

(1) Number of pages 14
(2) Date of repair 21. 11. 86
(3) Initials of the Referencer Long

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

*P*UBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	27 26 -W.	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	29. 10. 1879.	197.

MY
Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

29th October 1879, No. 2,726 W.

General.
Establishment.

Read the following paper :—

No. 58. From the Superintending Engineer, Fifth Circle, dated 13th October 1879, No. 903 T. N.

No. 59. ORDER THEREON, 29th October 1879, No. 2,726 W.

THE Government are of opinion that, under the spirit of the rules, a Sub-Overseer in charge of a taluk is entitled to the travelling allowance that may, under similar circumstances, be claimed by an Overseer.

2. The Examiner is accordingly authorised to pass the double horse allowance claimed on behalf of Sub-Overseer Masilamoney, and will in future pass similar claims which are supported by the Superintending Engineer's sanction.

3. The Secretary, Public Works Code Committee, will see that a Standing Order is issued adding the words "or taluk" after "sub-division" in the rule of the new edition of the Public Works Code, corresponding to Rule IV A, paragraph 3, Section iii, Chapter IV of the 1877 Code, so as to provide specifically for a case of the kind now under consideration.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Ag. Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To Superintending Engineers.
To the Examiner of Public Works Accounts,

Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies

*The rule has been
amended from the
new Code alleged
allowance being the
allowed to draw
only single horse
allowance as a rule
when in charge
of a taluk.
See Nos. 973 & 602 to 604*

GENERAL
ESTABLISHMENT.

Gobt. of (1879) Madras
PUBLIC WORKS.

To
SUPERINTENDING ENGINEERS.

&c, &c,

Dated October 28th.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 28th October 1879, No. 2,726 NW.

OCTOBER.

Nos. 58-59.

Sub-Overseer Masilamoney—The Exam-
iner authorised to pass the double
allowance claimed on behalf of—

Wm W Hudellstone

For order

2/2726

Please read the annexed
Office note by the S. W. and
forward order as marked
by me

I would submit that
under the circumstances of
this Presidency it is only
just that Sub-Overseers who
may be called on to perform
the duties of Deputy Subordinate
i.e. as Acting Overseers should
have the same travelling
allowances

With reference to the S. W.
remarks regarding men of
this class being to act as
Sub-division Officers such is
both contemplated and
provided for in the S. O. I.
See para 42 of new Edition
of Code later part - I
have on several occasions
been in the position

R.H.

Cir 20. 10. 79. Retd 21. 10. 79.
17. 10. 79

19. 10. 79

1003 T.M. Supr. Engrs Office 50 B.
Trichinopoly 13th Oct 1879
Despatch 142

Establishment

It now,

J. W. Randall Esquire
Ags. Supr. Engrs.

To,

4
27th

Colonel R. W. Sankey R.S.
Chief Engrs P.W.D.

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that the Examiner refuses to pass Double Horse Allowance to any Lower Subordinate unless he is in charge of a Sub Division. As it is extremely unlikely that a Lower Subordinate would ever be given Sub Divisional charge, I have the honor to request that you will decide whether the Examiner's action is approved by you.

2. The following are the circumstances of the particular case which has given rise to my letter. In March last Salug Overseer Narayanasamy Iyer in charge of Ambasamudram Salug Tiruvelli Division, went on leave for

3 months and Sub Overseer Mr. Khin-
-mah Pillay was put in charge
of his Taluq. This was the only
arrangement which could be made,
as there was no Taluq Overseer
available. As the Sub Overseer
was in charge of a Taluq. Double
Horse allowance was claimed for
him in the Division Travelling
allowance Bills for March,
April and for the first 15 days of
May last. The claim was passed
by the Examiner for the month
of March, but the claims for
April and May were refused and
have been finally disallowed
under Public Works Code Chapter
IV, Section III Para 3 Rule IV^A,
because the Sub Overseer was not
in charge of a "Sub Division".

3. I am of opinion that a Sub-
-overseer in charge of a Taluq
ought to get Travelling allowance
at the same rate as it is admis-
-sible to an Upper Subordinate.
He has to perform all the duties

of a Taluq Overseer and must
consequently travel as much
also.

2726
I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedient servant

J. W. Randall
Agts Sup^r Engineer

90. 1877-2-1-75-

P.W.D.

1878

Establishment

To now,

The Supr Engineer

52 Circle

To,

The Chief Engineer

P. W. D.

Re 903 T.V

Dated 13th October 1879.

4077-10-79 at 2726 M

2726 M

Mr. Masilamoney
Sub Overseer 1st Grade

Informing that the
Inspector refuses to
pay Double Horse allow-
-ance for the time he
was in charge of a
Salug. and requests
to decide whether the
Inspector's action is
approved.

58

Cir 20-10-79

Attd 21.10.79.

Lo City 17-10-

P. W. SECRETARIAT-1879-80.

KEEP-WITH.

Current No. 7375

Letter No. 903 T.V.

Dated the 13th Oct. 1879.

from the Supr Eng. Fifth Circle.

J. W.

Please see draft
enclosed.

The claim preferred
by the Supr Engineer, for
double horse allowance on
behalf of Sub Overseer Masil-
amoney while in charge of
a Salug, although not
specially provided for in
IV A, para 3, Section III,
Chapter IV of the P.W. Code,
appears admissible under
Chapter IV - III - 2, rule III,
inasmuch as for the period
in question
(the Sub Overseer did the

Lo City 17-10-

duty of an Upper Subordinate.

There seems nothing in the Code of the Govt. of India to correspond to Rule IV A which is peculiar to this Presidency, and is apparently based on G.O. No. 1847 d/ 2nd July 1875. Judging from the wording of that G.O. "Sub division" appears to have been inserted by mistake for "Tahsil". As remarked by the Supg. Engr. it is extremely unlikely that a Sub Overseer would ever be given Sub divisional charge. The substitution of "Tahsil" for "Sub division" in the next edition of the Code seems necessary.

S

W. W.

16.12

Circ

No. 2726 W P. W. Department

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 29th Octr/79

Read the following

From

The Supg. Engr. Fifth Circle

(No. 903 S.A. d/13th Octr/79)

The Govt. are of opinion that under the spirit of the rules, a Sub Overseer in charge of a Tahsil is entitled to the travelling allowance that may, under similar circumstances, be claimed by an Overseer.

The Examiner is accordingly authorised to pass the double horse allowance claimed on behalf of

Superintending Engineer ✓
Chief Engineer for Irrigation.
Consulting Engineer for Railways.
Examiner, Public Works Accounts. ✓
" Railway Accounts.
Consulting Architect.
Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works.
General Superintendent, P. W. Stores and Workshops.
Do. P. W. Stores Department.
Chief Engineer and Manager, Madras Irrigation and Canal Company.
Examiner Irrigation and Canal Company's Accounts.

Despd
4-11-79

Sub Overseer Masilamoney,
and will in future pass
similar claims which are
supported by the Supp.
Engineer's sanction.

The Secretary, P. W.
Code Committee, will ~~be~~
~~confident~~ ~~that~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~
~~present~~ ~~case~~ ~~the~~
~~rule~~ ~~is~~ ~~under~~ ~~in~~
rule IV A, para 3, section
III, Chapter IV ~~so~~ as to
provide specifically for
a case of the kind now
under consideration.

Am. P. Collection

See that a S.O. is
issued adding the words
"or taking" after "Sub-dimin"
in the rule of the new
Edition of the P.W. Code
corresponding to

of the 1877 Code

Frank
modified

Print
and
29-10

7/2726

L
19.10

Att
19.10.79

W. H. B.
Cir. 20.10.79. Att. 21.10.79.
to Oct 17-10

C. 7378.

Govt. of Madras

PUBLIC WORKS.

2726

To

Dated

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 29 Oct 1878, No. 2726W

Nos. 58-59

Sub Overseer's hesitancy
The Examiners authorized
to pass the double horse
allowance claimed on behalf
of —

6-9-79