -

S.O. No. 1636 W. 12-7-80.
 " 2913 W. 20-12-80.

* Returned to C. 3/3

AMINER
 8 FEB 1881
 No. 130 N.
 GOVT. OF MADRAS. } 1881. { P. W. DEPT.
 SECRETARY.

Chepauk, 7th February 1881.

OFFICE NOTE.
 To C. No. 757
 b.

For note, as to
 why the disallowance
 was made for the first
 time on the pay for
 November and not for
 previous months.

I
 1101 sub-2 by W.
 21/2/81
 TO W.
 THE HIGHER RATE OF PAY WAS ADMIT-
 TED TO THE DRAFTSMAN UNDER THE AUTHORITY
 CONTAINED IN THE ACCOMPANYING OFFICE
 NOTE FROM DY. W. DATED 25TH. JUNE 1880
 (TO BE RETURNED).
 2. IN G.O. NO. 1635 W. DATED 12TH.
 JULY 1880 AND G.O. NO. 2913 W. DATED 20TH.
 DECEMBER

DECEMBER 1840, IT WILL BE OBSERVED
THAT PERSONAL ALLOWANCE WAS ONLY
INTENDED FOR SUCH MEN AS WERE IN
THE CONTINUOUS EMPLOY OF GOVERNMENT,
AND WITH REFERENCE TO PARA 7 OF THE
FIRST-MENTIONED G.O. AND PARA 56 OF THE
P.W. CODE ONLY THE MINIMUM PAY OF THE
GRADE HAS BEEN ADMITTED TO THOSE
MEN WHOSE SERVICES WERE DISPENSED
WITH ON REDUCTION OF ESTABLISHMENT
AND WHO HAVE BEEN SUBSEQUENTLY RE-
EMPLOYED.

Dec
24/2
OFFG.C.

W. J. H.

7/
21/2

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Read the following:—

Letter from the Superintendent of Works, Victoria
Nº 2406 of 1st February 1881.

Office Note from the Examiner P.W. Accounts
Nº 1101 of 21st February 1881.

FOR ORDERS.

The Examiners' action
is undoubtedly correct, and
the draftsman's service, not
having been continuous he has
no claim to more than the
minimum pay of his present
rank.

2. Looking however to the
facts as stated in para 5
of Mr. ^{Rundall's} ~~Wade's~~ letter, the
Government may be disposed,
as a matter of grace, to

Approved
W. J. H.

allow him to draw the
maximum of Rupees 30.

A. P. Sankar
— Colonel A. G.
Secretary to Govt. P. W. D.

Hon. B. K. K. K.

13
Am. 11/11/11

N: 568N

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 3rd March 1887

Read the following:—

From the Supt. of Works Kistna H.B. No 2604 & 1: Feb. 1887.
Office note by the Sec. P. W. D. No. 1101 & 21 " " "

Looking to the facts
as stated in para 6 of
the foregoing letter, the Sec.
are pleased, as a special
case, to allow 3rd Class
Draftsman K. Narasimharan
to draw the maximum
pay of his present grade
from the date of his re-
appointment.

Cy

S
28/2

To the Supt. of Ws. Kistna
- Sec. of P. W. D.
with enclosure

Encl
4-5-87

S. D. 11/11/11

B. 1. 568 N 782 March 1871