Archives I —10,006—16-8 77.

(1) Number of pages 12

(2) Date of repair NOV-86

(3) Initials of the Referencer Lavo

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. / ~~B.P. No.~~	1466-W-	Press. / ~~Mis.~~
Dated	28-5-1878	~~197~~

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

1466
1

28th May 1879, No. 1,466 W.

General.
Establishment.

Read the following paper:—

No. 169. From Major L. CONWAY-GORDON, R.E., Examiner of Public Works Accounts, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, General Branch, dated 10th May 1879, No. 40 W.

I HAVE the honor to forward, herewith, copy of a letter No. 128, dated 9th April 1879, from the Superintending Engineer, Second Circle, and with reference to G.O., No. 151 W, dated 11th January 1879, to request the favor of being informed as to whether the suspension of Sub-Overseer LeFebour therein ordered should be considered to have effect from the 8th May 1878, the date on which he was originally suspended by the late District Engineer of Cuddapah, or from the 2nd February 1879, the date on which he was relieved of his duties on the receipt by the Superintending Engineer of the Government order in question.

From the Superintending Engineer, Second Circle, to the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated 9th April 1879, No. 128.

UPON G.O., No. 151 W, of the 11th January 1879, Sub-Overseer LeFebour was suspended from the 2nd February; he asks for subsistence allowance, but the Executive Officer in the Cuddapah Division declined to give any on account of your disallowance No. 716, in which you require a refund of the difference between Rupees 60 and Rupees 15 from the 8th May 1878 to 31st December.

2. As the Sub-Overseer was reinstated and was on duty in January 1879, it appears to me that his full salary should be passed for that month, as also there is nothing on record as to forfeiture of any part of allowances during the period of suspension. I do not gather from the rules that any portion of salary should be disallowed for time of suspension. By Colonel Hunter's memorandum of the 4th September 1878, entered in G.O., No. 151 W, the Sub-Overseer was released from suspension, having been acquitted by the Magistrate, he returned to duty then, and must therefore be entitled to his full salary.

3. By paragraph 24, Section II, Chapter IV, of the Code one-fourth of salary is allowed during suspension, and I have the honor to request you will be so good as to inform me whether the Sub-Overseer ought not to receive this amount now from the 2nd February while again under suspension.

4. The case is certainly complicated in the Sub-Overseer being suspended a second time. If the case had come to an end, there would, I think, be no doubt that full salary should be passed for the whole period since last May, and the second suspension can hardly invalidate a claim that was previously admissible.

No. 170. ORDER THEREON, 28th May 1879, No. 1,466 W.

MR. LEFEBOUR will be entitled to subsistence allowance for the period during which he was actually under suspension, and to full pay for the period he was on actual duty.

(True Extract.)

Major, R.E.,
Ag. Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the Superintending Engineer, Second Circle.
" Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

Encl. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies

GENERAL
ESTABLISHMENT.

Govt. of (1870) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER,
SECOND CIRCLE,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated Memorial

Rec'd

Ans.

G. O. No. Ans. 1870, No. 1,403 W.

MAS.

Nos. 169-170

Record Clerk's Endorsement.—Letter from the Board relative to the pay of Lieutenant [illegible]

FROM THE EXAMINER, P.W. ACCOUNTS,

MADRAS.

TO THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,

GENERAL BRANCH.

NO. 440-W. DATED 10TH. MAY 1870.

SIR,

I HAVE THE HONOR TO FORWARD HEREWITH COPY OF A LETTER NO. 195 DATED 9TH. APRIL 1870 FROM THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER, SECOND CIRCLE, AND WITH REFERENCE TO G.O. NO. 151-W. DATED 11TH. JANUARY 1870, TO REQUEST THE FAVOR OF BEING INFORMED AS TO WHETHER THE SUSPENSION OF SUB-OVERSEER LE PRIOUR SHOULD BE CONSIDERED TO HAVE EFFECT FROM THE DATE ON WHICH HE WAS ORIGINALLY SUSPENDED BY THE LATE DISTRICT ENGINEER OF CUDDAPAH, OR FROM THE 3RD. FEBRUARY 1870, THE DATE ON WHICH HE WAS RELIEVED OF HIS DUTIES ON THE RECEIPT BY THE SUPERINTENDING ENGINEER OF THE G.O. IN QUESTION.

I HAVE THE HONOR TO BE,

SIR,

YOUR MOST OBEDIENT SERVANT,

EXAMINER, P.W. ACCOUNTS & MAJOR R.E.

G. O. No 157 dt. 11 Jany 1879
Mis: No 4719 dt. 8/10 Decr/78
C. E. No 5392 dt 4th Decr/78
" No 5265 dt 22nd Nover/78

FROM THE EXAMINER, P.W. ACCOUNTS,

MADRAS.

TO THE SECY. TO GOVT. P.W.D.

GENERAL BRANCH.

Rec'd 28-5-79 No 16675

NO. A.G.-P.

DATED 10 MAY 1879.

RECD.

FILE.

PRESENTING CERTAIN INFORMATION REGARDING THE CASE OF SUSPENSION OF SUB-OVERSEER

169

Cir 17.5.79 Dtd 26.5.79

15-6-79

1416
1

Copy of a letter No 128 dated 9th April 1879 from the Superintending Engineer 2nd Circle to the Examiner P.W. Accounts Madras

Upon G.O. No 157 M. of the 11th January 1879 Sub Overseer Le Febure was suspended from the 2nd February he asks for subsistence allowance, but the Executive Officer in the Cuddapah Division declined to give any, on account of your Disallowance No 4/10 in which you require a refund of the difference between Rs 60 and Rs 15 from the 8th May 1878 to 31st December

2. As the Sub Overseer was reinstated and was on duty in January 1879 it appears to me that his full salary should be passed for that month, as also there is nothing on record as to forfeiture of any part of allowances during the period of suspension, I do not gather from the rules that any portion of salary should be disallowed for time of suspension By Col. Hunter's Memo of the 4th September 1878 entered in G.O. No 157 M. the Sub Overseer was released from suspension, having been acquitted by the Magistrate, he returned to duty then and must therefore be entitled to his full salary.

3. By para 24 Sec 1 Chap IV of the Code one fourth of salary is allowed during suspension, and I have the honor to request you will be so good as to inform me whether the Sub Overseer ought not to receive this amount now from the 2nd February while again under suspension

4 The case

5. The case is certainly complicated as the Sub Overseer being suspended a second time: If the case had come to an end, there would I think be no doubt that full salary should be passed for the whole period since last May and the second suspension can hardly invalidate a claim that was previously admissible.

(True Copy)
E Bicknell
Superintendent Correspondence Branch

12/5

In Proc 28-5-79 No 1661

Genl
Executive

No 1466 W Public Works Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 25th May 1879

Read the following:—

1466

From
The Examiner of W. Accts (Mr. No 40 W dated 10th May 1879)

Mr. Le Fevre will be entitled to subsistence allowance for the period during which he was under suspension, and to full pay for the period he was on actual duty.

2
19-5

Lmd
14-5

16-5-79

To J. & I. Branch
Examiner Pl Accts
Chief 16-5-79

Cir 19-6-79 Oct 26 79
Oct 7 5 25 79

Cr 37

Pobl. of 11879 Squares.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

Dated

Town

Road. Co.

C. O. 28 January 1879, No. 1466 W

Nos. 169-170

Mr Dubbocasser de Peteur

Instructions issued relative

to Repayment of Damages

paid to ——