N: 1179.

Dist. Executive Engineer's office
S. Arcot Local Fund P. W. D.
Dated Ranipett 2d. November 1880

From

The Executive Engineer
Local Fund Board S. Arcot

To

The President
Local Fund Board S Arcot

Sir

I have the honor to report that on the 10th Ultimo I received Sub Overseer Nagiah Naidoo's journal for September together with certain remarks on it by Sub Overseer Balasoondara Moodr. from which I was led to doubt the accuracy of the journal in question

2. I therefore ordered Sub Overseer Balasoundra Moodr. to submit a formal report regarding the journal and regarding all other matters connected with the conduct of Sub Overseer Nagiah Naidoo.

3 I now beg to enclose in original the marginally noted documents from which it would appear:—

(1) Sub Overseer Nagiah Naidoo's journal for September and the remark thereon by Sub Overseer Balasundra Moodr.
(2) Sub Overseer Balasoundra Moodr's No. h: 254 of the 24th Ultimo with enclosures.

(1) That Sub Overseer Nagiah Naidoo is in the habit of leaving his charge without orders and of coming into Ranipett on frivolous excuses, his real object being to visit his family at Walajapett. Thus on the 2d, 3d 12th 13th 16th 17th 29th & 30th of September he was at Ranipett (? Wallajapett) on the excuse of getting instructions, while on most of these dates the 1st grade Sub Overseer was not at Ranipett, a fact which must have been well known to Sub Overseer Nagiah Naidoo; for instance on the 12th he came into Ranipett though he must have known perfectly that Sub Overseer Balasoundra Moodr was at Sholinghur, for he had to pass through this place to get to Ranipett.

(2.) That Sub Overseer Nagiah Naidoo in his journal gives false reasons for coming into Ranipett As he never once asked Sub Overseer Balasoundra Moodr for instructions on any of these visits

(3) That Sub Overseer Nagiah Naidoo falsely states in his journal for September that on the 30th he attended Sub Overseer Balasoundra Moodr's office

(4) That Sub Overseer Nagiah Naidoo though ordered by the Executive Engineer to make Poiney his head quarters never did so, but falsely reported having done so to sub Overseer Balasoundra Moodr. That Sub Overseer Nagiah Naidoo did not make Poiney his head quarters is amply proved by his journals and by the statements of the inhabitants of Poiney.

4 These are all I beg to submit very serious charges and in deciding what punishment they deserve the following facts may I think be fairly thrown into the balance.

(1) Sub Overseer Nagiah Naidoo can neither read nor write English.

(2) Sub Overseer Nagiah Naidoo is quite unfit to have independent charge of works and should never have been promoted above the grade of Mastry.

(3) Men who can both read and write English and capable of holding independant charges can be obtained on the same salary that Sub Overseer Nagiah Naidoo is now drawing

5 The charges in para 3. and the facts in para 4 being thrown into the same scale there is I beg to submit only one alternative left viz:— dismissal; and this is the punishment that I find myself compelled to recommend

I have &c.

/sd/ P.A. Parsick BE

Ex. Engineer L. & B.d

North Circt.

/True Copy/

D. L. Whitelock

Pres Asst.

CURRENT No. 797.

2 APR 81

To

Colonel A. H. Lankey R.E. C.B.
Chief Engineer and
Secretary to Government
Public Works Department
Madras

The humble memorial of —
P. Nagiah Naidu, late Sub Overseer
P.W.D. North Arcot District, most respect-
fully sheweth: —

That Your Memorialist entered the Service in the Road Department in 1849, and served under the late Captain Best, Colonel Furlong, Mr. Addis &c until 1857, on the Great Western Trunk Road, Road from Chittur to Cuddapah &c. Subsequent to the period abovementioned, Your Memorialist served in the Chandragiri Taluk, under the late Sub Engineer Chidambaram Mudali — a very old and experienced Officer — and other Officers until 1873, and was then deputed for special reasons by the then Range Officer Lieutenant Smither for restoring the Tauvripur Tank, where after the transfer of Supervisor Mr. T. A. Stephens, I was in sole charge and fully completed the work to the satisfaction of Major Pennycuick, District Engineer and the Chief Engineer for Irrigation — Subsequently Your Memorialist was placed in charge of the Eastern

do working — L 2/6

Eastern portion of the Wallayah Taluk, where the work carried out by Your Memorialist was approved of by Major Pennycuick, Colonel Prendergast and other Officers, and where Your Memorialist worked up to the time of his transfer to the Local Fund Board in January 1880.

Your Memorialist would respectfully beg to draw attention to the fact that he served for 30 Years under very old and experienced Officers and received their approval of his work and conduct; Yet after one Year's service under the Local Fund Engineer Mr Parsick, he is dismissed for no crime or neglect, as far as he is aware: but was simply ordered in a Memo dated Chittoor, 26th November 1880, which "forwards a copy of President's letter No 1096, dated 10th Instant and informs him that his connection with the Local Fund Works will cease on the day that he hands over charge to his successor". There is not a single word as to the cause of Your Memorialist's dismissal.

× Copy subjoined.

Your Memorialist addressed the President of Chittoor L. F. B. on the 19th of February last, but received no reply. On the 1st Instant Your Memorialist applied to the present L. F. B. Engineer for a copy of the grounds on which he was turned out of a department in which he had served over 36 Years. Your Memorialist's letter was returned pencilled across "Petitioner must apply to the President". As Your Memorialist was removed from the Department on the representation of the Local Fund Engineer, it must be plain that the Official letter must be in the office of the present incumbent. He however directs Your Memorialist to the President; the President having been applied to but clearly declines to accede to your Memorialist's request, and he remains therefore unable to rebut any charge that may have been preferred against him.

Such being the case, Your Memorialist trusts that You will take his very unmerited dismissal into consideration and cause Enquiry to be made into his case, and Your Memorialist will as in duty bound

Ever pray.

Late Sub Overseer P.W.D.

Wallayapet
21st March 1881

Copy of letter from W. J. Whiteside Esq, President L. F. B. to E. A. Parsick Esq. Ex-Engineer L. F. B. North Arcot - No 1096 dated 10th November 1880.

With reference to your letter No 1179, of the 2nd Instant, recommending the dismissal of Sub Overseer Nagiah Naidu for the reasons therein put forth, I have the honor to inform You that I quite concur with You in Your recommendation & that his services will therefore be dispensed with forthwith.

N. C. No 1853

Second 22 March/81

No 773

Referred to the President
Local Fund Board North
Arcot for report as to
Causes for petitioners dismissal

By order

Thos Penny Supt R.S.
Under Secy to Govt. P.W. Dept

25 March
1881

To The President, L. F. Board
through the Financial Dept

Mr Ends
1881

Referred

Regr No: 51

Fwd [illegible] Dept.

1881.

Public Works Department.

NOTE.—This paper should be returned with reply, and should not be marked in any way by the receiving office. 30 MAR 81

No. 773
Dated 25th March/81.
Despatched 25 "
Received 28

CURRENT No. 797.

SUBJECT.

Referring to the President L. F. Board, petition from P. Nagaya Nayudu, late Sub overseer, P.W.D. North Arcot Dt. appealing against his dismissal.

Memo No. 628.

Forwarded to the President, L.F. Board, N. Arcot.

C.O. Maclean

North Arcot Presidents Office
No 308- Camp Palamaner 22 August 1881.

BRD'S PET'N REG No. 1655 OF 188

ENCS 2 Stamps Recd

From,
W. S. Whiteside Esquire,
President, Local Fund Board,
North Arcot.

To, The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Madras.

Sir, Adverting to the Endorsement no 628 dated 28th March last, Financial department Communicating Endorsement No 773 dated 25th Idem of the P. W. D on petition No 797 from R Nagiah Naidu late Sub Overseer appealing against his dismissal I have the honour to submit herewith the report thereon called for as to the cause of the petitioners removal from the service.

2. The petitioner was a Local Fund Sub Overseer of 3rd grade working under the D. P. Works Taluk Overseer in the Wallaja Taluk until the Local Fund Board took over charge

of Local Fund works in the beginning of 1880 and after the transfer he was for some time unavoidably left in independent charge of a portion of the same Taluk. While he was thus employed Mr. Parsick, the late Local Fund district Engineer, brought to my notice in his letter No 1179 dated 2nd May 1880 that the petitioner Nagiah haider was wholly unfit to have independent charge of works in as-much as he does not know English nor is he able to prepare plans or frame estimates for works in his charge; that he was in the habit of writing false journals; that he used to come on frivolous excuses to Ranipet and that he did not make Pairy his head quarters though he was ordered to do so by the Local Fund Engineer to whom he reported that he did so, and made false entries to that effect in his diaries. For these reasons that officer recommended his dismissal. The Local Fund Board approved the recommendation of Mr. Parsick, and accordingly at their meeting of the 11th November 1880 passed a resolution to dispense with the services of the petitioner.

3. A copy of Mr Parsick's letter referred to above is forwarded for the information of Government.

4. The petition under report is herewith returned.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

W S Whitehead

President.

Pub. Board

From

The President
Local Fund Board
North Arcot

To

The Secretary to the
Board of Revenue
Madras.

No 308
Enclo 2/655 OF 1881
Dated 22
Dep 25 } Aug 1/81
Rec'd 26

Subject

Reporting on petition
No 797 from R Rajiah
Naidu late Sub overseer
appealing against his
dismissal.

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE MADRAS.

CURRENT No. 1897

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue,

Dated 17th September 1881.

No. 8529 Miscellaneous.

READ letter from the President, Local Fund Board North Arcot dated 22nd August 1881, No 308, reporting on petition Govt No 797 from R. Rajiah Naidu, late Sub overseer, appealing against his dismissal from his post.

Submitted to Government in original with reference to their order dated 25th March 1881, No 773.

(True Extract)

C. Maclean
Ag. Sub Secretary.

To

The Chief Secretary to Govt F. D.
with original letter & its 2 enclosures.

x d 17 Aug
Copy by Comd Krishnaswamy Rajah

L.E. No 773 d/25. March 1881.

Reporter plum. 4/9

Petn (1881.) Sept 6

Board of Revenue,

MADRAS.

P. Nr. C. No 5-5-5-1

To

The Chief Secretary to Govt

R. D. & D.

2 SEP 81

E-5

Despatched 19 Sept. 1881

Received

CURRENT No. 1897

Proceedings, dated 17th September 1881.

No. 85-29 Miscellaneous.

Subject.

Submitting to Govt in original letter from the President L.F. Board North Arcot reporting on petition No 797 from R. Nagiah Naidu late Dub Overseer appealing against his dismissal

1587

Transferred to the Public Works Department

R. Davidson
Chief Secy.

Returned 23-9-81

Rembd 29-9-81 Circ: 1. 10 81 Sts 4/10.

OLS 28.9.

M. 26.9.81 Sts 6m 4/10

In Revik - Such a man would probably not now be admitted into the service at all, but some consideration is due to an old servant who entered the establishment when the standard of accomplishments was of a different nature -

As to the charges & petitions, if proved they might merit dismissal, but it does not appear that the petitioner has ever had an opportunity of rebutting them - Nor Parasuk appear he is in a state of conspiring petitioner Ramiah and Nallyah Patt -

If counsel if present can do nothing, they can do nothing - D 27/9

9.9.

I'll

Would you wish to send any down
-ations in this case?
I doubt if Dept.
Can interfere even
if disinclined in
the President's
action

25/9/81

I can only say that
the petitioners' want of
education did not pre-
vent his doing his work
I think from the
satisfaction of others
such letter gratefully

Rec'd 26.9.81 Circ: 1.10.81
A.L.S. 28.9.
M. 26.9.81

M. 26.9.81 M with

ed and laid down
in Cases 473 to 479
should on a rule be
to Monck?
Mich Principals
uld still exercise
ider the Powers con-
ided to them under
the act all the facts
with the explanation
of the accused ought
to be on record

1/10/81

ot
the
t Act
7 from
Queen
dismissed

Jr

No 2480 W PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 8th Octr 1881

Read the following :—

Petition from P. Nagiah
Tandra Lake Owner Bd.
South Arcot Dist. (R.E. of 21st March 81)

Govt. Public Works Dept (" No 773 of 25th March 81

Govt. Fin. dept (" " 628 of 28th — " —

Govt. Pctn. dept (" " 1587 of 23rd Sept 81)

The Honourable
the Governor in Council
is impelled by law
~~to abstain from~~
from
interference with the
decision of the Local
Fund Board in the
Petitioners' case, but
will be prepared
to consider any claim
to pension which the
Petitioner may be

R.S.

For information

Cases like the accompany
it must be admitted
are unsatisfactory more
I think on the incom-
plete procedure of
L.F. Boards with reference
to terms than anything
else. The Procedure
may even be doubtfully
right in his action yet
on the admitted procedure
it can be open to the
Petitioner to make out
a case absolutely of
real undetails —
As the Rev. Board
have I observe totally
overlooked the general
objectionableness of certain
sections of our new
Code as a guide to
L.F. Boards would it
not be as well that
in such matters as
dismissals the Govt.

I think the Govt must
scrupulously abstain
from interference in these
L.F. matters.

If the Pet. is considered
to be entitled & consid. I
Govt. to take & employ him in
P.W.D. then attempt & index
to L.F. Bd. & recall him
back. If he thanks for this
& his services since I think
think the Removal of L.F.
Servt. under it.

R.S.

R.S. 28.9.
Circ: 1.10.81. Rec. 4.10.81
M. 4.10.81 D. 6.10.81

enabled to establish
for former service
under Government, or
will not object to the
Petitioners re employ-
-ment in the Government
service, should his
services be required
by any Officers of
the War Department.

No 21,150 W.D. 8th October/81
B

4/10/81

To
The Petitioner
The Pension Dept.
The Init. Dept.
Do
8/10/81

M. 4.10.81. 12.6.10.81

Entd. in Receipt Regt.