

(1) Number of pages 10

(2) Date of repair 25.8.86

(3) Initials of the Referencer lms

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

Public works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	3651.w	Press.
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	16.9.1878	197.

SG.M.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

3651
T

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

16th September 1878, No. 3,651 W.

General
Establishment.

Read the following paper :—

No. 178. From Lieutenant-Colonel J. N. HUNTER, R.E., District Engineer, Cuddapah, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Cuddapah, 24th August 1878, No. 1,279.

WITH reference to your memorandum No. 1,382, dated 19th March 1878, I have the honor to submit a copy of a plaint, with translation, relating to a civil suit filed in the Cuddapah District Munsif's Court by one Hoosmalkhan, a petty contractor, against Conductor C. Seton, Sub-Engineer, lately in charge of the Cuddapah Range, together with a draft answer prepared by that officer, and to state the history of the impending suit as follow.

2. That in April 1876 plaintiff agreed to complete the construction of a head sluice to the supply channel of the Chintacommadiuna tank by the 15th September following, under a penalty of Rupees 200, and a further demurrage of Rupees 5 per diem for every day's delay beyond that date.

3. The estimate for this work amounts to Rupees 1,120, as sanctioned in G.O., No. 583, dated 3rd March 1876; but the plaintiff undertook the job for Rupees 1,016, of which he has only given value to the extent of Rupees 950. The work was incomplete even at the end of November 1876, when the Range Officer took it out of his hands and finished it departmentally. If the contract fines had been levied, the plaintiff would have had to pay about Rupees 570, whereas only Rupees 205-15-0 have been deducted from his bill.

4. The contract bond does not seem to have been drawn upon stamped paper, and I do not know how far this technical lapse may influence the defence by Government.

5. A specification with detail and abstract estimate and the plaintiff's agreement thereon is herewith sent.

No. 179. ORDER THEREON, 16th September 1878, No. 3,651 W.

THE Government will defer passing orders until the result of the suit brought against the late Range Officer is known.

(True Extract.)

(Signed)

E. A. FOORD, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

(True Copy.)

Major, R.E.
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the District Engineer, Cuddapah.
" Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

Exd. E. C. Shunker.
Spare Copies

a further demurrage of Rs 5 per diem for

GENERAL
 DEPARTMENT
 GOVT. OF INDIA
 PUBLIC WORKS.

TO THE DISTRICT ENGINEER,
 QUADRANT.

10th Sept. 1878.

From

To

10th Sept. 1878, No. 172-173.

RECEIVED

No. 172-173.

Sub-Engineer (at no order will be
 issued regarding the same) — By order
 of the District Engineer (at no order will be
 issued regarding the same) — By order
 of the District Engineer (at no order will be
 issued regarding the same) — By order

General

No. 1279.

Quadrant District Engineer
 Office 24th August 1878.

From

²⁵⁵¹
 The District Engineer
 Quadrant

To

The Chief Engineer
 P. W. Department
 Madras

Sir,

With reference to your Memorandum
 No. 1382 dated 19th May 1878. I have the honor
 to submit a copy of a Plaint with translation
 relating to a Civil suit filed in the Quad-
 rant District Munsiff's Court by one
 Hoomalkhan a petty Contractor against
 Contractor C. Seton, sub Engineer lately in
 charge of the Quadrant Range together
 with a draft answer prepared by that
 Officer and to state the history of the
 impending suit as follows:

That in April 1876, Plaintiff agreed
 to complete the construction of a head sluice
 to the Supply Channel of the Chintacom-
 madinna tank by the 15th September
 following under a penalty of Rs 200 and
 a further demurrage of Rs 5 per diem
 P.S.O. — for

for every days delay beyond that date.

The Estimate for this work amounts to Rs 1120 as sanctioned in G.O. No. 583 dated 3rd March 1876 but the Plaintiff undertook the job for Rs 1016 of which he has only given value to the extent of Rs 950. The work was incomplete even at the end of November 1876 when the Range Officer took it out of his hands and finished it departmentally. If the Contract fines had been levied, the Plaintiff would have had to pay about Rs 570 whereas only Rs 205-15-4 have been deducted from his bill.

The Contract bond does not seem to have been drawn upon stamped paper and I do not know how far this technical lapse may influence the defence by Government.

A Specification with detail and Abstract estimate and the Plaintiff's agreement thereon is herewith sent.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedient servant

J. Subramanian. Smt. J. S. S.
District Engineer Cuddalore

3651
3

L. 6. 583-3-3-76
C.E. 1382. 19-3-78

General

From

The District Engineer
Buddapah

To
The Chief Engineer
P. W. Department

Dated 24th Aug
Recd 26th Aug

N^o 1279. Encl
vide C.A. 1057/78
Suit against
Seton Lake Buddapah
Range Officers in-
cl. by Contractor Koo-
khan. —

Forwards a draft
and other papers with
translation of the
for defending the

Lo 00427-8-78
Cir 12-9-78. Dtd 17-9-78

No 56574 P. H. D. ³⁶⁵
Proceedings of the head and Government

Under date the 16 Sep/78

Read the following:-

From
The District Engineer
Buddapah } (N.E. No. 1279 of 24th Aug/78)

The Government will
defer passing orders
until the result of
the suit brought against
the late Range Officer
is known.

Wt
11.9.78

To
The D. E. Buddapah & Encl vide C.A. 1057/78
Chief Eng. for signature
Dtd 26-9-78

365

Lo 104 27-8-78
Cir 12.9.78 Retd 17-9-78

Govt. of (1878) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To

Dated

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 16th Sept 1878, No. 365/10

No. 178 @ 179

Suit

Intimating that no orders
will be passed ~~therein~~
of ~~the same~~ regarding
the — brought against
in session by Contractor
Hussain Khan, till the
result of it is known.

S. D. W. R. G. P.

Civ 12.9.78th Dtd 17-9-78