

(1) Number of pages 20
(2) Date of repair 6-1-87
(3) Initials of the Referencer long

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Public Works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	1120 A. & W	Press.
B.P. No.	1120-B -W	Mis.
Dated	10-5-1880	197.

L.P.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1120-A/B
1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

10th May 1880, No. 1,120-A W.

Buildings and Roads.

Civil Works.

Read the following paper:—

No. 12-A. From F. N. THOROWGOOD, Esq., M. Inst. C.E., Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Buildings and Roads, dated Madras, 6th May 1880, No. 9 W.

I HASTEN to correct an error made by me in the impression which I have conveyed to Government in my letter No. 68 W. of March 23rd, regarding the defraying the cost of the harbour moorings. Fortunately the correction of my mistake places the question in a more favorable position.

2. In going through the *details* of each head of the estimate and expenditure I neglected to keep in view the total estimate of Rs. 58,50,790 and compared each item with *Mr. Parkes' estimate* for such item, consequently there still remains untouched the additional Rs. 2,00,000 suggested by General Walker.

3. I did not observe this until Mr. Parkes himself drew my attention to it in a letter received this day, and I do not lose any time in pointing out my error to Government.

4. The wording of your memorandum No. 933 of March 22nd was so perfectly clear that there is no excuse for having failed to bear in mind that I had to compare the probable future expenditure with the larger estimate of Rs. 58,50,790.

5. The only explanation I have to offer is, as I have stated, that I took by itself each sub-head of Mr. Parkes' estimate under which work had been done, and entered against it the probable total cost based upon what had been completed already, the result of such comparison resulting in an estimated saving of Rs. 2,20,173. And as the Rs. 2,00,000 of General Walker did not appear in Mr. Parkes' estimate nor was there any work done to set off against this sub-head, I entirely overlooked the sum.

6. The total estimated saving on the works may, therefore, be reckoned at Rs. 4,20,173.

7. It is with the greatest regret that I have to reflect upon having conveyed a wrong impression to Government after having received such clear instructions, and my error is only in a small way compensated for by the fact that the correction is most satisfactory in its results.

8. Having at once reported the mistake on discovery and expressed this regret, I can do no more.

2 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT, 10TH MAY 1880, No. 1,120-A W.

No. 12-B. ORDER THEREON, 10th May 1880, No. 1,120-A W.

ORDERED that the following telegram be sent to the Government of India:—
"My 855 W., April 3rd. Thoroughgood now reports moorings can be paid for within estimate even excluding additional two lakhs sanctioned for extra rubble."

(Here enter No. 1,120-B W., dated 10th May 1880.)

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works.
" Marine Department.
" Secretary, Harbour Works Committee.

Exd. E. C. Shunker.
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To
THE SUPERINTENDENT, MADRAS
HARBOUR WORKS.
Sd/-

Dated May, 1880.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 10th May 1880, No. 1,120-A W.

MAY.

Nos. 12-A-12-B.

Madras Harbour Works.—Ordered that the Government of India be informed that the cost of the moorings for the — can be met from the estimate sanctioned for it.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

No. 1,120-B W.

No. 12-C.

FROM

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
Public Works Department,

TO

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
Public Works Department.

SIR,—In continuation of my telegram of this day I am directed to forward a further letter* received from the Superintendent of the Madras Harbour Works on the subject of the proposed moorings.

* G.O., No. 1,120-A W, dated 10th May 1880.

2. It will be seen that, even setting aside the sanctioned addition of two lakhs for the cost of extra rubble, a sufficient saving on the estimate to provide the set of moorings is anticipated by Mr. Thorowgood.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
10th May 1880.

Ed. E. C. Shunker.
Spare Copies

1120-A, B
3

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of (1880) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To
THE SECRETARY TO THE
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

Date May 1880.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 19th May 1880, No. 1,120-B W.

MAY.
No. 12-C.

MADRAS HARBOUR WORKS.—Informing
that the cost of the meetings for the —
can be met from the estimate sanctioned for
it.

No. 9 W.

From

THE SUPERINTENDENT,
MADRAS HARBOUR WORKS.

To

The Secretary to Government
Public Works Department
Building & Roads
Chepauk
MADRAS, May 6th 1880.

SIR,

I hasten to correct an error made by me
in the impression which I have conveyed
to Government in my letter No 60 W of
March 23rd regarding the defraying the
cost of the Harbour Moorings. Fortunately
by the correction of my mistake places
the question in a more favourable position.

In going through the details of each
head of the estimate and expenditure
I neglected to keep in view the total
estimate of Rs 58,50,790 and compared
each item with Mr. Parker's estimate
for such item, consequently there still
remains untouched the additional
Rs 2,00,000 suggested by General
Walker.

I did not observe this until
Mr.

Mr. Parkis himself drew my attention to it in a letter received this day, and I do not lose any time in pointing out my error to Government.

4 The wording of your Memorandum No 933 of March 22nd was so perfectly clear that there is no excuse for having failed to bear in mind that I had to compare the probable future expenditure with the larger estimate of Rs 58,50,790.

5 The only explanation I have to offer is, as I have stated, that I took by itself each subhead of Mr. Parkis's estimate, under which work had been done, and entered against it the probable total cost based upon what had been completed already, the result of such comparisons resulting in an estimated saving of Rs 2,20,173. And as the Rs 2,00,000 of General Walker did not appear in Mr. Parkis's estimate nor was there any work done to set

1120-
6
off against this subhead I entirely overlooked the sum.

6 The total estimated saving on the Works may therefore be reckoned at Rs 4,20,173.

7 It is with the greatest regret that I have to reflect upon having conveyed a wrong impression to Government after having received such clear instructions, and my error is only in a small way compensated for by the fact that the correction is most satisfactory in its results.

8 Having at once reported the mistake on discovery and expressed this regret I can do no more.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

J. H. Moorcroft M. Inst. C. E.

Superintendent

Madras Harbour Works.

G.O. No 4543 of 3.8.80
 L/c No 933 of 22.3.80
 " " 559 " 19.2.80
 " " 3868 " 16.12.79
 " " 1798 " 12.5.79
 G.O. (P.H.D) No 624 of 22.3.79
 " " 657 " 5.3.79
 " " 1421 " 21.5.79

Buildings and Roads

From

The Superintendent
 Madras Harbour Works.

P.W.D.

7111800

No.

Sd/- G.M.
 Dated 6th } May 1880.
 Received

Corrects an error in his
 letter No 68 W of 23rd March.

Cir 12.5.80. Rtd 14.6.80.
 Sd/- 8.5.80 D. 17-52

P.H. Dept.
 1120-A, B
 7

Brigs. of the Madras
 Genl.
 under date 10th May, 1880.
 No 1128 W.H.

Recd letter from the Supt. Madras
 Harbour Works.
 Dtd. No. 9 W dated
 6th May, 1880.

Ordered that the
 following telegram
 be sent to the
 Genl. of India:-

Tel. dtd 8/10/5.

" My 855 W.
 April 3rd : Madras
 now reports no more
 can be paid for
 within estimate even
 including additional

two lakhs sanctioned
for extra cable."

S.D. No. 1128 B. D.

dated 10th May/80
No. W 910 May/80
M. K.

Under.

In continuation of
my telegram of this
day, I am directed
to forward a further
letter received from
the Supt. of the
Madras Railway Works
on the subject of
the proposed repairs.

S.D. No. 1120 B. D.
dated 10th May 1880

2. It will be seen
that, even setting
aside the sanctioned
addition of two
lakhs for the
cost of extra

Lt. Col. R. H. W. V.
Manager, Supt. of
M. R. W. Co.

cable, a sufficient
sum in the estimate
to provide the cost
of repairs is
adequately anticipated
by Mr. Macgregor.

Plt

11/5/80

will be despatched in
M. - 12/5
S. C. Desks to
Smta
24-5-80.

Plt
11/5

Despatched 4/5/80

Letter from the Superintendent, Madras
Harbour Works, No. 9 W, dated 6th May/88.

FOR ORDERS.

The following
telegram is proposed:-

May 855 W, April third,
Harrowgood now reports
our savings can be paid
for within estimate
even excluding ad-
ditional 1000 lakhs
sanctioned for extra
rubble.

Dr. Hawkey Colbr
Trey to fort Brd

circulated to
div place for
information.

The letter to the
Genl. of India
has been despatched
8 days since.

12/5

[Handwritten signature]

12/5/50

Handwritten signature: *Handwritten signature*

Cir 12. S. 80. Alt 74. S. 80
S. 5. 80 Q. 17-87

Rev. H. Hart
FOR ORDERS.

10

1/2

1/2
1/2

1/2
10/5

Q. 17-80

8.5.80 Q. 17-80

2970
Govt. of India

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

Dated

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O. 10 May 1920 No. 1120 Aw
1120 B.W.

No. 12A-12B
12C

Mr. H. Wood.

Ordered that the
Govt. of India
be informed
that the cost of the
messing for
the _____ can be
met from the estimate
sanctioned for the
_____.

Mr. H. Wood.

Informing that
the cost of the
messing for the
_____ can be met
from the ^{estimate} sanctioned
estimate for it.

40/4 28/2