

(1) Number of pages 24
(2) Date of repair 31-10-86
(3) Initials of the Referencer lms

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU
P. W. DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 2264 W	Press.
B.P. No.	Mis.
Dated 3. 9 — 1879	197

V-S-M.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

2264 W

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

3rd September 1879, No. 2,264 W.

Buildings and Roads.
Civil Works.

Read the following paper:—

No. 2/3 From J. W. RUNDALL, Esq., Acting Superintending Engineer, Fifth Circle, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Sivakasi, Tinnevely District, 12th August 1879, No. 553 M.D.

I HAVE the honor to forward herewith a copy of a letter from Mr. Harington, Executive Engineer, Dindigul Division, together with a comparative statement, by which this gentleman shows that a further sum of Rupees 4,700* is required for the completion of the Periyakulam Taluk Cutcherry, for which an estimate, amounting to Rupees 28,000, was sanctioned in G.O., No. 496, of 20th February 1875, and a further sum of Rupees 836 in G.O., No. 2,161, of the 25th July 1875.

*Corrected to Rupees 4,300.

2. An examination of the statement shows, I regret to say, that there has been gross mismanagement in the execution of the work. I consider also that the statement now forwarded should have been made out long ago. Mr. Harington states that he has been several months trying to work out correct details, but even now I do not consider he has quite succeeded.

3. I inspected the building on a recent occasion. With one or two exceptions, to be hereafter mentioned, the work is of fair quality, although the bricks are rather soft and poor, and I therefore agree with Mr. Harington that it would be advisable to plaster the work instead of pointing it. The basement has been pitched too low, and the building is at present surrounded by heaps of spoil from the foundations. The roof has been put on with the exception of the porch in front of treasury and appears quite water-tight during and after rain.

4. The bad work before referred to is the cut-stone work. That in the flooring of the verandahs is very badly done, but that in the spiral staircase leading to the record-room is the most unworkman-like and worst executed piece of work it has been my misfortune to see. Mr. Montserrat, lately Sub-Division Officer, states that the work was done under the supervision either of Mr. Davidson or Lieutenant Boddy, R.E. I am making further enquiries, and if I am able to fix the date of the execution of the work and the name of officer in charge at the time, I will report it. It is not advisable to delay this estimate while this point is being investigated.

5. I will now review, as far as the information I have been able to collect will allow, the provisions of the estimate prepared by Mr. Harington.

6. *Clearing ground, levelling site, filling basement, and excavating foundations.*—The sum already spent under this head, Rupees 1,872, and the rate at which work has been done, appear to me both excessive, but there is still a great deal to be done. The site is that of an old village, and is at present most untidy. The sum applied for to complete the clearing and levelling is large, Rupees 525, but I am not prepared to say it is not required.

7. The concrete has cost a great deal too much, the rate at which the brick-work has been done is high, but not excessive, with the exception of arch-work

which is very high. Rupees 18 is high for the *cut-stone* work, considering the rough unworkman-like way in which it has been done.

8. In the *roofing* a saving has been shown, but only, I believe, because the reapers have not been properly charged off. It is not possible now to adjust any charge on this account properly.

9. *Teakwood*.—It is on this item that there has been the greatest mismanagement or worse. It was previously reported that the wood-work had been ordered from the Public Works Workshops at Madras by Mr. Hawksworth, Executive Engineer, without any reference as to the cost at which it could be obtained elsewhere, and cost has run up to very nearly Rupees 6 per cubic foot. In the comparative statement, Mr. Harington explains this by saying that the wood obtained from Madras was allowed to be exposed to the weather and get damaged, and that other wood to replace it had to be locally purchased. Mr. Harington has not, I think, completely accounted for this excessive cost by stating that some of the wood was damaged and fresh had to be purchased, for, under materials at site, only 121 cubic feet of damaged wood are shown to be on hand, and 38 cubic feet are admitted to have been transferred to Bodinayakanur station-house, whereas 466½ cubic feet of wood are shown to have been locally purchased. As the wood for the roof *complete* was supplied from Madras, it is clear that 317½ cubic feet of wood are in no way accounted for. In his letter Mr. Harington says that "a quantity of timber was spoilt by exposure or done away with." My belief is that a quantity must have been stolen. Mr. Harington informs me personally that some of this wood may have been passed on to other works, but there is no account to show whether it has or not. In the case of the Bodinayakanur station-house, a completion report was submitted to the Examiner, in which the value of the wood transferred from the Periyakulam Cutcherry was shown as a saving, and this report appears to have been accepted by the Examiner. The adjustment must however be made now. The bulk of the wood locally purchased was bought in 1876, when Lieutenant Boddy, R.E., was Range Officer, and Mr. J. P. Davidson, Sub-Divisional Officer, Colonel Fischer, R.E., the District Engineer. In addition to the enormous charge for timber, it will be observed that materials at site are also deficient to the extent of Rupees 878. Altogether there has been a lamentable waste of money.

10. *Doors and Windows*.—These were obtained from Madras, and, on the whole, there has been no excess, owing to very high rate having been allowed and to an extra number having been allowed for.

11. *Sundries and Superintendence*.—The sum already spent is much in excess of the estimate, and the sum entered for the completion of the work is also high and has been reduced to Rupees 135-0-3.

12. *Materials at Site*.—An actual loss of Rupees 877-9-7 is shown under this head, and Mr. Harington proposes that the materials which are on hand, the book value of which are Rupees 906-14-0, should be sold and value credited, but in this sum are included the sum of Rupees 141-11-0, which should long since have been debited to Bodinayakanur station-house, and Rupees 180-10-0, value of limestone, which can be and should be used up for the work. This reduces the prospective loss by Rupees 322-5-0 and the statement has been corrected accordingly by me.

13. As regards the responsibility for the losses which have been incurred, the following officers, who were directly or indirectly in charge of the Cutcherry, were relieved without any proper examination of the work and without the usual transfer certificates having been signed. Mr. J. P. Davidson, Assistant Engineer, Sub-Division Officer, and Mr. A. C. Lawford, Executive Engineer in charge of the range. Their successors ought, of course, to have taken an early opportunity of verifying the accounts, but there is nothing to show that this was done. The successors were, respectively, Mr. Montserrat, Assistant Engineer, Sub-Division Officer, and Mr. Paynter, Executive Engineer. The total expenditure on materials being Rupees 1,784-7-7, Rupees 877-9-7 have been absolutely lost, the sale of materials of the value of

Rupees 584-9-0 is recommended, the sum likely to be realised being uncertain and the materials to the value of Rupees 322-5-0 have been or will be realised.

14. The over-charges and losses together will run up the cost of the main building to Rupees 29,170 against Rupees 24,216 already sanctioned.

15. The estimates for the out-buildings do not call for any special remark, a small saving is shown on most of them. But I must remark on what is called the compound, but is really frontage wall, as it does not go round the compound, but is merely in front and for a short distance along one side of the compound. Considering that there was a well-grown hedge on this frontage, I do not think any wall was required. As built, it is in a ditch behind the hedge and is not seen. A gate is of no use, as cattle get into the compound from either side or from the river at the back, and I would propose to omit it, but have allowed it to stand in the estimate pending orders.

16. From the comparative statement it will be seen that the extra sum required is Rupees 4,300, of which I would ask Government to be good enough if possible to allot Rupees 2,500 for expenditure in the current year.

17. The building has been standing untouched for more than eighteen months, and though nearly completed and much required.

18. I trust, therefore, that though my explanations are, I regret to say on many points unsatisfactory, Government will be pleased to allot the money to admit of the work being finished off and handed over to the Revenue and Police officers.

From W. S. HARINGTON, Esq., Executive Engineer, Dindigul Division, to the Superintending Engineer, Fifth Circle, Trichinopoly, dated Camp, Kodikanal, 22nd July 1879, No. 820.

I HAVE the honor at length to forward a (second) revised estimate in the form of a comparative statement for completing the Taluk Cutcherry at Periyakulam. I say "at length," because I had hoped to have sent it in two months ago, but I found the accounts, &c., in such a confused and irregular condition that I could make but little out of them, and even now I cannot account satisfactorily for much of the expenditure that has been incurred. The records of the divisional office, however, can afford no further particulars; the work has been at a standstill for many months, and before he left for England Mr. Paynter sent me (in my capacity as District Engineer) an estimate which explained nothing that I wanted to know, but was merely a statement of expenditure. After all the pains that I have taken to eliminate important particulars I can only arrive at somewhat impotent conclusions, and these are that the two Overseers, Aroomoogum Pillay and Muthirulupiah Pillay, were in charge of the Periyakulam Taluk and consequently of this Cutcherry when a quantity of timber was spoilt by exposure or done away with, at any rate it remains unaccounted for; but the question is are these men to be held responsible or should not the several officers be who have had divisional or sub-divisional charges of the range? They have been Mr. Executive Engineer Hawksworth, (the late) Major W. Coningham, R.E., (the late) Sub-Engineer Mooneappa Pillay, (the late) Mr. Boddy, Mr. Davidson and Mr. Montserrat (Assistant Engineers), and Messrs. Lawford and Paynter, Executive Engineers. Mr. Hawksworth had by far the greatest share in the work, and I find it impossible to advise that any person or persons should be held answerable for the carelessness, delays and other shortcomings which have resulted in the necessity for the submission of this revised estimate, and so I venture to submit it in the hope that, under the circumstances represented, Government will be pleased to sanction it. You will observe from the remarks that nearly all the excess expenditure is in timber, and that much of this cannot be accounted for; I have addressed the Superintendent of the Public Works Stores and Workshops on the subject, and will, on its receipt, submit that officer's reply to my query as to what amount was actually paid to that institution, for I cannot make this out with absolute certainty from the accounts to which I have had access; but I cannot keep the estimate back any longer. I need scarcely point out the extreme desirability of finishing this long-delayed building at the earliest possible date. It is urgently needed and has been retarded for a length of time that may well be called preposterous.

No. 34/4 ORDER THEREON, 3rd September 1879, No. 2,264 W.

WITH the above letter the Superintending Engineer, Fifth Circle, submits a revised estimate, amounting to Rupees 33,476 (after excluding Rupees 60 for a gate,) for the construction of the new Taluk Cutcherry at Periyakulam.

2. It appears that a first revised estimate for the work, amounting to Rs. 27,970, was sanctioned in G.O., No. 496, of 20th February 1875, and the difference between that estimate and the one of Rupees 33,476 now submitted, is due entirely to gross mismanagement on the part of the various officers under whom the work was carried out.

3. As the three officers with whom the principal share of the responsibility appears to rest, are now dead, His Grace the Governor in Council has no alternative but to sanction the revised estimate.

4. The further report referred to in paragraph 4 of the Superintending Engineer's letter will be awaited.

5. The Examiner, Public Works Accounts, will report on the point referred to in paragraph 9 in regard to the adjustment of the value of the teakwood used on the Bodinayakanur station-house.

6. The proposal in the concluding portion of paragraph 13, for the sale of materials valued at Rupees 584-9-0, is sanctioned.

7. To enable the Superintending Engineer to finish off and hand over the building to the Revenue and Police officers, an appropriation of Rupees 2,500 is made from the Provincial Reserve of 1879-80.

8. The sanction accorded in paragraph 3 of these Proceedings has been registered as Provincial No. 41 B of 1879-80.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. H. SANKEY, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, Fifth Circle.

„ Examiner of Public Works Accounts with comparative Statement.

Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies

2264W
3

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Gobt. of (1879) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To
THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
FIFTH CIRCLE,

cc., cc., cc.

Dated September 3rd.

Encl.

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 3rd September 1879, No. 2,264 W.

SEPTEMBER.

Nos. ~~9-10~~
13-14

New Taluk Cutcherry at Periyakulam,
Madura District.—Sanctions with remarks
the revised estimate for the construction of
the —.

No. 553 MD

Supr. Engr's Office 52 mile
Sivakasi. Immediat District
12th August 1879.
S. B.

From,

J. W. Randall Esquire

Asst. Supr. Engineer 2264

To,

Colonel R. H. Sankar R. B. C.
Chief Engineer P. W. D.

Sir,

Encls-2.

Corrected
Rs 4,300/-

I have the honor to forward
herewith a copy of a letter from Mr
Harrington Executive Engineer District
Division together with a Comparative
Statement by which this gentleman
shows that a further sum of Rs 4,700/-
is required for the completion of the
Periyakulam Taluk Cutcherry, for which
an estimate amounting to Rs 28,000 was
sanctioned in G. O. No. 496 of 20th Feb 1875
and a further sum of Rs 836 in G. O. No.
2161 of the 25th July 1875.

2. An examination of the Statement
shows, I regret to say, that there has been
gross mismanagement in the execution of
the work. I consider also that the Statement
now forwarded should have been made out
long ago. Mr Harrington states that he
has been several months trying to work
out correct details, but even now I do not
consider he has quite succeeded.

3. I inspected the building on a recent

occasion. With one or two exceptions to hereafter mentioned, the work is of fair quality. Although the bricks are rather soft and poor, and I therefore agree with Mr. Harrington that it would be advisable to plaster the work, instead of pointing it. The basement has been pitched too low, and the building is at present surrounded by heaps of spoil from the foundations. The roof has been put in with the exception of the porch in front of Treasury and appears quite watertight during and after rain.

The bad work before referred to, is the cut stone work. That in the floorings of the Verandahs is very badly done, but that in the spiral stair case leading to the second room is the most unworkmanlike and worst executed piece of work it has been my misfortune to see. Mr. Hunt, lately Sub Division Officer states that the work was done under the supervision either of Mr. Davidson or Lieut. Baddy R. B. I am making further enquiries and if I am able to fix the date of the execution of the work and the name of officer in charge at the time, I will report it. It is not advisable to delay this estimate while this point is being investigated.

I will now review, as far as the information I have been able to collect will allow,

the provisions of the Estimate prepared by Mr. Harrington.

6. Clearing ground, levelling site, filling basement and excavating foundations. The sum already spent under this head Rs 1872 and the rate at which work has been done, appear to me both excessive, but there is still a great deal to be done. The site is that of an old village, and is at present most untidy. The sum applied for to complete the clearing and levelling is large Rs 525, but I am not prepared to say it is not required.

7. The concrete has cost a great deal too much, the rate at which the bricks work has been done, is high, but not excessive, with the exception of arch work which is very high. Rs 18 is high for the cut stone work considering the rough, unworkmanlike way in which it has been done.

8. In the roofing a saving has been shown, but only I believe because the neepers have not been properly charged off. It is not possible now to adjust any charge on this account properly.

9. Teak wood. It is on this item that there has been greatest mismanagement or waste. It was previously reported that the wood work has been ordered from the

Public works workshops at Madras by Mr. Hawksworth. Executive Engineer without any reference as to the cost at which it could be obtained elsewhere, and Government sanctioned higher rates to meet the extra charges thus incurred, but the cost has run up to very nearly Rs 6 per cubic foot. In the comparative statement Mr. Harrington explains this by saying that the wood obtained from Madras was allowed to lie exposed to the weather and get damaged and that other wood to replace it had to be locally purchased. Mr. Harrington has not I think completely accounted for this excessive cost by stating that some of the wood was damaged and fresh had to be purchased; for under materials at site only 121 cubic feet of damaged wood are shown to be on hand, and 38 cubic feet are admitted to have been transferred to Bodinaikur Station House, whereas 1466½ cubic feet of wood are shown to have been locally purchased. As the wood for the roof complete was supplied from Madras, it is clear that 317½ cubic feet of wood are in no way accounted for. In his letter Mr. Harrington says that "a quantity of timber was spoiled by exposure or done away with". My belief is that a quantity must have been stolen. Mr. Harrington informs me personally that some of this wood may have been passed on

111
P3
on to other works, but there is no account to shew whether it has or not. In the case of the Bodinaikur Station House, a completion Report was submitted to the Engineer in which the value of the wood transferred from the Serjankulam Batcheri was shown as a saving and this Report appears to have been accepted by the Engineer. The adjustment must however be made now. The bulk of the wood locally purchased, was bought in 1876, when Lieut. Roddy R. E. was Range Officer and Mr. J. S. Davidson, Sub Divisional Officer, Col. Fisher R. E. the District Engineer. In addition to the enormous charge for Timber, it will be observed that materials at site are also deficient to the extent of Rs 878. Altogether that there has been a lamentable waste of money.

10. Doors and windows. These were obtained from Madras, and on the whole there has been no excess, owing to very high rate having been allowed and to an extra number having been allowed for.

11. Sundries & Superintendence. The sum already spent is much in excess of the Estimate and the sum entered for the completion of the work is also high and has been reduced to Rs 135-11-3.

12. Materials at site. An actual loss of Rs 877-9-7 is shown under this head, and

Mr. Harrington proposes that the materials which are on hand the book value of which are Rs 906.14.0. should be sold & value credited, but in this sum are included the sum of Rs 141.11.0, which should long since have been debited to Rodinikun Station House and Rs 180.10.0 value of limestone which can be and should be used up for the work. This reduces the prospective loss by Rs 322.5.0 and the statement has been corrected accordingly by me.

13. As regards the responsibility for the losses which have been incurred, the following officers who were directly or indirectly in charge of the catchment, were relieved without any proper examination of the work and without the usual transfer certificates having been signed. Mr. J. S. Davidson, Assistant Engineer, Sub Division Officer and Mr. A. B. Lunford, Executive Engineer in charge of the Range. Their successors ought of course to have taken an early opportunity of verifying the accounts, but there is nothing to show that this was done. The successors were respectively Mr. Montserrat, Assistant Engineer Sub Division Officer and Mr. Layton, Executive Engineer. The total expenditure on materials, being Rs 1784.7.7, Rs 877.9.7 have been absolutely lost, the sale of materials

of the value of Rs 584.9.0 is recommended, the sum likely to be realised being uncertain and the materials to the value of Rs 322.5.0 have been on will be realised.

2264W

14. The overcharges and losses together will run up the cost of the main Building to Rs 29,170 against Rs 24,216 already sanctioned.

15. The Estimates for the out buildings do not call for any special remarks, a small saving is shown in most of them. But I must remark on what is called the compound, but is really, frontage wall as it does not go round the compound, but is merely in front and for a short distance along one side of the compound. Considering that there was a well grown hedge on this frontage. I do not think any wall was required. As built it is in a ditch behind the hedge and is not seen. A Gate is no use, as cattle get into the compound from either side or from the river at the back and I would propose to omit it, but have allowed it to stand in the Estimate pending orders.

16. From the comparative statement it will be seen that the extra sum required

is Rs 4,300, of which I would ask Government to be good enough if possible to allot Rs 2,500, for expenditure in the current year.

17. The Building has been standing untouched for more than 18 months, and though nearly completed and much required.

18. I trust therefore that though my explanations are, I regret to say, in many points unsatisfactory, Government will be pleased to allot the money to admit of the work being finished off and handed over to the Revenue and Police Officers.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

your most obedt servt

J. W. Randall

Agst. Supr. Engineer

RE 820.

Divdial Divisional Office
Camp Kodakanal

22nd July 1891

I now,

The Executive Engineer

Divdial Division.

The Superintending Engineer

58 Mile. Trichinopoly

Buildings Do.

Encls 1.

Sir,

I have the honor at length to forward a (2nd) revised estimate in the form of a comparative statement for completing the Salug kitchen at Periakulam. I say "at length" because I had hoped to have sent it in 2 months ago, but I found the accounts &c in such a confused and irregular condition that I could make but little out of them and even now I cannot account satisfactorily for much of the expenditure that has been incurred. The records of the Divisional Office however can afford no further particulars the work has been at a stand still for many months and before he left for England Mr Layton sent me (in my capacity as District Engineer) an estimate which explained nothing that I wanted to know but was mere a statement of expenditure. After all the pains that I have taken to clarify

important particulars I can only arrive
at somewhat impotent conclusions and
these are that the two overseers Mivo-
mooznu Pillay and Muthiruluppuh
Pillay were in charge of the Serikulam
dalay and consequently of this Butcheri
when a quantity of timber was spoilt
by exposure or done away with. at any
rate it remains unaccounted for but
the question is are these men to be
held responsible or should not the se-
veral officers be who have had
Divisional or Sub Divisional charges
of the Range? They have been Mr
Executive Engineer Hawksworth, (the late)
Major W. Cunningham R. E. (the late)
Sub Engineer Moneappa Pillay, (the
late) Mr Boddy, Mr Davidson and
Mr Montserrat (Assistant Engineers)
and Messrs Lawford and Layton, Sec-
utive Engineers. Mr Hawksworth has
by far the greatest share in the work
and I find it impossible to advise that
any person or persons should be held
responsible for the carelessness, delays
and other short-comings which have
resulted in the necessity for the sub-
mission of this revised Estimate, and
I venture to submit it in the hope
that under the circumstances repre-
sented, Government will be pleased to
action it. You will observe from the

1106 2260 W
remarks that nearly all the receipts
expenditure is in timber and that
much of this cannot be accounted
for. I have addressed the Superintendent
of the Public Works Stores and work-
shops on the subject and will, on
its receipt, submit that officer's reply
to my query as to what amount was
actually paid to that Institution for
I cannot make this out with absolute
certainty from the accounts to which
I have had access but I cannot keep
the Estimate back any longer. I need
scarcely point out the extreme desirability
of finishing this long delayed Building
at the earliest possible date. It is
urgently needed and has been retarded
for a length of time that may well
be called preposterous.

I have &c-

W. S. Harrington,
Executive Engineer
Orindigul Division.

|True copy|

L. W. Rudall

P.W.D.

19th 79

No.

6056

4. 0. 3. Sept 79 No. 22646

Encl. B. 1273

2264/w
10

No. 121-31-7-71
 No. 121-12-1-73
 " 899-31-3-74
 " 1244-12-5-74
 " 1574-23-7-74
 Memo. No. 445-29-9-74
 " 37-11-1-75
 No. 1496-20-2-75 Aug.
 " 14010-24-1-75
 " 1411-8-3-75 Aug.
 Memo. No. 227-19-1-74
 Memo. No. 366-18-3-75
 Memo. No. 11-1-1-75 Aug.
 No. 1208-30-1-75
 " 1209-30-1-75
 " 11-5-5-75 Aug.
 No. 2161-27-1-75 Aug.
 " 143-19-1-74
 " 145-1-6-74

P.W.D.
 1910/79
 No. 553
 Roads & Buildings

From
 The Supg Engineer
 5th Circle
 403 Sept 79 No 2264 W
 To
 The Chief Engineer
 P.W.D.
 Madras

No 553 M.D.
 Dated 12th August 1979
 Recd.
 Encls - 2-1 Enc.

Periakulam Taluk
 Kuthiheri.
 Forwarding Com-
 parative Statement
 of work at the —

Cir 20.8.79. U2 1.9.79
 To Dtd 28-8-1979

No. 2264 W P.W.D.
 Proceedings of the Madras Govt.
 Under date the 3rd Sept 79
 Read the following
 from
 The Supg Eng- 5th Circle (M.C. No 553 d/ 12th Aug 79)

With the above
 letter the Supg Engineer
 5th Circle submits a
 revised estimate amounting
 to Rs 33,476 (after
 excluding Rs 60 for a
 gate) for the construction
 of the new Taluk Cutcherry
 at Periakulam.

2. It appears that a
 first revised estimate for

the work amounting to
Rs 27,970 was sanctioned
in O.G. No. 496 of 20th
February 1895, and the
difference between that
estimate and the one of
Rs 33,476 now submitted,
is due entirely to gross
mismanagement on the
part of the various officers
under whom the work
was carried out.

3. As the three officers
with whom the principal
share of the responsibility
appears to rest, are now
dead, His Grace the Governor
in Council has no alternative
but to sanction the revised
estimate.

2264 W 12 P 8
4. His Grace the Governor in
Council concurs with the Supg
Eng^r in thinking that the
explanation given by the
Resident Engineer ^{Dindigul} ~~Dalt~~
Division of the cause of delay
in the submission of this
revised estimate is neither
sufficient nor satisfactory.

5. The further report
referred to in para 4 of
the Supg. Engineer's letter
will be awaited.

6. The Recamers P.W.
Accounts will report on the
point referred to in para
9 in regard to the adjustment
of the value of the teakwood
used on the Bodinayakanur

Station house.

7. The proposal in the concluding portion of para 13 for the sale of materials valued at Rs 584-9-0 is sanctioned.

8. To enable the Supg. Engineer to finish off and hand over the building to the Revenue and Police officers, an appropriation of Rs 2,500 is made from the Provincial Reserve of 1879-80.

The sanction
accorded in para 3
of these Ps. has been
registered as Provincial
No: 41 Ps of 1879-80

28-8

30/8/79

See 30.8.79

4.2.81

S. to 25th Circle
Rev. S. W. Aceto with confidential
statement
Noted 16.9.79

2264w
13

C. 6036

Govt. of Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To

Dated

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G. O. 3 Sept 1879, No. 2264 W

NO. 13-14

New Lorry Catching
at Periyakolam, Madras.

Dr. Santhomas with
remarks the revised
estimate for the construction

of the —