

(1) Number of pages 22
(2) Date of repair 19-2-87
(3) Initials of the Referencer low

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Public works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1861 (w)	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	29-7-1881	197.

225

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1861

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

29th July 1881, No. 1,861 W.

General.
Account.

Read the following papers :—

No. 76. From the Consulting Architect to Government, dated 20th June 1881, No. 220.

No. 76-A. Endorsement of the Military Department, dated 24th June 1881, No. 3,431.

No. 76-B. From the Inspector-General of Ordnance, through the Military Department, dated 30th June 1881, No. G-115.

No. 76-C. From the Officiating Controller of Military Accounts, No. F, dated 19th July 1881, intimating that the value of all stores issued from stock employed in the manufacture of the coat-of-arms of Government house, Ootacamund, should be merely written off, and the Public Works Department debited in the usual manner for the charges on account of labor, &c., alone.

No. 76-D. Endorsement of the Military Department, No. 3,947, dated 25th July 1881, approving of the course indicated by the Controller of Military Accounts.

No. 77. ORDER THEREON, 29th July 1881, No. 1,861 W.

COMMUNICATED to the Consulting Architect to Government and to the Superintending Engineer, Second Circle, for information and guidance.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government, P.W.D.

To the Consulting Architect to Government, with two Enclosures.
" Superintending Engineer, Second Circle.
" Examiner of Public Works Accounts.
" Military Department.

Exd. E. C. Shunker.
Spare Copies

GENERAL
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of 1831: *Madras*.

PUBLIC WORKS.

THE CONSULTING ARCHITECT,
TO GOVERNMENT.

Co., Co., Co.

Dated July 1831.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 20th July 1831, No. 1,581 W.

JULY.

Nos. 76-77.

*Correspondence for Government House, Cochin-
carnal. — Papers regarding the incidence of
the cost of the — communicated to the Con-
sulting Architect and the Superintendent
Engineer, Second Circle, for information and
guidance.*

ROADS AND BUILDINGS.

No. 220

CONSULTING ARCHITECT'S OFFICE,

Chennai, 20th June 1851

1851
2

From

THE CONSULTING ARCHITECT TO GOVERNMENT,

To

THE CHIEF ENGINEER, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
BUILDINGS AND ROADS BRANCH.

SIR,

I have the honor to state that a bill of 1707-0-6
has been presented to me by the Ordnance Depart-
ment for making a Royal Coat of Arms for Govt.
House Cochinarnal.

2. The sum in my estimate amounts to Rupees
1000/- only for this sum I could have executed
the work in Granite. At the request of His
Grace The Duke of Buckingham & Chandos
the arms were constructed at the Gun Carriage
Manufactory of Gun metal and that I
understood His Grace to say that the metal
itself was not to be charged for.

3. The total cost of the arms was exclusive
of carriage Rupees 2030-0-0. and as the value
of the Gun metal amounts to Rupees 913-0-0
I shall feel obliged if the Government will
ascertain from Col Cadell whether it was
not understood at the time the article was
made that the Gun metal was to be

Enclosures.

Letter No. 2026
of 15th June 1851
from the Dy Insp^t
Genl. of Ordnance
with enclosure.

inspired and not charged for.

I have the honor to be

in

your most obedient servant.

W. H. L. W. H.

Long Ache to Government.

27

Memo No 357 a/24-8-80.

Ootacamund
24th June 1881.

Forwarded for
report thro' the
Controller of Military
Accounts.

As Secy to Govt.

The Insp. Genl. of Ordnance

July: 1881: Dept
P. W. C. 103581

ROADS AND BUILDINGS.

No. 220

From
The Consulting Architect
to Government,
To
The Chief Engineer, P. W. Department,
Buildings and Roads Branch.

No. 220

Dated 20th June 1881

Received 24th

No. of ENCLOSURES. 2

Cost of Royal Arms for
Govt House Ootacamund.

C.E. No 1490 d/22 June/81.

Referred, through the
Military Department, to
the Inspector General of
Ordnance, with advice
to para 3. (By order)

As. Under Secy to Govt. P.W.D.
Ootacamund.

London. 30th June. 1881.

No. 115 1881

The Secretary to Government,
Military Department,
Ootacamund.

Sir,
I have the honor to return the letter
from the Consulting Architect to Govt.
which was forwarded to me for report under
your despatch No 2431 dated 24th inst. and
in it Mr. Nicholson states that he under-
stood His Grace the Duke of Buckingham
and Chandos to say that the Architect
obtained from the Ordnance Department
for the construction of a Royal Coat of Arms
for Government house at Ootacamund
was not to be charged for and he
requests Government to ascertain from
myself whether it was not understood
at the time of construction that the
metal was simply to be transferred
for the purpose and not charged for.

I beg to state for the information

Yours faithfully

motion of the Honorable the Governor
in Council that it would have been
beyond my competence to have entered
into such an understanding and that
been the case of it was, as far as I am
concerned, a moot point. The first Com.

munications I received on the subject
was the attached semi-official letter
from Major Hobart, Military Secretary
to His Grace, dated 7th July last. In
reply, I stated that bronze was availa-
ble for the purpose and that the man-
ufacture could be carried out in
the Gun Lammage Factory. After com-

munications I believe took place be-
tween the same Officer and the Com-
muting Architect and the Superin-
tendent of the Gun Lammage Factory,
but when in the evening I wrote
the latter applied to me for per-
mission to go on with the work.

1861
5
I officiously declined to sanction it pri-
-or to the receipt of the usual Depart-
-mental application. nor would I al-
-low the use of any gun metal
for the purpose prior to the receipt of
the sanction of Government, conveyed
in your telegram dated 21st August
last.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedt Servant
R. G. of Ind.

July: 1881 Dep.

No: 4126

From
The J. J. O.

30.6.81.
21.7.81.

1.5

1/873

of certain arms and for production
of a Report (out of House for Synium
ment House, Botacannund.

Recd. 31 Dec 1881

Mr. Secretary to Government
Military Department
Botacannund

Mr. Secretary to Government
Botacannund

Military 1881 Department.

F

Office of Controller of Military Accts.
Fort Saint George 19 July 1881

From

1881
Lt. Colonel M. C. Perrean.
Office Controller of Military Accts.
Fort Saint George.

To

Colonel E. L. Hankin.
As Secretary to Government
Military Department.
Botacannund

Sir,

In forwarding the marginal
papers, I have the honor
to state that in the
opinion of this Department
the value of all Stores
issued from Stock
employed in the manufacture
of the Coat of Arms for Govern-
ment House, Botacannund, should
be merely written off, and the
Public Works Department debited,
in the usual manner, for the
charges.

charges on account of labor, &c.,
alone.

I have the honor to be

Sir,
Your obedient servant,

Frederick L. Colwell.

Off. Controller of mil. Apts.

July: 1882: Dept
The Controller of mil. Acct.
Fort Saint George.

The Secretary to Govt.
Mil. Dept.

Dated 14 July 1881
Received 21st

F 12/11/81
Enclos 5

Retarus, with report,
Mil. Dept. memo no 3131
of 22 June 1881 in re adjustment
of the charge incurred in
supplying a horse coat
of arms for Government House
Ootacamund

N: 3947. Transferred to
the Pub. Works Dept.
and the related papers
returned.

The Govt. in the
Mil. Dept approve the
course indicated by
the Contr. of Mil. Accts.

(By order)

W. A. C. C. C.

As. Secy to Govt.

25th July 81.

W. A. C. C. C.

C 21-7-81. 1925

7th July 1880 12/11/81

Ootacamund
My dear General Giddie
I am directed by
His Grace the Govt.
to ask you if you
think some old
brass frames can
be spared for
making a casting
of the Royal Arms

for the top of
this house.
The clayon would
be contained in
a space of about
5' x 10'. The
casting would
probably be left
them 2" thick
all over when finished.

I have no just
idea of what
weight of metal
would be required.
but perhaps
15 or 18 cwt.

The Duke will
be much obliged
if you will say
if you think
some obsolete ^{British}
or uninteresting

natives fund could
 be made available
 His Grace thinks
 that such a custom
 would best be
 done at the same
 Carnage Factory.
 The model seemed
 sufficient by the
 Consulting Architect

I believe are
 sincerely
 Yours
 J. P. [Signature]

Mr. [Signature]
 4233/11

X
 South as shown
 [Signature]

P. W. Department

N^o 1861 W

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT

Under date the 29th July 1881.

Read the following

Letter from the Consulting Architect to
 Govt., No. 220, dated 20th June 1881.

Endorsement of the Military Department
 No. 3481, dated 24th June 1881.

Letter from the Director General of Ordnance
 through the Military Department No. 8
 dated 30th June 1881.

Letter from the offg. Controller of Military
 Accounts, No. 12, dated 17th July 1881, inti-
 -mating that 'the value of all stores issued
 from stock employed in the manufacture
 of the coat of arms for Govt. House, Palace,
 -ments, should be merely written off, and
 the P. W. Department debited, in the usual
 manner, for the charges on account of labor,
 &c., &c.

Endorsement of the Military Department
 No. 3947, dated 25th July 1881, approving of the same
 indicated by the Controller of Military Accounts.

communicated to

the

R.S.

the Consulting Architect
to Government and to
the Superintending
Engineer, Second Circle,
for information and
guidance.

AM

26/7/94

Eng. Architect. ^{with 2. inst.} ~~to Govt.~~
Sup. Engr. Second Circle.
Engr. P. M. Acharya & Desai
Nile Depart^{ment} 4-8-8
AM

P.L. 34/7. 20-7.

4283

Govt. of (1881) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To

Dated

Encl.

Recd.

Encl.

G. O. 29 July 1881, No. 1861 W

No. 77

Coat of Arms for Fort Monroe

Atacamuna - Papers

regarding the incidence of

the cost of the — comd to the

Consulting Architect and the

Subj. of Second Circle, for

information and guidance.

55

Encl. in Receipt
Recd.