

No. 863 S.A. *Trichinopoly 8th January 1881.*
Dept 10th

From

Lt Col J. Beatty R.E.

Establishment

Superintending Engineer, 3rd Circle,

To

Colonel R. A. Sautrey. C.B. R.E.

Chief Engineer P.W. Depart.

Sir

I have the honor to request you will be good enough to sanction the appointment of 2 temporary Clerks and 4 Lascars the former on Rs. 15/- the latter on Rupees 6 each for Overseers Ramachandra Sastri, and Mr. Johannes, who were recently transferred from the 2nd Circle for emergent work in the South Arcot Division, and to recommend that the sanction be given for 6 months, within which time a report will be made if it be required longer.

I have the honor to be
 your most obed^t Servant
J. Beatty. R.E.

Superintending Engineer 3rd

P.W. C. R. 185
Establishment

No. 1488.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 19th June/81.

Read the following:—

From
The Supy Engineer
3rd Circle

To
The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

From,

The Supy Engineer 3rd Circle (A.C. No 863, P.W.D. 1887)

No 863 Lit

Dated 8th January 1887
Recd.

2. Temporary Clerks &
4 Lascars.

Requesting sanction
for the appointment
of —

The entertainment of
the proposed temporary
Establishment is sanctioned.

2. The precise sum required
for allotment during the
current year should be
reported when a specific
appropriation of funds
will be made.

3. Should the Establish-
ment be necessary after
the end of the official

year the application
should be renewed.

S.
m.

U. H. H.

13/1/81

This sanction has
been registered as
Provincial No 536
of 1880/81 and classifi-
ed as Establishment.

Per.

148 W. 919 Jan'y 1881

190 & 191

S. E. 3rd mile.

Ex. J. W. etc.

Ch. J. L. 20-1-81

C. 14-1 117-1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

18th January 1881, No. 146 W.

General.
Account.

Read the following paper:—

PROVINCIAL.
PART I.—Irregularities with respect to Estimate for the Month of September 1880.

Item Number.	Financial Head.	Departmental Head.	Particulars.	Number of Appearances in the Statement of Objectionable Items.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Expenditure during Month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer.	Remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government.
1	..	Original Works.	Without Estimate and Appropriation. Salem. Venar Project	1	September	..	5,083	5,083	..		This work was undertaken during the late famine and the normal value of it was erroneously debited to "Irrigation."—Under orders contained in G.O., No. 561 I., dated 31st August, 1880, that amount, viz., 5,083, was written back to "Provincial." As the Executive Engineer has not as yet effected the adjustment in his accounts, although he has been informed of it in this office audit note for July 1880, the item is brought to the special notice of the local Government for orders. A sanctioned estimate and an appropriation of funds to the extent of the outlay thus incurred are necessary.	ORDER THEREON, 15th Jan. 1881, No. 146 W. The Superintending Engineer, Second Circle, should have this objection removed.
Total ..				..	..	..	5,083	5,083	..			

PART I.—Irregularities with respect to Estimate for the Month of September 1880—*cont.*

Item Number.	Departmental Head.	Particulars.	Number of Appearances in the Statement of Objectionable Items.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer.	Remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government.
2	..	Repairs.	1	Sept. 1880.	..	253	253	200	The Executive Engineer states that these works were carried out on emergency and the estimates will be sanctioned shortly.	The sanction of the estimates awaited.	2. Immediate steps should be taken to have the necessary estimates for these items sanctioned.
3	..	Do.	1	Do. "	..	213	213	186			
		Total ..	..	..	..	466	466	386			
4	..	Tools and Plant.	9	Jan. 1880.	120	440	320	..	The Executive Engineer reports, in his Statement of Objectionable Items for January 1880, that the Superintending Engineer has been requested to sanction a revised estimate for the work.	As the Executive Engineer has failed to bring this forward in his Objectionable Item Statement submitted to this office since January 1880, and as no attempt appears to have been made by him to remedy the irregularity, the objection is brought specially to the notice of the local Government for orders.	3. The attention of the Superintending Engineer, First Circle, is called to paragraph 3 of G.O., No. 2,701 W., of 24th November 1880.
5	..	Constructing Sub-divisional Office at Salur.	8	Feb. "	1,575	1,949	374	..			
									The amount of Rs. 1,949 represents the outlay on the item up to end of last official year. An appropriation has now been made to cover the excess. The irregularity has been pointed out to Government in an office note and was asked to sanction the excess outlay, resuming the appropriation already made for the work.	4. The appropriation alluded to was cancelled in G.O., No. 2,803 W., of 6th December 1880.	

6	..	Original Works.	1	Sept. 1880.	7,681	7,974	293	330	The Executive Engineer states that work was very nearly completed.	Completion report is awaited.	5. A revised estimate, amounting to Rs. 10,270, was sanctioned in G.O., No. 2,886 W., dated 14th December 1880.
7	..	Do.	20	Oct. 1877.	11,566	15,564	4,008	-27		The Executive Engineer has submitted a completion certificate for the work which was returned to him for further particulars.	6. The completion report for this work is now under the consideration of Government.
8	..	Tools and Plant (new and supplies).	8	Feb. 1880.	1,200	1,701	501	..		The Executive Engineer has omitted this item from his Objectionable Item Statement for the current month. He will be written to take steps to remedy this irregularity as early as possible.	7. The Superintending Engineer, Second Circle, will lose no time to have this objection removed.
9	..	Original Works.	2	Aug. "	2,78,000	2,84,018	8,018	-44		No explanation has been offered by the Executive Engineer in his Objectionable Item Statement, the item is brought to the notice of the local Government for orders.	8. Do. do.
10	..	Do.	4	June "	1,720	1,954	234	..		No explanation has been offered by the Executive Engineer regarding the item.	9. The Superintending Engineer, First Circle, will lose no time to have this objection removed.
		Total ..	..	..	2,99,862	3,13,690	13,748	259			

Reports not of the Exam- ing Works UNITS. Rs. 2,500. Tahak Cut- eriyakulam.	Number of Objections in the 5 Months	Month in which Expe- dient appeared.	Number of Objections	Amount of rs.	Amount ex- rs.	Intending Engineer.	Remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by the Local Government.
Total ..	3	July 1880..	1	33,476	32,672		The officers concerned have already been directed by Government to expedite the submission of these completion reports. The Executive Engineer, Cuddapah, explains, in his Objectionable Item Statement for July 1880, that the delay in the submission of the completion certificates stated to have been due by him is owing to the insufficiency of his establishment; he further states that the completion certificates should have been submitted by his predecessors, but as they have failed to do so, they will now be prepared and submitted to the Examiner of Public Works Accounts as soon as practicable. The officers concerned have already been directed by Government to expedite the submission of these work abstracts. As regards the submission of work abstracts for works in Cuddapah Division, the Executive Engineer states that, "as the Overseer has charge of works in four taluks, it will probably take some time before the work abstracts can be prepared; they should have been regularly kept up while the works were in progress."	
Rs. 2,500.	..	..	1	33,476	32,672			
..	..	..	24	26,681	13,350			
..	..	..	10	3,189	2,625			
..	..	..	4	756	756			
Total ..	..	..	38	30,626	16,711			
..	..	..	1	28,988	5,632			
..	..	..	1	33,070	32,819			
..	..	..	1	14,895	30			
..	..	..	1	29,888	29,350			
Total..	..	..	..	1,06,841	67,831			

reported as having failed to submit the Objectionable Item Statements of their respective divisions for the month of September 1880:—

Divisions. { Cuddapah Mr. K. F. Nordmann.
Timnevelly " J. W. Martin.

(True Extract.)

ers, First, Second and Third Circles.
s Presidency.
cks Accounts.

Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.
Major, R.E.,

10. The special attention of the officers concerned is requested to paragraph 6 of G.O., No. 2,393 W., of 18th October 1880, directing that efforts should be made to submit the completion reports and work abstracts at an early date.

GENERAL
ACCOUNT.

Gobt. of (1881) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEERS,
FIRST, SECOND AND THIRD CIRCLES,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated January, 18th.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 18th January 1881, No. 146 W.

JANUARY.

Statement of Objectionable Items for the
Month of September 1880 under Provincial.—
Passing orders on the — .

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT, MADRAS.

[Sheet No. /]

Part I.—Irregularities with respect to Estimate.

Month of *September* 1880.

Item No.	Financial Head.	Departmental Head.	Particulars.	No. of appearances in the Statement of Objectable Items.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectable Expenditure first appeared.	Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over Estimate.	Expenditure during month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer.	Remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government.
			<i>Without Estimate and Appropriation</i>									
			<i>Salem</i>									
<i>1</i>			<i>Original Vanniar Project Works</i>		<i>1 September</i>	<i>5,083</i>	<i>5,083</i>			<i>Nil</i>	<i>This work was undertaken during the late famine and the normal value of it was erroneously debited to 'Irrigation'. Under orders contained in G.O. No. 561 dated 31st August 1880, that amount namely 5083 was written back to 'Provincial'. As the Executive Engineer has not as yet effected the adjustment in his accounts, although he has been informed of it in this Office audit Note for July 1880, the item is brought to the special notice of the Local Government for orders. As sanctioned Estimate and an appropriation of funds to the extent of the outlay thus incurred are necessary.</i>	<i>The Supy. Engr. Mr. Cole should take immediate steps to have this objection removed.</i>
			<i>Total</i>			<i>5083</i>	<i>5083</i>					

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT, MADRAS.

MENT, MADRAS.

[Sheet No. 3.]

Provincial

Part I.—Irregularities with

respect to Estimate.

Month of September 1880.

Item No.	Financial Head.	Departmental Head.	Particulars.	No. of appearances in the Statement of Objectionable Items.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over Estimate.
5			Excess over Estimate Pagapattam Tools and Plant (new supplies)		9 January 1880	120	440	320
6			Original Constructing Sub-divisional Works Office at Salur		2 February 1880	1575	1949	374

* Here enter Imperial, Provincial, Special, or Local as the case may be.

Expenditure during month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer.	Remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government.
	The Executive Engineer reports in his statement of Objectionable Items for January 1880 and that the Superintendent's Office has been requested to sanction a revised estimate for the work.	As the Executive Engineer has failed to bring this forward in his statement submitted to this Office since January 1880 and as no attempt appears to have been made by him to remedy the irregularity, the objection is brought specially to the notice of the Local Government for orders.	The attention of the Superintending Engineer's Office is called to para 3 of G.O. No. 27018 of 24th Nov/80.
	Nil	The amount of Rs 1949 represents the outlay on the item up to end of last official year. An appropriation has now been made to cover the excess. The irregularity has been pointed out to Government in an office Note and was asked to sanction the excess outlay, resuming the appropriation already made for the work.	The appropriation was decided to was cancelled in G.O. No. 28038 of 6th Dec/80.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPART

MENT, MADRAS.

[Sheet No. 4]

Provincial

Part I.—Irregularities

respect to Estimate.

Month of September 1880.

Item No.	Financial Head.	Departmental Head.	Particulars.	No. of apparent excesses in the Statement of Objectionable Items.	Month in the Accounts of which Objectionable Expenditure first appeared.	Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over Estimate.
7			Excess over Estimate Presidency Original Constructing boundary wall Works and gates at Chappak		September 1880	7,681	7,974	293
8			Nagapattinam Original Constructing Dy. Tahsildar's Works butchery and sub-pail without houses at Vada- raviam		20 October 1877	11,556	15,564	4,008
9			West Coast Tools and Tools and Plant (new Plant supplies)		8 February 1880	1200	1,701	501
10			Original Constructing Government Works house at Arcwood		2 August 1880	2,76,100	2,84,018	8,018

Expenditure during month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer.	Remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government.
330	The Executive Engineer states that work was very nearly completed.	Completion Report is awaited.	A revised estimate amounting to Rs 10,270 was sanctioned in G.O. No 2886 of 14 th Dec 80.
	Nil	The Executive Engineer has submitted a completion certificate for the work which was returned to him for further particulars.	The completion report for this work is now under the consideration of Government.
	Nil	The Executive Engineer has omitted this item from his Objectionable Items statement for the current month. He will be written to take steps to remedy this irregularity as early as possible.	The Supg. Engineer 2 ^d Circle will lose no time to have this objection removed.
	Nil	No explanation has been offered by the Executive Engineer in his Objectionable Items statement, the item is brought to the notice of the Local Government for orders.	

PUBLIC WORKS DEPART

Part I.—Irregularities with

MENT, MADRAS.

[Sheet No. 5]

Amount to Estimate.

Month of *September* 18*80*.

Item No.	Financial Head.	Depart-mental Head.	Particulars.	No. of appear-ances in the Statement of Objectionable Items.	Months in the Accounts of which Objec-tionable Expenditure first appeared	Amount of Estimate.	Expendi-ture up to date.	Excess over Estimate.
			<i>Excess over Estimate</i>					
			<i>Kuddapah</i>					
"			<i>Original Purchasing and converting Works</i>					
			<i>Malerijs Binogalau into a Police Station</i>		<i>1 June 1880</i>	<i>1720</i>	<i>1954</i>	<i>234</i>
			<i>Total</i>			<i>299862</i>	<i>313,600</i>	<i>13,740</i>

Expenditure during month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer.	Remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by Local Government.
		<i>No explanation has been offered by the Executive Engineer regarding the item.</i>	<i>The Supg. Engr. 14 Arde will have no time to have this objection removed.</i>
	<i>Nil</i>		
<i>259</i>			

PUBLIC WORKS DEPART

Part II.—Irregularities with

MENT, MADRAS.

[Sheet No. _____]

[illegible]

PUBLIC WORKS DEPART

Miscellaneous

Division.	Item No.	Name of Work, &c.	No. of appear- ances in the Statement of Objec- tion- able Items.	Month in the Accounts of which Objec- tionable Expenditure first appeared	No. of Works.	Amount of Estimate.
		Completion Reports not sub- mitted to the Examiner P. W. Secy				
		Works above Rs 2,500.				
Trichinopoly	1	Constructing Salug Butchery at Perakulam	3	July 1880	1	33,476.
		Total			1	33,476
		Works below Rs 2,500.				
Cuddalore	2				24	26,681.
Nellore	3				10	3,189
Presidency	4				4	756.
		Total			38	30,626

MENT, MADRAS.

[Sheet No. 6]

Irregularities.

Amount Expended.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer.	Remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by the Local Government.
32,672.		The officers concerned have already been direct- ed by Government to ex- pedite the submission of these completion Reports.	
32,672			
13,320.		The Executive Engineer Cuddalore explains in his Objectionable Item statement for July 1880 that the delay in the sub- mission of the completion certificates stated to have been due by him is owing to the insufficiency of his Establishment. He further states that the completion certificates should have been sub- mitted by his predecessors, but as they have failed to do so, they will now be prepared and submitted to the Examiner P. W. Accounts as soon as practicable.	The special attention of the officers concerned is requested to paragraph 6 of G. O. No. 2373 of 18 th October 1880, directing that efforts should be made to submit the completion reports and
2,625.			
756.			
16,711.			

PUBLIC WORKS DEPART

MENT, MADRAS.

[Sheet No. 7]

Miscellaneous

Irregularities.

Division.	Item No.	Name of Work, &c.	No. of appearances in the Statement of Objections to the Accounts of the Month in the Accounts of which Objections are first appeared	No. of Works.	Amount of Estimate.	Amount Expended.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer.	Remarks by the Examiner.	Orders by the Local Government.
		Work abstracts for works above Rs 10,000 not submitted to the Examiner P.W. Accounts.							
Tanjore	1	Tanjore Palace Repairs	July to September 1880	1	28,900	5,632		The Officers concerned have already been directed by Government to expedite the submission of these work abstracts.	and work abstracts at an early date.
Cuddalore	2	New Salug. Kutcherry at Nadri	April 1879 to September 1880	1	33,070	32,819			
	3	Sub collector's Kutcherry at Madhanapalle	June to September 1880	1	14,895	30			
	4	New Salug. Kutcherry and out houses at Madhanapalle	January to September 1880	1	29,888	29,350			
		Total			1,06,841	67,831			
					~~2,66,841~~	~~43,909~~			

As regards the submission of work abstracts for works in Cuddalore Division, the Executive Engineer states that "as the overseer has charge of works in Salugs, it will probably take some time before the work abstracts can be prepared; they should have been regularly kept up while the works were in progress."

Deputed to
Super Engineer
P.W. Works
R. N. P. W. Works

31-1-87.

15
The following Officers are specially reported as having failed to submit the objectionable item statements of their respective divisions for the month of September 1880.

<u>Divisions</u>	<u>Names of Officers.</u>
Cuddapah	Mr. H. F. Nordmann.
Sinnivelly	Mr. J. W. Martin.

Madras.
December 1880.

D. H. Francis Major R.E.
Offg. Examiner P.W. Accounts.

23/12

CH
23/12

From

THE EXAMINER,

PUBLIC WORKS ACCOUNTS,

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Dated

Received

} 23^d Decr 1880

No. 68.

Forwards for the orders of the Local
Government a Statement of Objectionable
Items in the Accounts of Executive Engineer
for the month of September 1880,
under Normal

D. H. Paul *Reynolds*

As Examiner, P. W. Accounts.

With Order

8/5-0

Govt. of (1879) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To

Dated

Amount

Recd.

Paid.

1000
1000

1000
1000

Nos.

Statement of the
- able items for the
months of Sept 80
under Provincial

Passing orders on
the _____.

188 2189

90
4