

(1) Number of pages 10
 (2) Date of repair Dec 86
 (3) Initials of the Referencer lens ✓

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

P.W.D. DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	<i>132.W.</i>	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	<i>19.1.1880</i>	<i>197</i>

g

Not to be folded or roughly handled

132
1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

19th January 1880, No. 132 W.

General.
Account.

Read the following paper :—

No. 27. From J. W. RUNDALL, Esq., Acting Superintending Engineer, Fifth Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Trichinopoly, 12th January 1880, No. 1,216 M.D.

I HAVE the honor to bring the following to your notice and request the favor of orders.

2. In G.O., No. 877, of the 2nd April 1875, the construction of a Sub-division Office at Periyakulam was sanctioned on a plan and estimate forwarded by Colonel Fischer, R.E., District Engineer, Madura.

3. The building has been erected on a bare open plain, rather more than a mile from the town of Periyakulam and at a place where there is a most violent wind during the south-west monsoon. The consequence of the exposed situation is that the thatched roof has been partially blown off.

4. As the building is such a distance from the town and as water is scarce, the Overseer does not care to occupy it and the building is rapidly deteriorating. The roof of the cook-room, owing to the use of green wood and the insufficiency also of the scantling of the rafters, has partly fallen in.

5. As I do not suppose that, under present circumstances, it is likely the building will be required as a Sub-division Office, I would recommend its being sold. But in consequence of its exposed and isolated situation, original bad construction, and present bad repair, I am afraid that nothing like the original cost will be realised for it.

6. The work appears to have been carried out by Lieutenant Boddy, R.E., but was completed by Mr. Paynter.

No. 28. ORDER THEREON, 19th January 1880, No. 132 W.

THE sale of the building in question is sanctioned.

2. The construction of the work, as explained by the Superintending Engineer, reflects no credit on Lieutenant Boddy or Mr. Paynter, or on the District Engineer under whom these officers were employed.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, Fifth Circle.

R. H. Sankey
Exd. A. F. Goodall.
Spare Copies

GENERAL
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of (1880) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

TO
THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
FIRST CIRCLE.

Dated January 18th.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 18th January 1880, No. 132 W.

JANUARY.
Nos. 27-28.

Sub-division Office at Periyakulam. — Sanc-
tions with remarks the sale of the —.

No 1216 M.D. Supy Engrs Office 5th Circle
Trichinopoly 12th January 1880.
From,

Buildings & Roads

J. W. Randall Esquire
Ag Supy Engineer 5th Circle

Colonel R. H. Langley, C. B. R. E.
Chief Engineer P. W. D.
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to bring the
following to your notice and request
the favor of orders.

2. In G. O. No 877 of the 2nd April
1875 the construction of a Sub Division
Office at Periyakulam was sanctioned
on a plan and Estimate forwarded
by Colonel Fischer R. E. District En-
gineer Madras.

3. The building has been erected
on a bare open plain, rather more than
a mile from the town of Periyakulam,
and at a place where there is a most
violent wind during the S. W. Monsoon.
The consequence of the exposed situa-
tion is that the thatched roof has been
partially blown off.

4. As the building is such a distance from the town and as water is scarce the Querser does not care to occupy it and the building is rapidly deteriorating. The roof of the Cook-room owing to the use of green wood and the insufficiency also of the scantling of the rafters has partly fallen in.

5. As I do not suppose that under present circumstances, it is likely the building will be required as a Sub-
Division Office, I would recommend its being sold. But in consequence of its exposed and isolated situation, original bad construction and present bad repair, I am afraid that nothing like the original cost will be realized for it.

6. The work appears to have been carried out by Lieut. Boddy R.E., but was completed by Mr. Paynter.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your Most Obedt. Servt.

J. W. Russell
Adj. Supt. Engineer.
5th Regt.

132
132
3

Buildings & Roads

14 JAN 80

From
The Supy Engineer
B. H. D.

To
The Chief Engineer
B. H. D.
Madras

N^o 1216 M.D.

Dated 12th January
Recd.

Per. G. O. of 19th Jan'y 1880.

Sub Division Office
at Periyakulam
Recommend
The Sale of the

sent
No 132 W No Dept.

Proceedings of the Madras Govt.
of 19th Jan'y 1880
Read the following

From the Inspecting
5th Circle (N^o 1216 M.D. of 12 Jan'y 80)

The sale of the
building in question
is sanctioned.

2. The construction
of the work, as explained
by the Inspecting
Engineer, reflects no
credit on Lieut. Roddy
or Mr. Paynter, or on
the District Engineer
under whom these Officers
were employed.

RM

L. E. 5th Circle A
L. E. 5th Circle
a Encl. 1216 M.D.
+ a Encl. 29-1-80.
23-2-80

S 15.1

No 328

✓
1880
Govt. of (1879) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

Dated

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G. O. 19 Jan 1880 No. 132W

Nos. 27 to 28

Sub Division Office at
Periyakulam.

Sanctions with remarks
the sale of the —