

Pat. 1162 226 April 81

Pat. 1162
April

Wm. 1162

Sept
CAN

It would be
better to stop
at once the Referee
which it was arranged
yesterday to be
judicial sleep!
as it is now under

Atte

26/1/01

File

with order
1 - copy to Cat 110111
9-29-4-81

No. 66.]

SOUTH INDIAN RAILWAY.

TELEGRAPH MESSAGE

From

From

Superintendent

WORDS *19/11* P.R.P.

To

To

Madras
Chief Engineer

Public works Dep.

Artisan

Madras munniff disposed

Vandiyur Cabs immediately

W. Maghamsamy Putay

Date

26.1.1881

Signature.

S. N.

*the Commercial is of
course that the pub.
Dept. might be asked
to ascertain when the
Judgment may be
submitted to be delivered
Please send a note
to this Dept.*

Att

25/1/81

P. W. Sept

No 187

Memo.

The undersigned is directed to request that it may be ascertained in the Judicial Dept when Judgment may be expected to be delivered by the District Munsiff of Madura in the undermentioned suit pending in his Court since the latter portion of 1879.

Plaintiff.

Mundasaamy Pillay
Contractor.

Defendants.

1. Mr. H. B. Hutchings
Asst Engineer P. W. D.

2. Chinasaamy Pillay
Overseer P. W. D.

2. Frequent references have been made to the Superintending Engineer on the subject, but without any satisfactory result.

3. A copy of Colonel Beatty's telegram
of 24 January is given below:-

To,
Chief Engineer,
P. W. Dept.
Madras.

Your telegram twentieth & wrote Madras
District Munsiff seventh & No reply
received & Reminders sent.

[Signature]

Major R. E.
Under Secretary to Govt. P. W. D.

R. E.

26 Jan'y/87

To,
The Chief Secretary to Govt.
Judicial Dept.

GOVT.
OF MADRAS.

1881.

P. W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 12 April 1881.

OFFICE NOTE No. 37.

To H.

With reference to G. O.
H. got H dated 2nd April 1881 in
which the Government direct
that no salary beyond the sub-
sistence allowance is to be passed
to Supervisor Arbuthnot for the
period he was under suspension,
please state with reference to
Section 54 rule 1 of the Civil
Pension Code whether the actual
period the subordinate was
under suspension will, or will
not count for pension.

KEEP WITH

Genl. No.

dated

18

See 95, Rule
I, in 5th Edn

[Signature]

In charge C's Office.

Recd
11/4/87

0.16.4

To ~~for~~ For order

The C. inquires whether
the period of her
Supervisor Arlenthro's
suspension is to count
for pension -

The rule quoted runs
as follows -

"An officer who
has been suspended
pending enquiry into
his conduct is reinstated
but with forfeiture
of any part of his
allowances for the
period of suspension
this period does not
count - But the
Authority who
reinstates the
officer may la =

Yes.
Kobachina friend
Lewie. M

pressly declare at
the time that the
period shall count."

Mr Arbuthnot
forfeited all pay
beyond the subsistence
allowance granted him
during his suspension.

Ch
13/4

Att

13/4/81

N^o 1102 W

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 26th April/81

Read the following:—

Office note from the } (H.E. No 37 of 12th April/81)
Examiner, P. & A. Accts

Adverting to G. O.
9048, dated 2nd April
1881, and Section 54,
rule i of the Civil
Pension Code, it is
hereby declared that
the period passed
by Supervisor Mr.
R. Arbuthnot under
suspension shall
count for pension

Att

20/4/81

R.S.

Examiner, P. & A. Accts ✓

Supt. of Works,
Buckingham Canal.

V Des 100

27-4-81