

From the Superintending Engineer First Circle
No. 615 dated 16th June 1881

FOR ORDERS.

The case is no doubt hard, but in the
approaching expansion of the Dept. it is pro-
bable that many of these men will be
re-employed. *Atkinson Coll. R.E.*

Secretary to Gov. P.W.D.
W.D.

I understand these cases
the two of men discharged
re-employed.

Containing cannot
pay the pay attached
to this new post. 2nd
life & that they former
and I think involved
and. *26/6/81*

that should be
allowed to count towards
in service as far as the
proceeding the former pay

No. 1617 W of 29th June 81

FOR ORDERS.

*B
from orders*

No. 1617 W of 29th June 81

The Hon: the Governor
in Council considers
that the previous
service of these men
may be allowed to
count towards incre-
ments up to an amount
not exceeding their
former pay, as was
decided in the cases
disposed of in G.O.
1315 W. d/23 May 1881.

[Signature]

24/6/81

*S. E. M. Curle
Ex S. W. A. 29.6.81.*

Cir 20/6 O.L.S. 22.

*G.O. No 1636 W. d/12 July 1880
" " 2913 W. d/20 Dec 1880
B.h. 668 W. d/3rd March 1881*

L.H.C. No 3594

From

The Superintending Engineer,
1st Circle,

To

The Chief Engineer
Public Works Dept
Madras.

Dated

16th June

1881.

Recd.

17

ENCLOSURES.

No. 615

Reports that the Executive
Engineer Vizagapatam District
appeals to the Government
to consider the cases of
reduction of salary of two
of his office servants—
[Signature]

G.O. 17/6/81 d/27/81

on the 31 October 1880, says that the Government have generously granted personal allowance to the Servants that have been retained and he requests me to recommend that personal allowance may be given to those who have been re-employed within 6 months of the date of their discharge.

4. Next on the 18th April, the Executive Engineer forwarded a petition from his Office Servants, asking to be allowed the pay they drew previous to being discharged in the general reduction; thus;

	Minimum pay.	Maximum pay.	Former pay	Now claimed
R. Venkat Rao Draftsman }	20.	30.	40	30.
M. Narasimham Clerk }	20.	30.	25.	25.
Now drawn.				

Reference was made in this petition to the case of a draftsman in the Kistna District K. Narasimha Row Naidoo as having been specially dealt with.

5. Again on the 29th ultimo the Executive Engineer writes that he has been given to understand

that in G. O. 568W dated 3rd March 1881, the Government have sanctioned the maximum pay of his grade to Draftsman R. Nara Simha row maidoo and states that Draftsman R. Venkata row was drawing Rs 70 in a former (Jeypore) Range, but was reduced to Rs 40 in 1876; and is now on receipt of only Rs 20.—

6. Have twice declined to address you on this subject; as the Executive Engineer asks that the Government may be moved to alter their orders. The case of the Draftsman appears hard, as represented; but there is more or less suffering inflicted on all the office servants who have been entertained on reduced pay, after having been discharged - I would be glad, if the original salaries would be given to the Clerk and the Draftsman; for I have no objection to make as to their conduct and attainments; but it appears to me, that no reasons have been put forward for their exemption, from the reduction of salary, that has been carried out in other cases.

I have the honour to be

Sir,
Your most Obedient Servt.

Yours most obedient servant,
 Wm Brewster Lt Lieut Col R.E.

Superintending Engineer 1st Grade

SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER'S OFFICE,

MADRAS,

No. 615-

Chepauk, 16th June 1881.

From Lt Colonel H. L. Prendergast R.E.
THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
1st CIRCLE,

To THE Chief Engineer
Public Works Dept.
Madras.

SIR,

Enclosures.

I have the honour to report that the Executive Engineer of the Vizagapatam Division appeals to the Government, to consider the cases of reduction of salary of two of his Office servants who were discharged last year, and subsequently re-entertained again.

2. In November last, I answered the first letter from the Executive Engineer to the effect, that I did not find there was any ground for making an application to the Government. Again in May I stated my regret, that as the Government had given definite orders, I was unable to make a representation.

3. The Executive Engineer writing

G.O. 1636W
12th July 1880.