

Rec ~~Sept 8~~ 12 Dated

<i>New Works.</i>									
	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	RS.
Bridges	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	7,375
Building	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	250
Roads	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Total ..									7,625

Repairs.										RS.
Building	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	2,150
Repairs ..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	10,620
Total ..										12,770
Grand Total ..										20,395

2. The expenditure during the three years ending 1878-79 was—

	RS.
1876-77 ..	9,464
1877-78 ..	20,577
1878-79 ..	20,640
Estimate for 1879-80 ..	18,000

3. The Agent explains the necessity for the provision made in the estimate for 1880-81, and judging from the expenditure during the years 1877-78 and 1878-79 the estimate is not high.

4. Rupees 20,400 will be provided in the Provincial Services Budget.

(True Extract.)

G. M. S. S.
Acting Chief Secretary.

To the Agent to the Governor in Ganjam.
" Public Works Department.
" Accountant-General.

My dear Sir,
If the information is of
any use to the E.E. there is
no good in sending the
order to the S.D.
I thought it might be of
use for the E.E. to
have what has the
paper as record in his
office for reference,
if needed, but it is
difficult to know what
it is what isn't of use in
each case to E.E. *Yrs. 10/12*

11-78 ho 4383 W
 1-79 - 35W Wm
 1-80 W

P.W.D.

900079

NR 8786

Prop December

Revenue Department.

Mis: No 10731.

Proceedings of the Board
 of Revenue, dated 15th October 1879

Read the following letter from
 C. Benson Esq., M. R. S. C., Acting
 Superintendent, Government Farms to
 the Ag. Secretary, Board of Revenue,
 dated Secidapet 20th October 1879 No 1251.

With reference to para 6 of
 G.O. No 1284 dated 16th June last stating
 that the necessary buildings for the
 school of Agriculture will be erected,
 I have the honor to enquire whether any
 further orders have been issued on the
 subject.

2. The necessity for these
 buildings, if our work is to be carried
 on efficiently, has been already represented,
 and

Dated December, 4th.
 To the
 THE AGENT TO THE GOVERNOR,
 FINANCIAL
 DEPARTMENT,
 Secidapet.

No 3187 W of 12 Dec 79

Decided.

9-12

9/12

18. 1st time
 Decided
 13-12-79.

DECEMBER.
 Nos. 17-18.

Budget Estimate of charges for "Petty Con-
 struction and Repairs" in the Hill Tracts of
 Ganjam for the year 1880-81, reviewed.

253-254

1-79
3-1-

and in my letter printed in the Board's Proceedings, No. 1483, of the 30th May last, I requested that sanction might be given for their immediate erection, pointing out the great delays which had occurred in the matter.

3. Had we been able to assemble a Second Class in September last, the inconveniences of our present accommodation would have been very great, as they were when, during the summer session, we had 2 classes under tuition. As we shall probably have 2 classes under tuition after April next, it is very urgent that the buildings should be provided by the time the new class will be commencing their full course of lectures, and if the works are begun soon this can easily be effected.

The

4. The efficiency of the teaching given here has already suffered much from the want of proper accommodation, especially in that the opportunities of our students engaging in practical work have been much lessened and it will be unfortunate if we should turn out men, who are even more deficient in this respect than can be obviated by the provision of suitable buildings, which will allow of the arrangement of our time-table so as to set free the morning and evening for practical agriculture.

Submitted to Government
with reference to the S. O. quoted.)

2. The Board have received no orders on their Proceedings dated 30th May 1879 No. 1483.
(True Copy & Extract)

Hurwith

Asst. Sub-Secretary

To the Secretary to Government
Revenue Dept.

Encl.

Chapman

11-78 W 6383 W

P.W.D.

P.W.D.
100079

L.O. 26 June 1879 No 20
J.P. 4421/79

For the purpose of
the 17 November 1879
June 1879

[Signature]
of the 17 November 1879

transmitted to the Public Works Department
in continuation of the Office endorsement 17

Revenue 1879 58416

J.P. 8177.
the 17 November 1879
Proceedings of the
Board of Revenue

Date 15th Nov 1879
No. 19

Subsisting
Government Letter
to the 17 November 1879
the necessity for
the speedy execution
of the building for
the school of officers
here. Transmitted.

GA. 2100 4-11-8-49
GA. 210 12-9-49
GA. 5329 + 6207 of 1849
GA. 4548-14314 of 1879

Mr. Dept.

Note

The Secretary
has the honor to remark
with reference to the
Honble Mr. Hudson's
note that special fund
works will with all other
works be affected by the
late orders. Only Rs 24,000
will be available for
expenditure in each
Circle.

Under the new plan
order I think that
may proceed -

It is from a special
accumulation fund
It can be applied -

I think so the
two other purposes. Question put is

Mr. has the amount of
the Surplus fund paid
in two of the units included
in P.W. Budget - 8

A.G.P. 7/13

W.H.
7.12.78.

Am to Hindustan
1892

His Grace the Duke of Devonshire
1892

Recd No 3186 of 12-12-79

Resubmitted to His
Grace the Governor with
information that the
appropriation for the
work - viz the 16973 under
Govt Farm Funds has
been included in the
Public Works Budget
of the current year.

ENF
18-12-78

I do not have it
but presume the
information is very
valuable to Mr Hindustan

Be 1/11

See separate note 1/11

Govt. of Hyderabad
Agri. School.

I could not have
the fund - which
I asked the question -
but it should be
absorbed in any other
purpose

Be

I am not sure that
the conclusion that H.G.
draws from the fact of the
Govt. of this work being
in the P.W. Budget for the
year. Whether the work
can therefore proceed or be
stopped. All works. Imp.
Prov. Local & special
are included in the P.W. Budget
if they are the P.W. Budget.
but the funds are provided
from different sources.
of 5% the Local (Art. 4/7) is
by law restricted to certain
purposes & cannot be
otherwise employed.
even if the work is held
in abeyance. With the
special funds have been

hypothetical by Gov. for special
purposes. In this particular
instance have been accumulated
for some years past. in order
to effect it.

And in reply to this matter
I do believe I am on the
the necessity for considering
the want in the various liquidating
expedition on P.W. as interpreted
of the Ch. Regt. similar. I.

I greatly fear that kindness
a vast amount of pressure on
the laboring classes. High prices
are still abnormally high.
by practically stopping almost
all work throughout the country.

I confess to not having yet
been able to understand
what was the object aimed at
at the G.O. in the Finance
Dept. but I infer that

the first object was to stop
for the expenditure out of the
Imp. F. provision of 50 lacs.
for relief purposes this year.
to ask allowance for the
of 5 lacs. the expenditure
being estimated at 45 lacs.

The order assigned 17 lacs
for works in aid of the P.W.
Budget provision - assuming
that it was intended to
interfere with the local works.
then the assumption must
have been that the Budget
provision of local funds was
plus 17 lacs. & sufficient
last to cover the cost of
all relief works in the year
of normal value. included
Estab. - I believe that it

was an instruction to the
has been the fact that relief
works was provided this year
mainly on Budget works

tip is. it sh. seem that the add.
grant might have sufficed
for the add. progress attained
by greater amount of labor employed.
But the Ch. Est. estimate
of only \$26,000 being available
in last Sept. Est. circle
for all books during the last
of the year. point to an opposite
conclusion. & I think that
from all sides applications
are being recd. for much
larger provision. With
this seems well understood
that the expenditures of available
local funds has been stopped
& I cannot shut from that
the fact is that expenditure
on relief employ. has so
far exceeded the estimate
as to absorb credit. &
has given from local

funds for local books. &
that the 17 has grant is
insufficient to cover
these credits. It is a
legal obligation on Gov.
the local F. in P. & S.
lands being strictly a
Trust funds.

— Now it simply a
question of postponing
an adjustment. Gov. has
in this time of financial
pressure. after the point
of necessity immediately.
but I am far from being
most seriously apprehending
that the unavailability of
the local F. budget. & in
for L. F. budget works
must inevitably produce
a most serious amount of

to renewed distress among
the poorer classes. Every-
thing of an apparently
well grounded feeling of
discontent among the A.F.
G.D. & the progress of local
issues. That the Govt. is
not acting in good faith
towards them. That the
funds belonging to the various
localities & people have
been applied by Govt. to
other than the purposes
for which they were entrusted
to Govt. That this misapplication
has unconsciously taken
place. I can well believe
from what we know & have
observed in regard to Imp.
& Provincial P.W. Budget provision

on several occasions -
but I submit to H.C. that
the Govt. should with the least
possible delay remove the
impression that they will
knowingly avail themselves
of the fact in order to economize
the expenditure from Govt.
sources. & that general
instructions should be
issued - to the effect -
that no restriction is placed
on the expenditure of L. F.
Budget provision - for L. F.
budget works - by the Ch.
Eng. Co. or the G.O. B. it
was intended to bring into
effect. It may be the effect
will be to show that the provision
made for Imp. & Prov. works
plus the P.W. is not sufficient
to cover the normal cost

of work provided for relief
 purposes. but rather this
 he was not. I submit that
 the Govt. is not justified
 in stopping L.F. works &
 expenditure in this budget
 provision. in order to cover
 the famine expenditure.

As matters now stand
 the Govt. is unquestionably
 keeping the instructions
 issued. I think the matter
 requires the earliest possible
 consideration & the promptest
 possible action.

20/12/78

5164
 5002
 5065
 R. 31-12. In 9-1-78

Spence
 His Grace the Prince
 21/11

Returned to
 the Secretariat
 20/11/79. 21/11/79

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

18th November 1878, No. 1,843.

Read the following paper:—

No. 196. Proceedings of the Board of Revenue, dated 15th May 1878, No. 1,319.

Read the following letter from W. R. ROBERTSON, Esq., M.R.A.C., Superintendent of Government Farms, to C. A. GALTON, Esq., Acting Secretary to the Board of Revenue, dated Sydapet, 6th May 1878, No. 412:—

WITH reference to the Board's Proceedings, Miscellaneous No. 4,299, of the 29th April last, calling for any remarks that I may have to offer regarding the design forwarded therewith for a building to afford accommodation for the Agricultural College, I have the honor to observe that, if effect is to be given to the views set forth in the Proceedings of the Board, No. 5,223, of the 13th of December last, the accommodation the building will afford will be altogether inadequate to the wants of the institution.

2. The design provides only four rooms, one measuring 40 x 25, and three each measuring 20 x 25. The house accommodation already possessed by the institution, which is admittedly insufficient, is as follows:—one room measuring 60 x 20, two rooms each measuring 30 x 20, and a room measuring 16 x 20 (with which is attached a small bath-room) appropriated to the use of the lecturers, thus:—

Square feet of floor space now provided.		Square feet of floor space provided in the design.	
	SQ. FT.		SQ. FT.
1 Lecture-room ...	60 x 20 = 1,200	1 Room ...	40 x 25 = 1,000
1 Room ...	20 x 20 = 600	1 Do. ...	20 x 25 = 500
1 Do. ...	30 x 20 = 600	1 Do. ...	20 x 25 = 500
1 Do. ...	16 x 20 = 320	1 Do. ...	20 x 25 = 500
1 Do. ...	130		
Total ...	2,850	Total ...	2,500

It would thus appear that the design would afford 350 square feet of floor space less than the institution already has.

3. If we are to admit annually a successive class of students as has been proposed, our numbers will be *doubled* this year and *trebled* next year, necessitating a corresponding increase in the accommodation over that we now have.

4. The lecture-room would be too small for our *present* wants; at my agricultural lectures there are seldom less than fifty adults present, and the room we now use contains 200 square feet more of floor-space than the proposed lecture-room. And when there are two or three classes of students undergoing training, there will be generally two lectures, and sometimes three lectures, being delivered at one time.

5. The accommodation provided in the ground-floor of the original design is the least, in my opinion, that will suffice for the immediate wants of the institution. For easy reference I enclose a tracing of the ground-floor of that design.

6. I would point out that the smaller estimates for the new design over those of the first is due chiefly to these estimates not providing for such substantial foundations and walls, the extra cost for which might fairly be looked upon as a part of the expense for constructing the proposed upper floor.

ENCLOSURE No. 1.—Tracing.

SUBMITTED for the orders of Government.

2. The Board beg to repeat the recommendation in paragraph 10 of their Proceedings, dated 13th December 1877, No. 5,223, that the plan prepared by Colonel Moberly (estimate Rupees 28,300) be put in execution at once. The revised estimate for that scheme (leaving out the large lecture room) was Rupees 17,780, but the accommodation would not be sufficient, and the present proposal provides no more. Colonel Moberly's plan would provide a building much more in keeping with the Superintendent's house already completed and laboratory in course of construction in the immediate vicinity.

(True Copies and Extract.)

(Signed) J. STURROCK,
Acting Sub-Secretary.

No. 197. ORDER THEREON, 18th November 1878, No. 1,843.

Miscellaneous.

* G.O., 14th July 1875, No. 1,059.
„ 24th November 1875, No. 1,683.
G.O., 14th February 1876, No. 208.

It was to cost Rs. 28,300. The estimate was returned for revision, the Government agreeing with the Board that the large lecture-room might be deferred.

2. The revised estimates which provided for one large and three smaller class-rooms at a cost of Rs. 17,780 was sanctioned in 1876,† but the erection of the building postponed‡. Eventually it was decided§ to carry out the work

during the current official year, the cost being calculated at Rs. 16,973 with reference to a reduction suggested by the Chief Engineer. However, on looking over the plan the Chief Engineer considered that by a little alteration the same accommodation could be provided more economically, and he sent in a pencil sketch and estimate (the latter, amounting to Rs. 10,790, showing a saving of Rs. 6,990 on the revised estimate of 1876) which having been referred by the Board for the remarks of the Superintendent, Government Farms, have elicited the report now before Government.

3. Mr. Robertson shows that the accommodation existing in the present temporary school buildings is larger than that which the proposed building will contain, and that if the arrangements advocated in Board's Proceedings, 13th December 1877, No. 5,223, are carried out, much more space will be required. He proposes, therefore, that at least the ground floor accommodation of the original design (of which he encloses a sketch) should be provided, and he points out that the chief reason of the reduction in the Chief Engineer's (pencil) estimate is the omission of substantial foundations originally provided for the (ultimate) upper story.

4. The Board's recommendation is practically the same. They refer to paragraph 10 of their Proceedings, dated 13th December last, No. 5,223, in which they urged the erection of the Agricultural School building on the original scale.

5. On reconsideration, the Government are of opinion that thoroughly good and commodious buildings should be provided for the school; and they accordingly sanction for adoption the original plan adverted to above in paragraph 1, the estimated cost being Rs. 28,300.

6. The necessary steps will now be taken in the Public Works Department for the execution of the work.

(True Extract)

Y. M. C. P. S.

Under-Secretary to Government.

To the Board of Revenue.
„ the Public Works Department.

Exd. W. H. French.
20 Spare Copies.

5-92