

Pb. c. 302

considered under the rules
of 1877, or, at all events, referred
to the Govt. of India, before sanc-
tioning a departure therefrom.

Nov 19 1880

I have the honor to be,

Sir

Your most Obedt. Servt.

W.P. Jenkins

Capt. R. C.

Asst. Secy. to the Govt. of India

S. M.

28.4.81 No 1123.24 W

B

No 1292 W. 20/5/81

Recorded

Cy

Clk

To Mr. Secy. P.W.O.

with spec. & Co. No 1123 W

22nd Apr 1881. 20/5/81

Circular No. 6 P.W.
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
GENERAL.

SIMLA, April 27, 1881.

The Governments of Madras, Bombay, Bengal, North-Western Provinces and Oudh and the Punjab, in the Public Works Department.
The Chief Commissioner, Central Provinces, British Burma, Assam and Coorg.
The Resident, Hyderabad.
The Agents to the Governor General for Central India, Rajputana and Beloochiistan.
The Accountant General, Public Works Department.
The Inspector General of Military Works.
The Director General of Railways.
The Consulting Engineers to the Government of India for Guaranteed Railways, Calcutta, Lahore and Lucknow.
The Director General of Telegraphs in India.
The Director-in-Chief, Indo-European Telegraph.
The Director, Persian Telegraph.
The Deputy Director, Persian Gulf Telegraph.

The following is forwarded to the Local Governments, Administrations and officers marginally noted for information and guidance.

By Order,



Under Secretary to the Govt. of India.

No. 1512, dated 5th April 1881.

From—The Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Finance and Commerce,

To—The Accountant General, Madras.

In reply to your letter No. 1090, dated 19th March 1881, I am directed to say—

- (1) That the intension of section 36 of the Acting Allowance Code is that an officer appointed *substantively* to a progressive appointment shall, in respect of reckoning service for pay in that appointment, be in the same position as if he were appointed to officiate in the appointment; and that as, under the operation of section 37 (a) of the Code, the acting allowances of an officer appointed to officiate in a progressive appointment are calculated with reference to the whole period during which he may have held that or a similar appointment, whether continuously or not, and whether substantively or in an officiating capacity, necessarily the salary that would be thus admissible to an officiating officer should be the pay of the officer if he is appointed substantively to the appointment. Mr. E. M. Palmer, who before the date of the publication of the recent amendments in the rules regarding progressive salaries, namely, the 1st November 1880, had to his credit more than four years' service in the appointment in the Class Rs. 600-50-800, or, in a similar appointment, would on that date, if he had continued to officiate in the appointment instead of being substantively appointed to it, have been entitled to have his acting allowance calculated upon the minimum pay of the appointment, *plus* four increments thereon, that is, upon the maximum pay of Rs. 800, and this would give him a salary of Rs. 760. This salary is accordingly granted to him from the 1st November 1880.
- (2) That Mr. H. S. Groves, who on the 1st November 1880 was officiating in an appointment in the Class Rs. 600-50-800, having before acted in that appointment for upwards of three years, was on that date entitled to acting allowance calculated upon the minimum pay of the appointment, *plus* three increments thereon, that is, upon a pay of Rs. 750. In other words, Mr. Groves was on the 1st November 1880 entitled to a salary of Rs. 750 a month as an officer officiating in Class Rs. 600-50-800. The orders in letter to the Comptroller General No. 1749, dated 30th December 1880, passed under a misapprehension, are hereby withdrawn.

The Secretary to the Government of Madras

P. W. D. Department

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
GENERAL.

Circular No. 6 P.W.

Dated SIMLA, April 27, 1881.

Received 18 May.

Mode of calculating officiating allowances of an officer acting in a progressive appointment.

Govt. Central Branch Press, Simla.—No. 39 P. W. D.—2-5-81.—1,375.

Circular No. 6 P.W.
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
GENERAL.

SIMLA, April 27, 1881.

The Governments of Madras, Bombay, Bengal, North-Western Provinces and Oudh and the Punjab, in the Public Works Department.
The Chief Commissioner, Central Provinces, British Burma, Assam and Coorg.
The Resident, Hyderabad.
The Agents to the Governor General for Central India, Rajputana and Beloochistan.
The Accountant General, Public Works Department.
The Inspector General of Military Works.
The Director General of Railways.
The Consulting Engineers to the Government of India for Guaranteed Railways, Calcutta, Lahore and Lucknow.
The Director General of Telegraphs in India.
The Director-in-Chief, Indo-European Telegraph.
The Director, Persian Telegraph.
The Deputy Director, Persian Gulf Telegraph.

The following is forwarded to the Local Governments, Administrations and officers marginally noted for information and guidance.

By Order,

A. B. SAMPSON,

Under Secretary to the Govt. of India.

No. 1512, dated 5th April 1881.

From—The Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Finance and Commerce,

To—The Accountant General, Madras.

In reply to your letter No. 1090, dated 19th March 1881, I am directed to say—

- (1) That the intention of section 33 of the Acting Allowance Code is that an officer appointed *substantively* to a progressive appointment shall, in respect of reckoning service for pay in that appointment, be in the same position as if he were appointed to officiate in the appointment; and that as, under the operation of section 37 (a) of the Code, the acting allowances of an officer appointed to officiate in a progressive appointment are calculated with reference to the whole period during which he may have held that or a similar appointment, whether continuously or not, and whether substantively or in an officiating capacity, necessarily the salary that would be thus admissible to an officiating officer should be the pay of the officer if he is appointed substantively to the appointment. Mr. E. M. Palmer, who before the date of the publication of the recent amendments in the rules regarding progressive salaries, namely, the 1st November 1880, had to his credit more than four years' service in the appointment in the Class Rs. 600-50-800, or, in a similar appointment, would on that date, if he had continued to officiate in the appointment instead of being substantively appointed to it, have been entitled to have his acting allowance calculated upon the minimum pay of the appointment, *plus* four increments thereon, that is, upon the maximum pay of Rs. 800, and this would give him a salary of Rs. 760. This salary is accordingly granted to him from the 1st November 1880.
- (2) That Mr. H. S. Groves, who on the 1st November 1880 was officiating in an appointment in the Class Rs. 600-50-800, having before acted in that appointment for upwards of three years, was on that date entitled to acting allowance calculated upon the minimum pay of the appointment, *plus* three increments thereon, that is, upon a pay of Rs. 750. In other words, Mr. Groves was on the 1st November 1880 entitled to a salary of Rs. 750 a month as an officer officiating in Class Rs. 600-50-800. The orders in letter to the Comptroller General No. 1749, dated 30th December 1880, passed under a misapprehension, are hereby withdrawn.