

✓ 1881  
Department

3-5-92  
10-1-94

From W. H. ...  
H. E. the Governor  
FOR ORDERS.

B  
From order

No 1106 W of 27" Apr 81

Recorded.  
Recorded.

RR

Attb

22/4/81

distinction is purely racial, and does not  
necessarily involve any change of duties. P. H. C. No 2300  
This necessity does not exist in the case of  
Civil Upper Subordinates, and the Government  
of India is not in a position at present to  
consider the matter further. There are only  
four Military Upper Subordinates in Madras  
who are entitled to the promotion. —

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

W. Sampson

Under Secretary to the Govt of India

P.O. No. 2054 W. 2-2-81.

" " 229 W. 17-2-81.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

READ the following:—

Letter from the Govt of India, No 492 G  
dated 4<sup>th</sup> April 1881.

FOR ORDERS.

C. H. Hanky  
— / Colbr

Secy to Govt P.W.  
Ingt the decision

M. W. P.

1881

Military Department

All communications  
should be addressed  
to the Secretary.

No. 492 G.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Madras  
Public Works Department

Simla, 4<sup>th</sup> April, 1881.

Sir,

I am directed to reply to your letter No. 739 W. dated 17<sup>th</sup> March asking the Government of India to reconsider the decision, conveyed in Public Works Department letter No. 345 G. dated 1<sup>st</sup> March, that the promotion of Upper Subordinates to the rank of Assistant Engineer must be confined to Warrant Officers who obtain a Honorary Commission.

2. I am to repeat that the grading of these Commissioned Officers as Assistant Engineers was sanctioned by the Government of India as a necessity consequent on their Military Promotion. There is no increase of pay in the case of Sub Engineers, from which class the promotions as a rule are made, and the

distinction

not in all cases  
be civilians