

(1) Number of pages 16.
 (2) Date of repair 13-11-87
 (3) Initials of the Referencer Cenro

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

P.W.

DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	354	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	9-2-1881	197 - .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

354
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

9th February 1881, No. 354 W.

General
Establishment.

Read the following paper :—

No. 37. Proceedings of the Madras Government in the Military Department,
dated 1st February 1881, No. 594.

No. 38. ORDER THEREON, 9th February 1881, No. 354 W.

THE essential difference between Sergeant Hamilton's case and that of Sub-Conductor Hyde is still overlooked.

2. The latter is still borne on the list of the Public Works Establishment and is in fact only lent to the Civil Engineering College, to which he went direct from the Public Works Department.

3. The former has no connection whatever with the department and was not transferred from it to the Civil Engineering College, but dismissed, and some years afterwards appointed to the College directly from the Military Department without any reference to the Public Works Department.

4. The Government maintain the view expressed in Public Works Department Memorandum, No. 2,816, dated 13th December 1880. Promotion on the Public Works Department Establishment cannot be given to Sergeant Hamilton.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Military Department.

Read J. W. Fischer.
Spare Copies.

Govt. of Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

THE MILITARY DEPARTMENT.

Dated Madras, 14th

Signed

Read

Encl.

G. O. 97 February 1880, No. 301 W.

FEBRUARY.

Nos. 37-38.

Sergeant J. Hamilton of the Civil Engineering College.—Pointing out the difference between the case of — and that of Sergeant Hyde, and intimating that promotion on the Public Works Department Establishment cannot be given to —.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MILITARY DEPARTMENT.

23rd November 1880, No. 7335.

Warrant Officers—Promotions.

Read the following papers:—

No. 391. From H. B. GRIGG, Esq., Director of Public Instruction, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort St. George; dated Madras, 14th August 1880, No. 3941.

I have the honor to submit, for the favorable consideration of Government, a copy of a letter, No. 176-C, dated 7th August 1880, from the Principal of the Civil Engineering College, recommending Sergeant J. Hamilton, of the Queen's Own Sappers and Miners, Master of the drawing and estimating class in that college, for promotion to the rank of Sub-Conductor on the Warrant List of the Public Works Department. I also enclose, for the information of Government, copies of Colonel Rogers' letters, No. 359-C, dated 29th January 1880, and No. 143-M, dated 21st February 1880.

2. A similar application from the Principal was submitted to Government with letter from this office, No. 370, 29th January 1878, upon which no orders have as yet been passed. Colonel Macdonald did not support the application, in view of the Sergeant's antecedents as set forth in G.O., No. 503, dated 22nd February 1872. Eight years have now elapsed since that order was passed, and as during this period Sergeant Hamilton's conduct has been uniformly exemplary, I trust his case may be viewed favorably.

From Lieutenant H. D. LOVE, R.E., Principal, Civil Engineering College, Madras, to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras; dated Cheyank, 7th August 1880, No. 176-C.

Adverting to the correspondence which terminated with your Proceedings, No. 1011, dated 6th March 1880, I have the honor to state that I am now sufficiently acquainted with Sergeant Hamilton's abilities and character to support the recommendation for his promotion to the rank of Sub-Conductor on the Warrant List of the Public Works Department, which was urged by Colonel Rogers, R.E., in his Nos. 708-C and 359-C, dated Civil Engineering College, 10th January 1878 and 29th January 1880, and his No. 143-M, dated Survey Office, 21st February 1880.

2. I have a high opinion of Sergeant Hamilton's abilities. No class in the college is better conducted or makes greater progress than that of which he is in charge. For the last eight years, his conduct has been exemplary, and I agree with Colonel Rogers in considering that this good service might atone for the circumstances under which he was removed from the Public Works Department in 1872.

3. As misapprehension on one point may be caused by Colonel Rogers' latest letter referred to in para. 1, I would point out that, although suspicion of fraud attached to Corporal Hamilton in 1872, yet violence of clamour towards his subordinates was the actual cause of his removal to military duty—vide G.O., No. 503, Public Works Department, dated 22nd February 1872.

4. The Educational Department will incur no charge through Sergeant Hamilton's promotion.

From Colonel H. T. ROGERS, R.E., Principal, Civil Engineering College, Madras, to the Director of Public Instruction, Madras; dated Chepauk, 29th January 1880, No. 359-C.

I have the honor to draw attention to my letter, No. 708-C, of the 19th January 1878, to your address, in which I recommended Sergeant J. Hamilton, Master of the drawing and estimating class of the Civil Engineering College, for promotion to the grade of Sub-Conductor.

2. During the two years which have elapsed since the submission of the above recommendation, Sergeant Hamilton has continued to deserve the high character I formerly gave him. He has been most exemplary in the zealous discharge of his duties as a master at the college, which post he has now filled for 4½ years, and I have the honor to repeat my recommendation that he may receive the promotion for which my experience of him has shown that he has qualified himself.

From Colonel H. T. ROGERS, R.E., Superintendent, Madras Survey, to the Principal, Civil Engineering College, Chepauk; dated Madras, 21st February 1880, No. 143-M.

In reply to your letter, No. 397-C, of the 17th instant, I have the honor to inform you that I think it would hardly be consonant with the principles of justice that the suspicion of dishonesty under which Sergeant Hamilton was removed from the Department Public Works should constitute an insuperable bar to all subsequent advancement, and that the years of conscientious and zealous labour which he has given to the Government while a teacher in the Civil Engineering College should not meet with their legitimate and well-deserved reward.

2. The enclosures of your letter under reply are herewith returned.

No. 1656.

Transferred to the Public Works Department, for disposal.

(By Order.)

OCTACAMUND,
23rd August 1880.

(Signed)

R. DAVIDSON

Chief Secretary.

No. 564.

Transferred to the Military Department, with remark that promotion cannot be given in the Public Works Department to a soldier who for eight years has had no connection with it.

2. The matter appears to be one for consideration in the Military Department.

(By Order.)

OCTACAMUND,
20th October 1880.

(Signed)

R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,

Secretary to Government, P. W. D.

No. 6670.

Procs. of Govt., No. 142, dated 12th July 1866.

Do. „ 1395, „ 20th April 1866.

Do. „ 4790, „ 9th Dec. 1868.

Referred to His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief.

(By Order.)

OCTACAMUND,
21st October 1880.

(Signed)

J. MICHAEL, Colonel,

Secretary to Government.

No. 392. From Brigadier-General GODFREY CLERK, Officiating Adjutant-General, to Colonel J. MICHAEL, c.s.a., Secretary to Government, Military Department; dated Fort St. George, 8th November 1880, No. 492.

With reference to your memorandum, number and date as per margin, referring

No. 6670, dated 21st October 1880.

„ 564, „ 20th „ „

papers respecting the recommendation for promotion to the grade of Sub-Conductor of Sergeant J. Hamilton, I have the honor, by order, to state that it appears from the Order of Government in the Public Works Department that this non-commissioned officer's advancement to the warrant grade is negatived on the ground that it cannot be given to a soldier who for eight years has had no connection with the Public Works Department.

2. The promotion of military subordinates in the Civil Engineering College

has been governed by the same rules under which non-commissioned officers in the Public Works Department obtain their promotion to the warrant grade, the primary conditions rendering such non-commissioned officers eligible for advancement in the military grade

being five years a Sergeant and having fifteen years' service. These conditions have been fulfilled by Sergeant Hamilton, but as he is said not to have been connected with the Public Works Department for some time, his promotion to the warrant grade is refused, although it has been recently ruled that warrant officers of the Civil Engineering College are to be borne on the Public Works list of warrant officers as *seconded*.

Procs. of Madras Govt., No. 1398, dated 20th April 1866.

Procs. of Madras Govt., No. 142, dated 12th January 1866.

Procs. of Madras Govt., No. 4790, dated 9th December 1868.

Procs. of Govt., No. 1992-W, dated 23rd August 1880, Public Works Department.

3. If Sergeant Hamilton is eligible as a departmental non-commissioned officer for promotion to the warrant grade, he can, it is presumed, obtain it only on the Public Works list of warrant officers.

4. With reference to memorandum, No. 564, Public Works Department, 20th October 1880, His Excellency desires me to say that he considers the case is one which has no connection with the Military Department, as Sergeant Hamilton's services for the last eight years have been passed as a Master at the Civil Engineering College, and previously, apparently, in the Public Works Department.

No. 393. ORDER THEREON, 23rd November 1880, No. 7335.

The Adjutant-General's letter communicated to the Public Works Department.

(True Extract.)

Michael

Colonel,

Secretary to Government.

To the Public Works Department.

„ Educational Department.

Exd. A. Raiff.

10. 500. 22. 2 72
10. 500. 22. 2 72
10. 500. 22. 2 72
10. 500. 22. 2 72
10. 500. 22. 2 72
10. 500. 22. 2 72
10. 500. 22. 2 72
10. 500. 22. 2 72
10. 500. 22. 2 72
10. 500. 22. 2 72

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

MILITARY DEPARTMENT.

1st February 1881, No. 594.

Promotions—Warrant Officers.

Read the following papers:—

No. 15.

No. 2816.

Proceedings in the Military Department, dated 23rd November 1880, No. 7385.

Returned to the Military Department, with the remark that there is an essential difference between Serjeant Hamilton's position and that of the military subordinates referred to in para. 2 of his letter, No. 492, of 8th November.

2. The subordinates in question belong to the Public Works Establishment, are borne on its lists, and are liable to be recalled to it at any moment, being in fact lent to the Civil Engineering College. Serjeant Hamilton has not had any connection with the department for the last eight years.

3. It is not clear how Serjeant Hamilton joined the Civil Engineering College; if possible, he should obtain promotion in the Educational Department, but in any case he cannot obtain it in the Public Works Department, to which he does not belong.

CHEPALE,
13th December 1880.

To the Military Department.

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government, P. W. D.

No. 7823.

Referred to His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief.

(By Order.)

FORT ST. GEORGE,
14th December 1880.

(Signed) E. L. HANKIN, Colonel,
Adj. Secretary to Government.

No. 16. From Brigadier-General GODFREY CLERK, Officiating Adjutant-General, to Colonel E. L. HANKIN, Acting Secretary to Government, Military Department; dated Fort St. George, 21st January 1881, No. 39.

Referring to your memorandum as per margin and correspondence attached thereto, I am directed by the Commander-in-Chief to call the attention of Government to the case of Serjeant Hyde.

No. 7823, dated 14th December 1880.
See Procs. of Govt., No. 4502-W, dated 25th November 1879.

2. This non-commissioned officer appears to have entered the Public Works Department, then resigned it, then joined the Civil Engineering College, and eventually obtained his promotion to the warrant grade in the Public Works Department.

3. The Commander-in-Chief submits that as regards the case of Serjeant Hamilton, it being apparently an analogous one to that of Serjeant Hyde, the ruling of Government above quoted should apply, and Serjeant Hamilton be promoted to the warrant grade in the Public Works Department.

4. I am to add that the reasons adduced by Colonel Sankey for the promotion not being obtained by Serjeant Hamilton in the Public Works Department apply equally to the Military Department, to which the non-commissioned officer in question does not belong.

No. 17. ORDER THIRTEEN, 1st February 1881, No. 594.

The Adjutant-General's letter communicated to the Public Works Department.

(True Extract.)

W. A. Smith Colonel,
Adj. Secretary to Government.

To the Public Works Department, with Procs. of Govt.,
No. 7335 of 1880, returned.
,, Educational Department.
,, Adjutant-General.

P. Con. worked on the
boiler works.

N. Co. 2701 W. 2-12-20.
C.C. 2016 12-12-20

COM. OF THE
MILITARY

THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

Rank,
Grade,
Post,
No. 104

Feb. 1st February 1921, No. 104

FEBRUARY.
Nos. 16-17.

Continuation of letter from the Adjutant
General regarding the promotion of Sergeant
Hoskins to the Civil Engineering College, to
the grade of Sub-Conductor.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

35

Proceedings in the Military Dept.
N. Co. 2701 W. 2-12-20.

100 OTHERS

the present difference
between the two
and that of the
Conductor Hyde is still
undecided.
It appears from the
copy of (page 13) will
show that the latter is
still here on the list
of the P.W. establishment, &
is in fact only, but
the Civil Engineering
College to which he went
directly from the P.W.

97

FOI b 7 - D

3. The former has no connection whatever with the Department and was not transferred from it to the Civil Engineering College, but dismissed, and afterwards appointed to the College directly from the Military Dept., without any reference to the P. W. Dept.

4. It seems desirable
that some final orders
should be passed on the
case.

for Sayre to Govt. N.Y.

100

1224

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT

Given date the 7th of July 1861

Read the following —

Proof for: Irish Reports — H.S. 6594 of Feb. 1887.

The essential difference
between Sergeant Hamilton's
case and that of Sub-
Conductor Hyde is still
overlooked, by the
~~Adjutant General's Department~~

2. The latter is still
home on the list of the
P. W. establishment, and
is in fact, only sent to
the Civil Engineering
College to which he went
direct from the P. W. Dept.

3. The former has no connections whatever with the Department and was not transferred from it to the Civil Engineering College.

College but dismissed.
^{some years}
Haplewood appointed
to the College directly
from the Mil. Dept.
without any reference
to the W. Dept.

4. The Govt. maintain
the view expressed in
^{P. W. D.}
~~the~~ ^{Dept. memo}
of 1886 of 13 Dec 1888.
Promotion for the
P. W. D. establishment
cannot be given to
Lieut. Smith.

W

8

The Military Dept.

682

GOV. of MASS. JUDGES.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To

Debit

Credit

Debit

Credit

10-2

PAID BY THE GOV. OF MASS. No. 8540

No. 3 24 30

Account of the
The College

Account of the
between the Office of
that of the Court of
and in a large that
on the 1st of October
to the 1st of October