NOTES.

Reference to Madras P. W. D. Code.

Chapter XIV.—Paras. 1829 to 1832.

1. The object of this Return is to place before Government an analysis of all shortcomings in matters of financial regularity in the operations of each month with a view to their being remedied. It should be submitted to Government on the first day of the third month succeeding that to which the accounts relate.

2. There should be a separate statement for each separate Fund—Imperial, Provincial, Local, and Irrigation.

3. The Provincial statement will be posted from the Divisional Statements of Objectionable Expenditure. The statement need not be delayed in order to embody the remarks of the Superintending Engineers if these do not reach the Examiners Office in time. It is desirable, however, that the Superintending Engineer's opinion should be recorded as far as may be practicable, and, in order to ensure this being done, the Divisional Statement of Objectionable Items should be checked immediately on receipt and sent to the Superintending Engineer for his remarks before the audit of the other accounts is proceeded with.

4. All Executive Officers who have failed to submit their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items with their Monthly Accounts, as well as those whose statements are *generally incomplete*, should be specially brought to the notice of Government under "General Remarks" at the end of the statement.

5. Items of irregular expenditure which are left unincluded by Executive Engineers in their Divisional Statements of Objectionable Items should be distinctly noted as such either in a separate supplementary statement or in the original statement in red ink.

6. Columns under all the heads should be filled up in each case so as to bring out clearly where estimate or appropriation exists and where it does not.

7. In the case of large projects such important features as the following should be stated with precision:—

A. Lump sum estimate.
B. Abstract detail estimate by sub-heads, and so on.

1. Submitted to Chief Engineer.
2. Submitted to Superintending Engineer.
3. Not yet submitted.

8. A large work which is proceeded with on merely a lump sum sanction is still objectionable till an abstract and detail estimate by sub-heads is submitted and sanctioned for it by competent authority.

9. Special mention should be made of works being carried out in portions by authorities, beyond whose powers of sanction it would be to sanction the expenditure as a whole.

10. An important point to notice is the duration of a serious irregularity.

11. Voluminous masses of detail, when their practical significance could be given in a few lines, should always be avoided as a rule. If, for instance, the state of matters as to sanction in one month does not materially differ from that of a preceding month, it will answer all practical purposes to say so, instead of sending another full report.

12. Too much stress can never be laid on the fact that this statement is not to take place of special reports, then and there, of works on which serious irregular expenditure is being largely incurred, either without estimate or appropriation.

915-W - 4-4-81
1205-W - 19-8-81.
2607-W - 25-10-81.

P. W. D. Madras.
Form No. M 64*a*.

Rect. 14 Nov 81

CENTRAL OFFICE OF ACCOUNTS.

Circle Superintendent of Works Presidency Dupl Board.

Fund Provincial.

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts of Executive Engineers for the Month of August 188/.

No. ——

Madras,
Dated 12—11— 188/.

Forwarded for orders to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Madras.

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.

Entd. in Receipt Regr.

B. No. 2889 W dy 18 Nov 81

Provincial Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts requiring the orders of Government.

of Executive Engineers for the Month of August 1881.

Item No.	Particulars of each Item.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	Comparison with Estimate. Amount of Estimate.	Comparison with Estimate. Expenditure up to Date.	Comparison with Estimate. Excess over Estimate.	Comparison with Appropriation of the Year. Amount of Appropriation.	Comparison with Appropriation of the Year. Expenditure of the Year.	Comparison with Appropriation of the Year. Excess over Appropriation.	Expenditure during the Month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with Final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Government.
											B. No. 2889 W dy 18 Nov 81
	Provincial										
1	Examining the Lightening Conductor of the Observatory	June/81	"	329	329	"	"	"	"	The want of Estimate for this work is again brought to notice of Government.	1 The Superintending Engineer 5th Circle will take early steps for the removal of these objectionable Items.
2	Plastering the Ashlar Stone work of Saint Mary's Church Fort St George	Aug/81	"	508	508	"	"	"	508	The Superintendent of Works States that the work was commenced on emergency and that an Estimate will be sanctioned shortly.	
	Miscellaneous Irregularities.										
3	Excess over Sanctioned limit Stock		Sanctioned Limit Nil		Balance on 31-8-81. 11024			Excess 11024		Again reported for orders.	2. The Report called for in G.O. No 2607 dated 25th October 1881 is awaited.

To The Superintending 5th Circle
with the Estimate & Report
1881

J. S. Gadsby

Examiner of P. W. Accounts,
Madras.