

(1) Number of pages 16
(2) Date of repair Feb 1987
(3) Initials of the Referencer Cam V

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Rural Works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	2154W	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	31-8-1987	197

For

Not to be folded or roughly handled

2154
1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

31st August 1881, No. 2,154 W.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

Read the following paper :—

No. 116. Office Note from the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated 28th August 1881, No. 511.

From F. N. THOROWGOOD, Esq., M. Inst. C.E., Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works, to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts, dated Madras, 16th August 1881, No. 37 C.

I must ask you to give me some decided answer as to whether I am to withhold payments from the South Indian Railway Company as ordered upon your letter to Government, No. 58 W. of 6th June (G.O., No. 1,499 W., of 15th June).

2. I cannot believe that your disallowance was correct, and think that if Government had had all the facts before them the above order would not have been issued. I have since received a Government Order (No. 1,906 W., 2nd August 1881), saying that the subject is under reconsideration.

3. In the meantime the effect of your disallowance is to render it impossible for me to satisfy the demands of the South Indian Railway. I would earnestly request that some definite action may be taken, so that either a large saving may be made to the Harbour Works by following the order of 15th June, or else that I may be able to send a proper answer to the South Indian Railway Company.

No. 117. ORDER THEREON, 31st August 1881, No. 2,154 W.

The claim preferred by the South Indian Railway for compensation for depreciation of rolling stock cannot be entertained. This is one of the risks incidental to the conveyance of the class of material accepted, and should be covered by the rates charged for the traffic.

2. There is an essential difference between the present claim and that admitted in the case of the Madras Railway, by whom it was shown that the Harbour Works were responsible for an infringement of the original contract, under which the rate for the conveyance of the material was calculated, the amount of material offered for carriage having fallen short of that specified in the first instance.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works.
" Examiner, Public Works Accounts.
" Consulting Engineer for Railways.

Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Gobt. of (1881) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To
THE SUPERINTENDENT, MADRAS
HARBOUR WORKS,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated August, 31st.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 31st August 1881, No. 2154 W.

AUGUST.

Nos. 116-117.

Depreciation of Rolling Stock employed
conveying stone for the Madras Harb
Works.—Declines to entertain the
preferred by the South Indian Railway
compensation for —.

No.

From

THE SUPERINTENDENT,
MADRAS HARBOUR WORKS.

To The Engineer P. W. Accounts
Chipmunk
MADRAS 15th August 1881

Sir,
I must ask you to give me
some decided answer as to whether
I am to withhold payments from
the South Indian Railway Company
as ordered upon your letter to
Government No. 50 W of June 5th
(G. O. 1499 W of June 15th).

I cannot believe that your
disallowance was correct and
think that if Government had
had all the facts before them
the above order would not have
been issued. I have since received
a G. O. No. 1966 W August 2nd saying
that

that the subject is under re-consideration.

3. In the meantime the effect of your disallowance to render it impossible for me to satisfy the demands of the South Indian Railway - I would earnestly request that some definite action may be taken, so that either a large saving may be made to the Harbor Works by following the order of June 15th, or else that I may be able to send a proper answer to the S.I. Ry. Company.

Have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most Obedt^l Servant

J. H. Harwood
Superintendent
Harbor Works

Send this to Mr.
B. W. & inform
S. H. W. are here
some old
17/6

17/5

17/5



Read the following —

Office Note of the Examiner of Accounts No. 511 dated
20th August 1881.

FOR ORDERS.

Renew

LM

So far as the papers
before Government show, there
seem to be an essential
difference between the claim
of the S. I. Railway now
sent up for order and that
of the Madras Railway which
has been decided by the
Govt. of India.

2. In the latter case, the
claim first took the form
of a charge for depreciation

R.S.

of Rolling Stock in which
form it could not be
entertained. but it also
appeared from the correspondence
that the Harbour Works
were responsible for an
infringement of the original
contract under which the
rate of conveyance of
Harbour material was
calculated. the amount
of material offered for
conveyance having fallen
short of that specified
in the first instance. the
claim therefore, resolved
itself into one of compensation
for loss of revenue due
to a specially reduced rate
having been conceded for
a certain amount of traffic.

In this form the claim has
been entertained and a
special additional payment
authorized. $\frac{25}{6}$

3. The S. I. Railway have
yet to show whether they
can support their claim on
similar grounds. If not,
it would appear to be
untenable.

C. W. Mackenzie

Colonel

Secretary to Govt. M. Dept.

FOR ORDERS.

Hon the Governor

2157, W

old 24/8. Cir 24/3.

4.6.1011 W. 1012 W. 20 April 1887
11.99 W. 15 June 1887.

[Exr. Wks. Form No. 111.]

GOVT. } 1887 82 { P. W.
OF MADRAS. } DEPT.
EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 20 AUGUST 1887

Office Note No. 511.

To Encls. 1

PLEASE PERUSE THE ACCOMPANYING LETTER NO. 37 C. DATED 16TH AUGUST 1881 FROM THE SUPERINTENDENT MADRAS HARBOUR WORKS (TO BE RETURNED) AND FAVOR ME WITH EARLY ORDERS THEREON.

KEEP WITH

Genl. No.

dated

18

From order

No. 2154 W PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 31st Aug^r 1887
Read the following:—

Office note from the Examiner Public Works Accounts. H.E. No 511-dt 20th Aug^r 1887 with enclosure.

The claim preferred by the South Indian Railway for compensation for depreciation of Rolling Stock cannot be entertained. This is one of the risks incidental to the conveyance of the class of material accepted, and should be covered by the rates charged for the traffic.

2. There is an essential difference between the present claim and that admitted in the case of the Madras Railway by whom it was shown that the Harbour works

L.S.

were responsible for an
-infungment of the orig-
inal Contract - under
which the rate for the
Conveyance of the mater-
ial was calculated -
the amount of materials
offered for carriage hav-
ing fallen short of that
specified in the first
instance.

MM

25.8.81

To/
The Superd: M. H. Woods,
Examiner P.W. Accounts,
Consulting Engineer
For Railways &
Road

9.9.81

8
Govt. of 1881 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

Dated

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

1-9-81
G. O., 31 Aug 1881, No. 2154

Nos. *116-117*

Depreciation of Working Stock
for employed in conveying stone
for the M. Harbour and the
Declines to estimate the claim
incurred by the S. & P. for
Compensation for 

Entd. in Receipt
Pegor.