

(1) Number of pages 26
(2) Date of repair 11.12.86
(3) Initials of the Referencer lwp

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Pulwadi DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	2043 (2)	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	30-8-1880	19/.

2A7

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

30th August 1880, No. 2,043 W.

General.
Establishment.

Read the following paper:—

No. 82. From Lieutenant-Colonel J. BEATTY, R.E., Superintending Engineer, Third Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Trichinopoly, 24th July 1880, No. 946 T.J.

I HAVE the honor to request that you will be so good as to inform me whether the appointment of clerks sanctioned in paragraph 6 of your memorandum, No. 548, of the 18th February 1880, for employment under subordinates not in charge of subdivisions is pensionable.

2. I take this opportunity of bringing to your notice that applications for pension or gratuity for the men who had been employed on the permanent establishment prior to the recent reductions and who were subsequently provided with posts under the extra officers were not submitted under the impression that their new appointments carried a claim for pension.

3. The Examiner of Public Works Accounts states, in his letter to the Executive Engineer, South Arcot Division, No. 231, of the 24th ultimo, that the services of these men do not qualify for pension under Section 19 of the Civil Pension Code. This section refers to *apprentices*, and I consider that the extra officers' writers are not *apprentices*, and that therefore they are not affected by the above section.

4. It will be a very hard case and add greatly to the difficulties of the department if clerks drawing Rs. 15 a-month, whose designation has been changed to apprentice clerks, are no longer eligible for pension. Such men have always hitherto been treated as part of the regular establishment and eligible for pension.

5. I send herewith the letter of the Executive Engineer, South Arcot, No. 481, of 17th instant, for your information, as it explains the hardship of the case, and I trust that Government may be pleased to over-rule the Examiner's decision.

From Captain A. C. SMITH, R.E., Executive Engineer, South Arcot Division, to the Superintending Engineer, Third Circle, dated Cuddalore, 17th July 1880, No. 481.

In his letter No. 231 S.E. 3rd of the 24th June 1880, copy of which was forwarded to you with my endorsement, No. 430, of 2nd July 1880, the Examiner says that service as an apprentice clerk does not qualify for pension and as such should be treated as temporary.

2. I do not think that Section 19 of the Civil Pension Code refers to the clerks on Rs. 15; this might probably refer to those apprentices that were trained up in the former Civil and District Engineers' offices, or to any other servant who may be learning work in any office or department. Writers or clerks have been since 1856 employed in this department on Rs. 15 and less in Range Offices and under Upper Subordinates, and they have been always treated as part of the regular permanent establishment eligible for pension. It was only very recently that the designation of Overseers' writers and writers on Rs. 15 in Divisional or Range Office has been changed into apprentice clerks; I do not think that this alteration of mere designation can deprive the parties concerned of their pension.

3. In the current year's Provincial Budget, Part III, page 24, provision is made for apprentice clerks at Rs. 15 under "Permanent Office Establishment;" the service of these clerks is said to be temporary and ineligible for pension. If they come under temporary they need not have been shown under the former head; several of the late Overseers' Writers and Writers in

Divisional Offices on Rs. 15 might be now employed as these apprentice clerks, and if their services as such are not reckoned towards pension they must suffer very seriously.

4. In this division one Vythilinga Pillay, who was drawing Rs. 15 as clerk in the late Tindivanam Division for the last more than fourteen years, has been appointed as apprentice clerk under the Supervisor; he requires only a few months to complete for pension of one-third of his pay; but he must suffer very seriously if his service in the present and former appointment is to be great astonishment considered temporary and as such does not qualify. There must be several cases like this; I request therefore that the subject may be submitted for the decision of Government.

No. 82-A. From Major D. H. TRAIL, R.E., Officiating Examiner of Public Works Accounts, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 18th August 1880, No. 89 W.

With reference to the accompanying copy of letter, No. 349 T.N., dated the 16th July 1880, received from the Superintending Engineer, Third Circle, I have the honor to request the favor of being informed whether the appointments of "apprentices," with reference to Public Works Department Code, paragraph 23, are to be considered as "pensionable" or not. It will be observed from Section 19 of the Civil Pension Code that service as an "apprentice," excepting as an "Engineer Apprentice" in the Public Works Department, does not qualify.

2. It appears to me that the introduction of apprentices as the lowest grade clerk must have been made so as to allow of the entertainment of young men on trial, and, if found fit, to give them the opportunity of learning their work.

3. If this view is correct the service in this grade cannot, it is thought, be considered as "pensionable" with reference to the Civil Pension Code Rule quoted above.

4. But if, on the other hand, the addition of apprentices is only intended to supply a fifth class of clerk, it would be better, to remove all doubts in the matter, to modify the term at once accordingly. The service in this case would count.

5. The favor of an early reply is solicited.

From the Superintending Engineer, Third Circle, to the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated 16th July 1880, No. 349 T.N.

With reference to your letter, No. 240, of the 10th instant, I have the honor to inform you that P. Ranga Iyer has since been re-employed in the Tinnevely Division as extra officer's writer, a post which is, I conclude, pensionable. His service book has now been forwarded to the Executive Engineer for record in his office.

No. 83. ORDER IN COUNCIL, 30th August 1880, No. 2,043 W.

In classing the clerks in question as "Apprentices," Government had no intention of interfering with their pensions.

2. Paragraph 23 of the Public Works Code will be modified by the issue of a Standing Order substituting the words "V Class" for "Apprentices."

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. H. SANKER, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Officers of the Public Works Department.
Secretary, Code Committee.

Ed. E. C. Shanker.

Spate Copies

GENERAL
ESTABLISHMENT.

Gobt. of (1880) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

TO THE OFFICERS OF THE PUBLIC
WORKS DEPARTMENT,

&c., &c., &c.

Dated August, 30th.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 30th August 1880, Nos 2,043 W.

AUGUST.

Nos. 82-83.

Apprentices in the Public Works Depart-
ment.— On the status of —.

TO. W.

PLEASE SEE MY LETTER NO. 89 W,
DATED 18TH. INSTANT, IN WHICH THE
QUESTION RAISED IN THESE PAPERS HAS
BEEN FULLY DISCUSSED.

FOR OFFG.C.

No. 363

P. N. 382 of 80. 81

GOVT.
OF MADRAS. }

1880.

{ P. W.
DEPT.

SECRETARY.

Chepauk,

30th July

1880.

OFFICE NOTE.

To

C.

For report.

2. The misunderstanding has apparently arisen from the use of the name "apprentices" as applied to those men which is supposed to bring the under Section 19

Chapter III of the Civil Pension Code.

3. If so the name will have to be altered, as the men in question are just as much permanent servants and their service is just as much qualifying as any other.

Dy. S.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

From the Sup. Engr. Third Circle, N. 946 J.
of 24th July 1880.

From the Examiner P. W. Accounts N. 89 W
of 18th August 1880.

W. S. S.

FOR ORDERS.

Circulated with remark that in classing the clerks in question as "apprentices", there was no intention of interfering with their persons.

2. It is doubtful if the section of the Pension Code quoted by the Examiner concerns clerks at all, but to remove the misapprehension which the misleading term has created, it is proposed to adopt the suggestion made in

Approved
RA

31/7

paragraph 4 of the Examiner's
letter, and to modify para:
23 of the S. W. Code by
substituting the words
"Vth Class" for "Apprentices".

FOR ORDERS.

A. M. Sankar Col. of E.
Secy to Govt. S.W.C.

21st August 1880.

20436

Cin. S.W.C. Dtd 26.8.80.
Plat. 10.8.80

1st 946 TT. Supt. Engrs' Office S.W.C.
Puducherry 24th July 1880
Desks: 2-10-

From,
Establishment
Lieut. Col. J. Keating R.E.
Supt. Engineer S.W.C.

Colonel A. M. Sankar Col. of E.
Chief Engineer S.W.C.
Vth Madras.

Sir,
I have the honor to request
that you will be so good as to inform
me whether the appointment of Mr. [Name]
sanctioned in para 6 of your Memo.
N^o. 548 of the 18th February 1880 for
employment under Subordinate [Name]
in charge of Sub Divisions, is pen-
sionable.

2. I take this opportunity of
bringing to your notice that applica-
-tions for pension or gratuity for
the men who had been employed on
the permanent Establishment prior
to the recent reductions, [Name]
and who were subsequently [Name]
provided with posts under the [Name]

officer, were not submitted under the impression that their new appointments carried a claim for pension.

3. The Examiner of P. M. Accounts states, in his letter to the Executive Engineer South West Division No. 231 of the 24th Alkuno, that the service of these men do not qualify for pension under Section 19 of the Civil Pension Code. This section refers to "Apprentices", and I consider that the Extra Officer's workers are not "Apprentices" and that, therefore, they are not affected by the above section.

4. It will be a very hard case and add greatly to the difficulties of the Department of Public Drawing. As 15 a month whose designation has been changed to apprentice clerks are no longer eligible for pension. Such men have, always hitherto, been treated as part of the regular establishment and eligible for pension.

5. I send herewith the letter of the Executive Engineer South

West No. 481 of 17th Instant, for your information, as it explains the hardship of the case, and I trust that Government may be pleased to overrule the Examiner's decision.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your Most Obedt. Servt.

L. Beatty. Lt. Col. R.
Supt Engineer 3rd Circle

2043
B

P.W.D.

28.11.87

From

The Supy Engineer
3^d Circle
To. The Chief Supt
P. W. D.

No 946 T.J.

Dated 24th July 1880.

Enclosed are

clerks on Rs 15

under Extra Officers
not in charge of sub-
divs — —

Bring to notice that the
Commissioner has lately
ruled that — are
ineligible for pension
and begs that this
decision may be over-
ruled —

Q. 19.8.80, 1880

No. 487

South arcot Division Office
Cuddalore 17th July 1880.

From

The Executive Engineer,
South arcot Division.

To

The Superintending Engineer,
3^d Circle.

Sir,

In his letter No. 231 S.D. 3^d of
the 24th June 1880 copy of which
was forwarded to you with my address.
-ment No. 430 of 2nd July 1880, the Exami-
ner says that Services as an apprentice
Clerk does not qualify for pensions and
as such should be treated as temporary.

2. I do not think that Section 19 of the
Civil Service Code refers to the Clerks
on 15 Rupees; this might probably refer
to those apprentices that were trained
up in the former Civil and District
Engineers' offices or to any other servant
who may be learning work in any office
or Department. Writers or Clerks have
been since 1866 employed in this Depart-
-ment on 15 Rupees and less in Range
offices and under higher Subordinates,
and they have been always treated
as part of regular permanent Establi-
-ment eligible for pension. It is only
very

very recently that the designation of
Overseers' writers and writers over 18 Rs
in Divisional or Range Offices has
been changed into apprentices
clerks; I do not think that this
alteration of mere designation
can deprive the parties concerned
of their pension.

2. In the current year's Provincial
Budget Part III page 24 provision
is made for apprentices clerks at
18 Rupees under "Permanent Office
Establishment"; the services of these
clerks is said to be temporary and
ineligible for pension. If they come
under temporary they must not have
been shown under the former head.
Several of the late Overseers' writers
and writers in Divisional Offices
over 18 Rupees might be now employed
as these apprentices clerks, and if
their services as such are not
reckoned towards pension they
must suffer very seriously.

3. In this Division one V. Chilinga
Pillai, who was drawing Rs 18 as
clerk in the late Pudukottam

Division

SPG
Division for the last more than 14 years,
has been appointed as apprentice
clerk under the Supervision; he
requires only a few months to complete
for pension of $\frac{1}{2}$ of his pay; but
he must suffer very seriously
if his service in the present and
former appointment is, to his great
astonishment, considered temporary
and as such does not qualify. There
must be several cases like this,
I request therefore that the subject
may be submitted for the decision
of Government.

20/3/8

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Your most obedient servant

MSmith . Capt. R. S.
Executive Engineer

P.W.D.

28 JUL 80

No. 40

Apprentice Clerk —
stating that as
that the apprentice
is eligible for
promotion & regarding
to get decision of
the Government on
the rule book.

Noted 17th
28th July 1980
Recd

12/4/81

From
The Government Engineer
South Arcot Circle.

12-7-80

+ There is nothing
about "Apprentice"
in the Pension Code.

FROM MAJOR D.H. TAIT, R.E.

OFFICER, EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS,

MADRAS.

TO THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No. 8977

MADRAS, 18th AUGUST 1980.

SIR,

WITH REFERENCE TO THE ACCOMPANYING COPY OF LETTER
NO. 340 T.N. DATED THE 16TH JULY 1980, RECEIVED FROM THE
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER 3RD. CIRCLE, I HAVE THE HONOR TO
REQUEST THE FAVOR OF BEING INFORMED WHETHER THE APPOINT-
MENTS OF 'APPRENTICES' WITH REFERENCE TO P.W. DEPARTMENT
BE
CODE, PARA 23, ARE TO BE CONSIDERED AS 'PENSIONABLE' OR NOT. IT
WILL BE OBSERVED FROM SECTION 19 OF THE CIVIL PENSION
CODE, THAT SERVICE AS AN 'APPRENTICE', EXCEPTING AS AN
'ENGINEER APPRENTICE', IN THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT DOES
NOT QUALIFY.

2. IT APPEARS TO ME THAT THE INTRODUCTION OF APPREN-
TICES AS THE LOWEST GRADE CLERK MUST HAVE BEEN MADE SO AS
TO ALLOW OF THE ENTERTAINMENT OF YOUNG MEN ON TRIAL, AND
IF FOUND FIT, TO GIVE THEM THE OPPORTUNITY OF LEARNING
THEIR WORK.

3. IF THIS VIEW IS CORRECT, THE SERVICE IN THIS GRADE
CANNOT BE THOUGHT OF AS 'PENSIONABLE' WITH

REFERENCE TO THE CIVIL PENSION CODE, RULE QUOTED ABOVE.

4. BUT IF, ON THE OTHER HAND, THE ADDITION OF APPRENTICES
IS ONLY INTENDED TO SUPPLY A 5TH CLASS OF CLERK, IT WOULD
BE BETTER, TO REMOVE ALL DOUBTS IN THE MATTER, TO MODIFY
THE TERM AT ONCE ACCORDINGLY. THE SERVICE IN THIS CASE
WOULD COUNT.

5. THE FAVOR OF AN EARLY REPLY IS SOLICITED.

I HAVE THE HONOR TO BE,

SIR,

YOUR MOST OBEDIENT SERVANT.

M. Amy

FOR OFFG. EXAMINER P. T. ACCOUNTS.

10

P.W.D.

191080

NO. 5252

FROM THE EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS,

MADRAS.

TO THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

NO. 89

DATED 18 AUGUST 1880.

RECD. 19

ENCLOSURE 1.

APPROPRIATES. ENQUIRES ABOUT

THE STATUS OF-

Cir 23.8.80. P.W.D. 26.8.80.
O. 19.8.80

SP 6

2023
11

Copy of letter from the Superintending Engineer 3rd Circle to the Examiner, Public Works Accounts No 349 J. & R, dated 16th July 1880.

With reference to your letter No 299 of the 10th Instant, I have the honor to inform you that P. Renga Iyer has since been re-employed in the Tinnevely Division as extra office writer, a post which is, I conclude pensionable. His service Book has now been forwarded to the Executive Engineer for record in his office.

True Copy

W. T. Jackson

Supdt Correspondence Branch

P.W.D.
194080
No. 5252

No. 2043W. 7 sent
Postalt
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 30th Aug 190.
Read the following:

From
The Supy. Engr. Shridy } (H.O. No. 946 S.P. of 24th July/00)
Circle
Examiner S.W. Accounts (H.O. No. 89 W. of 18th Aug/00)

In classing the
clerks in question as
"Apprentices," Government
had no intention of inter-
fering with their pensions.
2. Paragraph 23 of the
S.W. Code will be modified
by the issue of a standing
Order substituting the words
"V. class" for "Apprentices"

To
The Officers of the S.W.D. ✓
S.W. Code Committee.

Des. 100
9.9.00
25/8/00
25/8

5252

Soll. of 1879

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

Drawn

Issued

Food

End

G.O. 30 Aug 1879. No. 2045 W.

Nos.

82-83

Apprentices in the
P.W. Dept. -

On the status
of -

175
20