(1) Number of pages 56.

(2) Date of repair 29.8.86

(3) Initials of the Referencer bus

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

P.W. DEPARTMENT

G.O. No / B.P. No	3481 W	Press / Mis.
Dated	2.9 1878	~~197~~ .

Not to be folded or roughly handled.

Archives I—10,006—19-4-79

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

2nd September 1878, No. 3,481 W.

General.
Account

Read the following paper —

No. 15 From Major L CONWAY-GORDON, R.E., Examiner of Public Works Accounts, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, General Branch, dated Chepauk, 13th August 1878, No. 222 W.

In my letter No 14 W, dated 13th April 1878, in considering the causes that led to expenditure being incurred in excess of the budget grants, I ventured to ascribe a considerable proportion of the financial irregularity that now exists to the inadequate measures taken to stop these irregularities when dealing with the lists of objectionable items submitted by this office.

2 In submitting to Government these the first objectionable item statements for the current official year, which I have retained over due date in order to make as complete as possible, I wish to call attention to the numerous instances of financial irregularity that still exist, and I trust that some practical measures may now be taken to ensure the financial rules of the Public Works Department being more systematically adhered to by the officers of the executive branch.

3 At present the action taken is evidently inadequate to check the irregularities. The same items appear month after month in the objectionable item statements submitted to Government, while apparently little or no effort is made by the District Engineers to obtain the requisite sanction for the outlay or to otherwise bring the expenditure within the financial rules of the department.

4 As an instance in point, I may invite the attention of Government to item No 6 of the accompanying statement of irregularities under Imperial Funds with respect to estimate for making road from the trunk road near Kavali to the salt platform," a salt work which was transferred from the Civil to the Public Works Department in December 1875, and for which, as unsanctioned by competent authority, the District Engineer was by G.O., No. 1022, dated 24th April 1876, specially instructed to submit proper plans and estimates. Rupees 3,365 was first reported to Government in the objectionable statement for November 1876, and I append below the orders that have since been passed with regard to this item —

Item No	Examiner's Remarks	Order of Government
	After 3 Months.	
9	District Engineer's reply awaited ...	District Engineer's reply should be submitted (G O, No 590, of 22nd February 1877, para 5)
	After 4 Months	
4	District Engineer's reply awaited	The information should in all cases be completed as provided for in the previous column (G O, No 982, of 20th March 1877, para 4)
	After 5 Months	
6	The District Engineer reports that a revised estimate will be submitted for sanction on its receipt from the executive officer	Estimate awaited. (G O, No 2 W, of 1st May 1877, para 5)
	After 6 Months	
6	The District Engineer reports that the executive officer has been ordered to submit a revised estimate for this work, and that it will be submitted for the sanction of Government when received	The estimate is awaited (G O, No 324, of 29th June 1877, para 6)
	After 8 Months	
5	In G O, No 324 W, of 29th June 1877, it is observed that the estimate for this work is awaited	The District Engineer, Nellore, will hasten the submission of the estimate (G O, No 596 W, of 16th August 1877, para 5)
	After 9 Months	
6	In G O, No 324 W, of 29th June 1877 it is observed that the estimate for this work is awaited	In paragraph 5 of G O, No 596 W, of 16th August 1877, the District Engineer has been directed to hasten the submission of the estimate (G O, No 756 W, of 20th September 1877, para 6)
	After 10 Months	
6	The Government in their Proceedings, No 756 W, of 20th September 1877, paragraph 6, observe that the District Engineer was directed in paragraph 5 of G O, No 596 W, of the 16th August 1877, to hasten the submission of the estimate	The estimate is still awaited (G O, No 1,260 W, of 25th October 1877, para 6)
	After 11 Months	
5	The District Engineer's reply to a reference made by this office is awaited	Recorded (G O, No 1,925 W, of 14th December 1877, para 5)
	After 13 Months.	
..	The District Engineer reports that the estimate for this work is under revision	Noted (G O, No 31 W, of 4th January 1878, para 5)
	After 14 Months	
...	The District Engineer reports that the estimate for this road is under revision	(G O, No 267 W, of 22nd January 1878)
	After 16 Months	
5	The District Engineer reports that the estimate is under revision	(G O, No 1,469, of 19th March 1878)
	After 17 Months	
4	The District Engineer reports that for want of a Draftsman and 2nd Accountant the preparation of a revised estimate is delayed	The District Engineer, Nellore, will lose no time in submitting the necessary estimate for the sanction of Government (G O, No 1,872 W, of 17th April 1878)

Item No	Examiner's Remarks	Order of Government
	After 18 Months	
9	The District Engineer has been requested to submit a report as to the cause of delay in the matter, and will be submitted for the consideration of Government shortly	The District Engineer will forthwith explain the cause of delay. It shall, however, be understood that such long remissness should be met with without a duly sanctioned estimate, para 5

5. Thus after twenty months have passed away, the matter, so far as this office is concerned, is still exactly the same unsatisfactory state as it was at first, perhaps even more so since the objectionable expenditure first reported as Rupees 3,405 has now arisen to Rupees 10,963, and the District Engineer cannot otherwise than have learnt how easy it is to evade the Standing Orders of the department.

7. With expenditure continuously incurred for nearly two years, no estimate even yet is forthcoming, and this although it is a fundamental rule of the department that no proposal for the execution of Public Works can be entertained unless to be accompanied by a properly detailed design and estimate, and although the following order was passed by Government on a letter from this office specially reporting this item amongst others

"The District Engineer, Nellore is directed to lose no time in submitting at an early date an estimate for item No 2, 'making road from a trunk road near Kavali to salt platform.' (G O, No 1,755 W of 8th April 1878)"

8. I have not selected this item as in any way exceptional or as more particularly unsatisfactory than others that might be selected but as a good average case to show that the action taken in dealing with these objectionable item statements must be considerably more vigorous if Government as would appear from their Proceedings noted in the margin, desire to insure financial regularity and to restrict Public Works expenditure within the limits of the budget grant

G O, Financial Department, No 1 087, of 21st June 1878, and No 1,099, of 22nd June 1878

No 16. ORDER THEREON, 2nd September 1878, No. 3,481 W.

RECORDED, with reference to orders passed on the return for May

(True Extract)

(Signed) E. A. FOORD, Colonel, R E,
Secretary to Govt, P W.D.

(True Copy)

Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt, P.W.D.

To the Examiner of Public Works Accounts

Ind E C Shanker
Spare Copies

3451/69

No

From

The Examiner,

[Exr Wks Form No 69]

Executive Officer's Name and Designation.	Division.	
Conductor K. Talley Supervisor	Mount	Rock Road
Conductor K. Talley Supervisor	Mount	Rock Road

Examiner, P. W Accounts.

Major RE

3451/8

No.

From

The Examiner,

[For Wks Form No 89]

Sta

Executive Officer's Name and Designation	Division	Name of Work, &c	Amount of Estimate or Appropriation	Excess Expenditure During month	Excess Expenditure To end of month	Remarks.
			Rs.	Rs	Rs	
Conductor & Palmy Superviser	Mount	New Barracks at Trincomalee	"		1892	Our Letter dated 7th Sept. 97. In Order dated 17th April 98 on the Return for February 98, the Local Government state that the Estimate is under consideration but from their Remarks on the Return for March 98, it appears that the Estimate was approved and submitted to the Government of India on the 20th March 98
Conductor D. Paley Superviser	Mount	Repairing the Old Hospital at Trincomalee	"	63	3145	The Estimate for the work amounting to Rs 4456 was submitted to the Local Government on the 5th April 98 and

Executive Officer's Name and Designation

Conductor S. C. Plummer
Sub Engineer

Executive Officer's Name and Designation	Division.

Examiner, P. W. Accounts.

No

From

The Examiner,

Public Works Accounts.

[Exr Wks Fo m No 89]

Division	Name of Work &c	Amount of Estimate or Appropriation	Excess Expenditure During month	Excess Expenditure To end of month	Remarks
		Rs	Rs	Rs	

Executive Officer's Name and Designation	Division.

Executive Officer's Name and Designation

Major J. L. Durand R.E.
Executive Engineer

Major J. L. Durand R.E.
Executive Engineer

X^d 88

Examiner, P. W. Accounts. 4.
Major R.E.

3451/78

No

From

The Examiner,

Public Works Accounts,

To

The Secretary to Government,

Public Works Department,

Fort Saint George.

Dated

Recd. } 187

No

Forwards for the orders of the Local Government a Statement of Objectionable Items in the Accounts of Executive Officers for the month of April 1878, under ~~Provincial~~ Imperial, Buildings & Roads

Conway-Gordon

Examiner, P. W Accounts. &

Majr. R.E.

Executive Officer's Name and Designation	Division	Name of Work, &c	Amount Passed for Establishment or Allowances	Do. Contingencies	Do. Tools & Plant	Remarks
			Rs	Rs	Rs	

[P W D Form No 281]

[Chap XX Section, Para 30]

[P W D Form No 50, Provincial Part I]

Provincial. Inferior Establishments

PART I.—Irregularities with respect to Estimate.

Item No	Particulars	Amount of Estimate	Expenditure up to date	Excess over Estimate	Expenditure during month	Opinion of the District Engineer, with further remarks by Examiner	Orders by Local Government
	Bangalore						
1	Repairs and drain all around Arsenal in the Fort	"	2113	2113	"	The objection to this item was first taken in June 1877. In the Return for Chalpurth it is stated that the work was commenced on the Orders of Government and that an Estimate was submitted for sanction. In noticing this objection in the Return for September 77 the Government remark that the Estimate is still under consideration and that the Expenditure to be classed as Objectionable. In the monthly Accounts received from the Executive Officer the work is shewn to have been completed during March 1878.	
2	Repairs to the roof of Cavalry Stables Upper Barracks	5790	6599	429	"	Objection to this item was first raised in the Return for March 78 on the Orders on which dated 24 June 1878 the Government observed that the District Engineer should have revised Estimate, sanctioned at once. In his last reply to the Objectionable Item, dated for March 78 from this Office	

1878

[illegible] Ward Form No [illegible]

[illegible] Station [illegible] Month [illegible] 187[illegible] Sheet No [illegible]

No. (No.)	Particulars	Amount of Estimate	Expenditure to end of last Financial Year	Expenditure during the Year	Outstanding Liabilities at end of the Year	Remarks, Explanatory
						(Ex. Expenditure in 1st/79) the revised Estimate (dated 27 June 1879) last mn. Government Order No. 860 dated 15 March 79 it was understood that Brigr. Richards's proposal to expend £6798 on these works for 6 years was sanctioned he further remarks that "a little more than this was laid out last year in completing a block of Stables and forthwithately 65 will be done in this or future years. The permanent being sanctioned by Government and the Expenditure under Secretary Reference being within the Grant I presume no special reference to Government need be made." Estimate of £20,770 was sanctioned for this work in the District Engineer's return for 1st/79.
3	Maint. New Barracks at Pomamallee	"	6692	6692		This item was first classed as Objectionable on 8th Sept. 79 in the Return for which it is noted that the

[See Para No 31.]

[P. W. D. Form No 10, Revised Combination]

[— England — Month of April 1871 Sheet No]

Item No.	Particulars	Amount of Estimate	Expenditure up to date	Excess over Estimate	Expenditure during month	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with full remarks by Examiner	Orders by Local Government
						Estimate appeared to have been forwarded to the Government of India for sanction, and though an Order of the 17th July 70 requesting a Return for February 70 the Government says that the Estimate was under consideration it appears from the Orders (G.G. et 2612 W dated 24 January 71) on the Objectionable Abstract Statement for March 70 that a revised Estimate was afterwards submitted to the Government of India on the 20th March last.	
4	Repairing the Old Hospital at Coimbatore. Mount	"	35345	27345	3	The Objection to this item was first taken in February 70 and in spite of repeated remarks by the Superintending Engineer on the Abstracts for February and April 70 at last in January 71 that the Estimate for three years amounting to Rs 1,12,405 was submitted to Government with Superintending Engineer's letter No 1145 of the 5th April 70	

No.	Details				
					received in pursuance of Government of the 28th January & 22nd Inst. the direction to the Estimate is annexed.
5	Providing Iron Chairs and Retaining Screws at 4 Stores Rock Road Smithfield [illegible]	"	[illegible]	[illegible]	Reason to Explanation annexed to the said Estimate, respecting the Question raised Perrot by this Office on the Audit of the Accounts for that month, the Executive Engineer, whose [illegible]
					pursuance for the approval of the Military Authorities on the 19th January 1878
	Hollow Central				
6	Making road from Drum & pond near Navalli to Salt Rock-form	"	1263	1062	660	The objection to this item was first taken in December 76 and was finally by a reference made in that month's Accounts the Executive Officer reports on the 12 February 77, that the work was commenced on the authority of G.O. 1022 dated 26 August 76 and that the original Estimate was being revised with the approval of the Central

2451

2nd Account and the
forfeiture of the se-
curity, which was
delayed, which was
brought to the notice
of Government on the
Return for February
by the receipts obtained
to the 28th ulto passed
on Francis Account the
Executive Officer (on 27th
May) states that an Estim-
ate of Rs 2,23,360/ was sub-
mitted to the District
Engineer on the 15th May
and the District Engi-
neer 3 days (on 27th May)
that the Estimate is
under examination
and will be submitted
for the Sanction of

					Recommended that the Estimate dated 23rd August 1790 for Repairs & Improvements and call for the Divisional Engineer for revaluation of the Value of Wagner's Land
7.	Constructing Timber Bridge over the Kaduloo Stream at Wellington	12000	4333	2223	452	Also appears for the first time as objectionable and the Divisional Engineer stated in the Account that a Supplemental Estimate has been prepared for the erection of Government.
8.	Ditto, repairs to the Buildings of the Gun Powder Factory	"	690	690	"	The Objection to this item was first passed in February 90 and in replies to the Objections passed in February and June the Accounts the Divisional Engineer states that a sum of Rs 600/- is annually allotted every year and Rs 690/- placed annually at the disposal of the Superintendent Gun Powder Factory for this work.

						[illegible]
						[illegible]
						[illegible]

Item No.	Particulars	Amount of Estimate	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over Estimate	Expenditure during month	Opinion of the District Engineer, with final remarks by Examiner	Orders by Local Government

Fin. Wks Form No. 871

Abstract of Disbursements for General for Madras Presidency for Office [illegible] 1870.

Executive Divisions	Officers		Irregularities as to Estimates			Irregularities as to Appropriations			Memorandum
	Names.	Designations	No Estimate	Excess over Estimates		No Appropriations	Excess over Appropriations		
			Number of Items	Amount Expended	Amount of Excess	Number of Items	Amount Expended	Appropriations	Excess of Amount Expended over Appropriations	
Pulicat	Col. J. Goldsworthy R.E.	Superintending Engineer	1	5112	1	5170	42	"	"	"	"
Establishment											
Buckingham											
Cortalaiyar											
Poondi											
Redhillsbranch											
Total											
Guindy											
Chingleput											
Madhavaram											
Sidenhams											
Total											
Chingleput											
Chingleput											
Mount											
Saidapet	Conductor of Palace	Supervisor	5	1087	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Total											
		Carried forward ...	4	12,667	1	5170	42	"	"	"	"

Extende... Omissions of the District

Presidency ..	Mysore Kings Commissariat	Executive Engineer	1	598	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Salem Division												
Salem ..												
Tanjore ..	Mysore Kings Commissariat	Executive Engineer	1	"	1	1544	993	"	"	"	"	"
Coimbatore ..												
South Arcot ..												
South Canara ..												
Tinnevelly ..												
Total ..			6	24128	3	18414	3445	2	1902	"	"	"

2581/16

Total ..										
Engineering										
Calcutta .										
Jeyt'r Ghat .										
Vizianagram										
T										
Grand Total ..	6	24128	3	12,41h	5445	2	1902	"	"	.

Checked
Asst Secy
signature.

Conway-Gordon.

Examiner, Public Works Account's
Major R.E.

3rd/16

Madras

187

Statement of Contingencies Items under

[For Use Form No 280] [Chap XX Section Para 31] [P W D Form No 51, Imperial Military and other Services, Part II]

Imperial, Roads and Buildings. **PART II.—Irregularities with respect to Appropriation.** **Month of** *April* **187[illegible].**

Item No	Name of Work	Amount of Appropriation	Expenditure of the year	Excess over Appropriation	Expenditure during month	Opinion of the District Engineer, with final remarks by Examiner	Orders by Local Government
	Belgaum						
1	Constructing a Bridge on the Tentalar Stream at the Engine	"	1402	1402	1402	The District Engineer states that application for funds was made to Government when the Supplemental Estimate for this work was submitted for sanction - He gives no date -	
2	House rent paid to Captain Kayle Garrison Instructor	"	420	420	420	In reply to the question raised by this Office, the District Engineer states that Government has been addressed on the subject of	5/3451

3491
1/c

TO THE EXAMINER, P.W. ACCOUNTS,

MADRAS.

TO THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,

GENERAL BRANCH.

No. 2214 CUDDALORE, 20th AUGUST 1952.

Sir,

WITH MY LETTER NO. 14/B, DATED 10TH APRIL 1952, IN CONNECTION WITH THE CAUSES THAT LED TO EXPENDITURE BEING INCURRED IN EXCESS OF THE BUDGET GRANTS, I VENTURE TO ACCEPT A GOOD PART PROPORTION OF THE FINANCIAL IRREGULARITY THAT EXISTS TO THE INADEQUATE MEASURES TAKEN TO STOP THESE IRREGULARITIES WHEN DEALING WITH THE LISTS OF OBJECTIONS ALL ITEMS SUBMITTED BY THIS OFFICE.

2. IN SUBMITTING TO GOVERNMENT THESE FULL FIRST OBJECTIONS AND ITEM STATEMENTS OUT THE CURRENT OFFICIAL YEAR, WHICH I HAVE STRIVED OVER DUE DATE IN ORDER TO MAKE THEM AS COMPLETE AS POSSIBLE, I WISH TO CALL ATTENTION TO THE SERIOUS INSTANCES OF FINANCIAL IRREGULARITY THAT STILL EXIST, AND I TRUST THAT SOME PRACTICAL MEASURES MAY NOW BE TAKEN TO ENFORCE THE FINANCIAL RULES OF THE PUBLIC WORKS CODE AND ARE MORE SYSTEMATICALLY ATTENDED TO BY THE SUBORDINATE EXECUTIVE STAFF.

AFTER 3 MONTHS.

3. THE DISTRICT ENGINEER REPORTS THAT A REVISED ESTIMATE WILL BE SUBMITTED FOR SANCTION OF ITS HIGHER AUTHORITY.	4. ESTIMATE AWAITED : G.O.NO.2.W.DT 1ST.MAY 1977

AFTER 4 MONTHS.

5. THE DISTRICT ENGINEER REPORTS THAT THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER WAS DIRECTED TO SUBMIT A REVISED ESTIMATE AT ITS NEW SITE AND THAT THE SAME WILL BE SUBMITTED TO THE GOVERNMENT SHORTLY.	6. THE ESTIMATE IS AWAITED. : G.O.NO.324 OF 20TH.JUNE

AFTER 5 MONTHS.

7. IN G.O. NO.324.W.DT 20TH. JUNE 1977, IT IS OBSERVED THAT THE ESTIMATE IS : IS STILL AWAITED.	8. THE DISTRICT ENGINEER, KOLLURI WILL MAKE THE SUBMISSION OF THE ESTIMATE.. G.O.NO.324.W.DT 20TH.AUGUST 1977 :

AFTER 6 MONTHS.

9. THE ESTIMATE IS AWAITED.	10. IN PARA 4 OF G.O.NO. 324.W.DT 20TH.AUGUST 1977 THE DISTRICT ENGINEER WAS DIRECTED TO MAKE THE SUBMISSION OF THE ESTIMATE. G.O NO.324.W.DT 20TH. SEPTEMBER 1977.

AFTER 10 MONTHS.

11. THE GOVERNMENT IN THEIR PROCEEDINGS NO.7.E.W.DT 20TH.SEPTEMBER 1977, PARAGRAPH 6, OBSERVE THAT THE DISTRICT ENGINEER WAS DIRECTED IN PARA 5 OF G.O. NO.324.W.DT 20TH AUGUST 1977 TO HASTEN THE SUBMISSION OF THE ESTIMATE.	12. THE ESTIMATE IS STILL AWAITED. G.O.NO.. 60.W.DT 25TH. OCTOBER 1977 :

AFTER 11 MONTHS.

13. THE DISTRICT ENGINEER'S REPLY TO A REFERENCE MADE BY THIS OFFICE TO AWAITED	14. RECEIVED G.O NO.1304.W.DT 14TH. DECEMBER 1977.

EXAMINER'S REMARKS

[illegible] OF DEVELOPMENT.

[illegible]

[illegible] THE DISTRICT ENGINEER [illegible] G.O.NO. [illegible] 4TH. JANY. [illegible] REVISION.

[illegible]

[illegible] G.O.NO.2[illegible].W.O. [illegible] 4TH. JANUARY [illegible].

[illegible]

5. [illegible] 14 OF 19TH. MARCH [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] THE DISTRICT ENGINEER [illegible] AGAIN [illegible] ACTION [illegible] ESTIMATE [illegible] GOVERNMENT. [illegible] TIME [illegible] ESTIMATE [illegible] ITEM.

[illegible]

6. THE DISTRICT ENGINEER [illegible] AGAIN [illegible] TO USE THE [illegible] NEXT [illegible] WITH [illegible] CONSIDERATION [illegible] AT A AND WILL [illegible] EXPENDITURE [illegible] HOURLY.

6. THIS ITEM [illegible] ENGINEER WILL FORTHWITH EXPLAIN THE CAUSE [illegible] DELAY. IT [illegible] HIGHLY [illegible] THAT YOUR LATEST [illegible] EXPENDITURE [illegible] IN-[illegible] OF WHICH [illegible] A FULLY DETAILED [illegible] ESTIMATE.

7. [illegible] ACT [illegible] (THE MAIN PART OF ANY, THE [illegible] AS FAR AS THIS OFFICE IS [illegible] IT IS EXACTLY THE SAME UNSATISFACTORY STATE AS IT WAS AT THE [illegible] PERHAPS EVEN SO, SINCE THE CONSTRUCTIONAL EXPENDITURE AT WHICH [illegible] AS [illegible] HAS NOW [illegible] TO [illegible], AND THE DISTRICT [illegible] CANNOT [illegible] UPON [illegible] LEAST HOW [illegible] IT [illegible] TO ORDER [illegible] FOR FURTHER [illegible].

[illegible]

[illegible] PLANS, NO ESTIMATE EVEN YET IS FORTHCOMING. AND THIS ALTHOUGH IT IS A FUNDAMENTAL RULE OF THE DEPARTMENT THAT NO PROPOSAL FOR THE EXECUTION OF PUBLIC WORKS CAN BE SANCTIONED UNLESS IT BE ACCOMPANIED BY A PROPERLY DETAILED DESIGN AND ESTIMATE, AND ALTHOUGH THE FOLLOWING ORDER HAS PASSED BY GOVERNMENT ON A LETTER FROM THIS OFFICE SPECIALLY REPEATING THIS ITEM AMONGST OTHERS.

"THE DISTRICT ENGINEER, NELLORE IS DIRECTED TO LOSE NO TIME IN SUBMITTING AT AN EARLY DATE AN ESTIMATE OF ITEM NO." MAKING ROAD FROM INGUR ROAD NEAR KAVALI TO SALT PLATFORM ", G.O.NO.1745.W.OF 4TH.APRIL 1[illegible]."

8. I HAVE NOT SELECTED THIS ITEM AS IN ANY WAY EXCEPTIONAL OR AS MORE PARTICULARLY UNSATISFACTORY THAN OTHERS THAT MIGHT BE SELECTED, BUT AS A GOOD AVERAGE CASE TO SHEW THAT THE ACTION TAKEN IN DEALING WITH THESE OBJECTIONABLE ITEM STATEMENTS MUST BE CONSIDERABLY MORE VIGOROUS IF GOVERNMENT, AS WOULD APPEAR FROM THEIR PRO-C.O. FINCL. PT.NO.1047 OF DIST. JUNE 1 ORDERING NOTICE IN [illegible] AND NO.1[illegible]3 OF 2ND. [illegible] THE MARGIN, DESIRE [illegible] FINANCIAL REGULARITY AND TO RESTRICT PUBLIC [illegible] EXPENDITURE WITHIN THE LIMITS OF THE BUDGET GRANT.

I HAVE THE HONOR TO BE,

SIR,

YOUR MOST OBEDIENT SERVANT,

EXAMINER P.W. ACCOUNTS AND MAJOR R.E.

Public Works Department

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the

Read the following :—

(Tar Case Form No. 1)

GOVT. OF BARBADOS

187[illegible].

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS

Madam

1875

Our Ref. No.

To

Kindly refer to

Govt. No.

Dated 187

Locally 24-7-75

Read
Ord.

No. 3461 of Public Works Department

3461/27

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Dated the 7th Sept.

Read the following:—

From the Secretary
P.W. Dept. (No. 223 dated 15th Aug 1927)

Recorded with
reference to orders passed
on the return for Iraq
207 [illegible]
28.8.27.

Read
4.9.27

Cec. 24 8 78 Dulcigno
16. 8. 78"

9037

1878 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS

Encl

2 Sept 1878. No 34 S/W

9037

2 Sep 34 8/W