

(1) Number of pages 12.
(2) Date of repair 10.7.86
(3) Initials of the Referencer lous

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

P.W

DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	689 W	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	9.2.1878	197

Not to be folded or roughly handled

689
1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

9th February 1878, No. 689 W.

Buildings and Roads.

Civil Works.

Read the following paper :—

No. 93. From W. S. HARRINGTON, Esq., District Engineer, Madura, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Madura, 1st February 1878, No. 343.

With reference to your memorandum, No. 123, dated 8th instant, I have the honor to state that the total expenditure incurred on the Palace works at Madura since November last is as follows :—

<i>Iron Ties.</i>			RS.	A.	P.
Scaffolding for two quadrangles and ties fitted	...	...	65	8	10
<i>Taluq Outcherry.</i>					
Kavulgars' pay	...	...	12	0	0
<i>Collector's Outcherry.</i>					
Materials collected	...	...	205	4	5
<i>Munsif's Court.</i>					
Timber collected for door frames	...	...	25	3	9
<i>Lightning Conductor.</i>					
Well dug	...	...	3	8	0
<i>North-east Turret or Clock Tower.</i>					
Dismanthling	...	...	2	10	3
			314	3	3

2. The work has not progressed otherwise than slowly, but I do not see how, under existing circumstances, it could have been rapidly carried on. These circumstances being known to you, I need scarcely recapitulate them; but I may say that they are mainly, 1st, Mr. Hutching's inability to look after the work properly, his legitimate duties in his large division during the late and present crisis demanding his absence from Madura, and his presence at very many places in his charge; 2nd, my own necessitated absence during the whole of this month on an important tour of inspection to the Goodalur Ghaut and of works in the Dindigul Division which, owing to Mr. Paynter's dereliction of duty, and, I must add, to Mr. Montserrat's failure to appreciate what was required of him, demanded a visit from me; 3rd, the fact of Overseer Soobroyaloo Naidoo not being allowed to make payments and being almost in independent charge.

3. As Major Vibart has been appointed to take charge of the Palace restorations, he will be able, I trust, to spend the entire allotment of Rupees 5,000, but of this he will soon be able to judge.

4. Work done to the Napier Gateway has not been included in the statement now sent, as the provision for it is distinct from the allotment for the Palace, and a separate report is needed.

No. 94. ORDER THEREON, 9th February 1878, No. 689 W.

THE Government consider the progress most unsatisfactory even allowing for the reasons assigned.

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government, P.W.D.

To the District Engineer, Madura.

Exd. T. D. Ryan.
Spare Copies



BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of (1878) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To

THE DISTRICT ENGINEER,
MADURA.

Dated February 9th.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 9th February 1878, No. 689 W.

FEBRUARY.

Nos. 93-94.

Madura Palace Works.-- The progress of
the -- considered most unsatisfactory.

No. 343.

District Engineer's Office
Madura 1st February 1878.

From,

To,

W. S. Harrington Esq
District Engineer, Madura

Colonel E. A. Ford B. E.,
Chief Engineer W. P. W. Madras

For,

With reference to your Memo No.
123, dated 8th Instant, I have the honor to state
that the total Expenditure incurred on the
Palace works at Madura since November last
is as follows--

Iron ties

Scaffolding for 2 quadrangles & ties fitted 65-8-10

Truly lutcherry

Navulgars pay 12-0-0

Collector's lutcherry

Materials collected 205-4-5

Monsiff's lutch

Timber collected for door frames 25-3-9

Lightening conductor

Well dug 3-8-0

North east turret or clock tower

Dismanling 2-10-3

3 1/4-3-3

2. The work has not progressed otherwise than slowly but I do not see how under existing circumstances it could have been rapidly carried on. These circumstances being known to you I need scarcely recapitulate them, but I may say that they are mainly 1st Mr. Hutchings' inability to look after the work properly. his legitimate duties in his large Division during the late and present crisis demanding his absence from Madras and his presence at very many places in his charge; 2nd My own necessitated absence during the whole of this month on an important tour of inspection to the Godalur Chant and of works in the Hindigul Division which owing to Mr. Payne's dereliction of duty; and I must add to Mr. Montserrat's failure to appreciate what was required of him demanded a visit from me; 3rd. The fact of Quarter Master "Sorroyalankaidor" not being allowed to make payments and being almost in independent charge.

3. As Major Vibart has been appointed to take charge of the Palace restorations he will be able I trust to spend the entire allotment of Rs. 5000 but of this he will soon be able to judge.

4. Work done to the Napier Gateway has not been included in the statement now sent - as the provision for it is distinct from

the allotment for the Palace and a separate report is needed.

689/4

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Your most obedient servant

W. Harrington

District Engineer

Madras

No 343

From
The District Engineer
Madura
To
The Chief Engineer
D.M.

Dated 1st February 1878
Received

Madura Palace Works
reporting about the
expenditure

Ca 4-2-78 Rg. 2.78

13412
cl. works.
No 689 W Public Works Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 9th Feb 1878
Read the following:—

From the Offgr. Madura (H.E. No. 343 of 1 Feb 78)

Yes - it is
exactly what I
expected - what
has expired in years

The Government
consider the progress
most unsatisfactory even
allowing for the excuses
made Madura 11/2/78
GAT 6.2.78

11/2/78

11/2/78

Offgr. Madura ✓

11/2/78 - 14 - 2. 78

1133
Ct. of 1878 Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To *Mr. H. J. Steadman*
11-2

Dated

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G. O. 9 Feb 1878, No. 6074

Nce.

Madras Palace Hotel

The progress of the —
considered construction
factory

Conf. in page