

This is the form
FOR ORDERS.

B
from orders

No. 2463 Wa 6th Oct 187

*The allowances
claimed may under
the exceptional cir-
-cumstances of
the case, be ad-
mitted.*

PM

4/10/81

O.S. 36.9.

Ans: 1. 10.81. At. 4. 10. 24.

At. 4. 10. 81. 6. 10. 81

2d of the S. R. III Circle

Exr. P. W. Accts with

*Do. 100
7-10. 81 Bill*

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

Read the following:—

Letter from the Supy Engineer 3rd Circle No 837 SC
of 13th September 1881.

FOR ORDERS.

It is recommended
that the allowances in
this case which were incurred
under exceptional circum-
stances be admitted.

W. M. Mackenzie

Colonel R. G.

Secy. to Govt. P. W. Dept.

P. W. D. 3RD CIRCLE.

From

The Superintending Engineer,

3rd Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer P. W. D.
Madras.

No. 837 SC

Dated 13th

Received

} September 1881

Enclosures.

Sergeant Mac Alister.
Reports that — proceeded
to Kodaikanal under the
instructions from the
Divisional Officer.

OLB. 36.9.

Cre. 1.10.81, No. 4.10.81.

Q. 28.9.81 No. 4.10.81 Q. 6.10.81

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE, 3RD CIRCLE,

No. 837 SC

Trichinopoly 13th Sept 1881
Del'd 14th

From

Lt Col. J. Beatty R.E.

Superintending Engineer, 3rd Circle,

To

Col. R. H. Lambey C.B. R.E.
Chief Engineer P.W.D.
Madras -

Sir,

With reference to your Memorandum No. 2042 of the 3rd Instant, I have the honor to inform you that Serjt. M. C. Alister proceeded to Kodai-kanal on the 30th April last under instructions from the Divisional officer to report on a site that had been selected by the Revenue Department for a Dy. Tahsildar's Cutcherry at that place.

I have the honor to be

Sir
Yours Most Obedt. Servt
J. Beatty - Lt. Col. R.E.
Supt Engineer, 3rd Circle

P. W. D. 3RD CIRCLE.

From

The Superintending Engineer,

3rd Circle.

To

The *Chief Engineer*
P. W. D.

No. 762 S.C.

Dated *23rd* } *August 1881*
Received

Enclosures - 1 -

Ch. No 2042 d/3rd Sept 1881

Serjt. M. C. Alister
Superior -

Recommending
that — should be
allowed to draw the
Mileage & actual
expenses he incurred.

Entd in Receipt Regr.

No. 7625e Palamcottah 23/8/1881.
Sept 25

From

Lieut. Col. J. Beatty R.E.

Superintending Engineer, 3rd Circle,

To

Col. R. W. Sankey C.B. R.E.
Chief Engineer P.W.D.

Sir,

I have the honor to for-

ward herewith for orders an extract from the list of Disallowances from the Travelling Allowance Bill of the Trichinopoly Division for April 1881 No. 61 showing objections made by the Examiner of Public Works accounts to pass the Railway fares on the 8th, 9th, 24th, 28th + 30th April in addition to daily allowances, + actual expenses for the 28th + 30th April for Sergeant Mac Alister, Supervisor in charge of Madura Sub-Division. The Supervisor has given his explanation in the column

handy with still
to refer the question -

Cases of the kind are
not very frequent, and
will become rarer now
that some of our more
unmanageable divisions
have been broken up -

D 28/8

any good — so long
as Preliminary sanction
is sought by Subordinate
before undertaking
exceptional journeys
I do not care to interfere

Att
27.10.81

Establishment -

Encls 1

We should I think
in this cannot prescribe
that unless in emergency
all before Subordinates
intending to make journey,
in which the claims will
in all probability be in
excess of the usual
diurnal allowances from
594 should invariably
obtain the prior sanction
of the Engr. Engrs.

It was with this object
that I had Note I
introduced at end of
para 596 but this I
now see does not sufficiently
cover all the ground.

Attt

30/9/01

I did not see, when
writing my former note,
that the sanction of the
S. of I. would be necessary.
As it is so, it seems

S: W

Agreed but the
substitution of "Supt
Engrs" by "Government"
will have to be referred
to the S of I as the corres-
ponding term in the Latin
code was (Ch IV, iii, 66, VIII)
the words "the Local Govt.
or Administration" - Please
see to this

I think it very undesirable
that Subordinates should
ever excepted in cases of
emergency undertake journey, in their own motion
entitling them to claims be-
yond the ordinary diur-
nal allowances, as after such
exceptional journeys have
been made the Executive
Engrs as a rule (who would
much be unlikely have felt
inclined to prescribe a less
expensive method and his
sanction has originally English)
has a disinclination to disallow
the charge - the Supt Engrs
follows suit - and thus
practically there is little or
no desirable check -

an officer, and the
sanction of Government
be required to the
giving of allowance to
a subordinate under
similar conditions; the
substitution of "the
Superintending Engineer"
for "Government" would
be all that is necessary.

I

W

It might be as well
to ask why a reference
regarding the travelling
allowance for April,
is only brought forward
in September -

I

seems claimed for the
to Kodakamal, it
be remembered that
the carried
of cookies; the ordinary
can hardly be ap-
plied to such cases -

With regard to (g) it
seems to be a straining of
words to suppose that
the term "daily allowance"

para 599 does not
include half rations
as well as

and -

I venture to suggest that
para 599 might with
advantage be modified so
as to agree with para 578;
it appears unreasonable that
the S. E. should have power

No.

GOVT.
OF MADRAS.

188

SECRETARY.

Chepauk,

28th Sept

188

OFFICE NOTE.

To

W

From S. E. III In 8

T. C. of 15th Sep. 1881

Travelling allowances
of Sergeant Macalister

I think the same
the charges might be
recommended for the
sanction of Government.

Sergeant Macalister's
duties are of a peculiar
nature, involving a good
deal of travelling, and
as regards the actual

C. E. No 2042 d/3rd Sept/81
P. W. DEPARTMENT-1881-82.

MEMORANDUM.

Current No. 4894

Letter Number 762 J. C.

dated the 23rd August 1881

from the Supg. Engineer 3rd Circle

The Chief Engineer
has the honor to request
that further explanation
may be submitted
as to the particular work
which necessitated
Supt. Macalister's having
to proceed to Kodakanal
on the public service,
on the 30th April last.

(By order)

S
D. W.

To The S. E. 3rd Circle

Des 100

3/9/81

+ This is being separately
dealt with.

R. S.

30-9-81.

Disposed of by
No 2462 d/3rd Sept 1881

Don't return the

Other papers -

Resubmitted with
papers by

25.36.9.

D.W.

This correspondence
may now perhaps
be "closed"?

Note 1 to para 596 of
the Code appears to
provide sufficiently
for what W. says
in his final note
of 27/10/81.

C₄
28/10

Yes - Judge - in
further note
revised 2

a foot
and

136.9