

No 7181

Secy. H. H. H. H.

✓

Min. 3263 N 9 18 Dec 1879

his  
order 17/12

Copy 17/12

377-378

No 289- C. No. 7557.

GOVT. }  
OF MADRAS. }

1879.

{ P. W.  
{ DEPT.

SECRETARY.

Fort St. George, 28<sup>th</sup> October 1879.

OFFICE NOTE

To

b

For report as to date  
from which officiating  
allowance can under the  
rules be granted to  
Mr. Ottmann - vide  
IV. ii. 9. IX of the P. W.  
Code.

OF 187

II. General

S

By W.

TO 27. 20. 11.

No 7332/3. 10. 79

PLEASE SEE PARA 10 OF RESOLU-  
TION OF THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

NO. 2079. DATED 31ST. JULY 1879

FROM WHICH IT WILL BE OBSERVED  
THAT NO PROMOTIONS CAN BE MADE  
IN THE PLACE OF OFFICERS LEAVING  
THE SERVICE UNDER THE TERMS OF  
THAT RESOLUTION.

2. THE PRESENT CLAIM OF OR  
FICIATING ALLOWANCE ON BEHALF OF  
SUB-ENGINEER MR. OTTMANN HAS BEEN  
PREFERRED, CONSEQUENT ON MR.

O'SHAUGHNESSY'S RETIREMENT AND  
AS SUCH IT APPEARS INADMISSIBLE.  
NO CLAIM FOR ANY PERIOD PRIOR  
TO DATE OF RETIREMENT OF MR.

O'SHAUGHNESSY CAN BE ADMITTED  
AS THERE WAS NO VACANCY.

3. RULE IX. OF P.W.D. CODE

IV. 11. 9 QUOTED BY YOU IS NOT  
APPLICABLE TO THE PRESENT CASE.

THIS RULE REFERS TO EXTRA ALLOW-  
ANCE ADMISSIBLE TO AN EXECUTIVE  
ENGINEER HOLDING CHARGE OF TWO  
DIVISIONS.

4. I WOULD ALSO POINT OUT  
THAT THERE ARE OTHER UPPER SUB-  
ORDINATES BESIDES MR. OTTMANN IN

CHARGE OF DIVISIONS, FROM WHOM  
IT WOULD BE DIFFICULT IN JUSTICE  
TO WITHHOLD AN OFFICIATING ALLOW-  
ANCE IF IT WERE GRANTED TO MR.  
OTTMANN WHILE IT MIGHT NOT BE EX-  
PEDIENT FROM A FINANCIAL POINT OF  
VIEW TO GRANT IT TO ALL.

*H. H. H.*

3/11

OFFG. C.

8/11  
2/11

*By W.*

As it is in Com-  
templation to  
appoint an S.E.  
Eng. to the charge  
of the Buckingham  
Canal, it seems  
very fair to give  
an S.E. some  
extra allowance (IV. 11.  
para 11) in all such  
cases in the  
interim, but in  
the matter.

NO. 2079. DATED 31ST. JULY 1879

FROM WHICH IT WILL BE OBSERVED  
THAT NO PROMOTIONS CAN BE MADE  
IN THE PLACE OF OFFICERS LEAVING  
THE SERVICE UNDER THE TERMS OF  
THAT RESOLUTION.

2. THE PRESENT CLAIM OF OR  
FICIATING ALLOWANCE ON BEHALF OF  
SUB-ENGINEER MR. OTTMANN HAS BEEN  
PREFERRED, CONSEQUENT ON MR.  
O'SHAUGHNESSY'S RETIREMENT AND  
AS SUCH IT APPEARS INADMISSIBLE.  
NO CLAIM FOR ANY PERIOD PRIOR  
TO DATE OF RETIREMENT OF MR.  
O'SHAUGHNESSY CAN BE ADMITTED  
AS THERE WAS NO VACANCY.

3. RULE IX OF P.W.D. CODE  
IV. 11.0 QUOTED BY YOU IS NOT  
APPLICABLE TO THE PRESENT CASE.  
THIS RULE REFERS TO EXTRA ALLOW-  
ANCE ADMISSIBLE TO AN EXECUTIVE  
ENGINEER HOLDING CHARGE OF TWO  
DIVISIONS.

4. I WOULD ALSO POINT OUT  
THAT THERE ARE OTHER UPPER SUB-  
ORDINATES BESIDES MR. OTTMANN IN

CHARGE OF DIVISIONS, FROM WHOM  
IT WOULD BE DIFFICULT IN JUSTICE  
TO WITHHOLD AN OFFICIATING ALLOW-  
ANCE IF IT WERE GRANTED TO MR.  
OTTMANN WHILE IT MIGHT NOT BE EX-  
PEDIENT FROM A FINANCIAL POINT OF  
VIEW TO GRANT IT TO ALL.

*H. H. H.*

3/11

OFFG. C.

*Sf*  
1/11  
3/11

*Dyke*

As it is in con-  
templation to  
appoint an Sth  
Capt to the charge  
of the Buckingham  
Canal, it seems  
unjust to give  
an Sth man some  
extra allowance (V. 11  
rule (11)) but I am  
chance in the  
interim, but  
in action

No 6207.

3<sup>rd</sup> Circle Superintending Engineer's Office  
Chepauk 22<sup>nd</sup> October 1879.

From

The Superintending Engineer  
3<sup>rd</sup> Circle.

To

The Chief Engineer  
Public Works Department.

Sir,

As the Executive Engineership of the Buckingham Canal Division has become vacant consequent on the retirement from the service of Mr. J. O'Shaughnessy, Executive Engineer, I have the honor to request that Mr. Sub Engineer Ottumam, who has been in charge of the Canal Division since May last, may be gazetted as ~~offg~~ Executive Engineer, and to recommend that the appointment be allowed to have effect from the 17<sup>th</sup> May 1879, the date on which Mr. O'Shaughnessy was relieved of the charge of the Buckingham Canal Division and placed on special duty. I should add that Mr. Ottumam has worked to my entire satisfaction since he assumed charge of the Canal Division, and it would

J. W.

Considering the nature of the charge held by Mr. Ottumam I think we should certainly have deputations allowance - a proposal to this effect may now be circulated to the H. H.

15/11/79 ~~Att~~

be I venture to think a suitable  
recognition of his exertions.

I have the honor to be  
Sir

Your most obedient servant

Harry the School Major etc  
offg Sup Engineer 3<sup>d</sup> Circle.

FOR ORDERS.

Comdr. H. H. H. H.

to the Executive  
Engineer

I may mention that  
not only in the Burlington  
Canal the proper  
change for an E.E., but  
it is now arranged  
that the Officer (Capt.  
Andrew R. H.) who is  
to hold it shall  
have the highest  
position of Superintendent  
of works

Att

20/11/79

FOR ORDERS.

*Comr. Auditor Genl.*

GOVT. }  
OF MADRAS }

18 . .

{ P. W.  
DEPT. }

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras,

18 . .

OFFICE NOTE No. 720

To

KEEP WITH

Genl. No.

dated

18 . .