

(1) Number of pages 34
 (2) Date of repair 22.8.86
 (3) Initials of the Referencer lano

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

P.W.D. DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	3479W	~~Press.~~
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	2.9.1878	197.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

BS. 24

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

2nd September 1878, No. 3,479 W.

General.
Account.

Read the following paper :—

No. 11.

PROVINCIAL.

PART I.—Irregularities with respect to Estimate for the Month of April 1878.

Item No.	Particulars.	Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Expenditure during Month.	Opinion of the District Engineer with Final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Local Government.
1	Mangalore. Renewing the roof of the District Jail at Mangalore.	Rs. 13,410	Rs. 14,301	Rs. 891	Rs. ..	The objection to this item was first raised in February 1877 when there was an excess of Rupees 478 over estimate, since which time the excess increased month by month, and with some recoveries the excess up to April 1878 was Rs. 891. In the remarks of this office in the returns from February to June 1877 it was shown that there was a probability of the excess being further covered by sale of materials to be credited to the work, which, not being effected, the District Engineer was requested from July to October 1877 to explain the circumstances which hindered the sale in question. It was then found that a revised estimate for the work was necessary, which Government called for in their orders on the returns for November 1877 and January 1878, as well as for explanation of the delay in submitting the same. The revised estimate was submitted to Government by the District Engineer on the 4th June 1878, and from the orders (dated 8th July 1878), No. 2,786 W, on the return for March 1878, it appeared then to be under their consideration. The work was completed in March 1878.	No. 12. ORDER THEREON, 2nd September 1878, No. 3,479 W. A revised estimate was sanctioned in G.O., No. 2,785 W, of the 8th July, which was despatched to the Examiner on the 13th July, one month before the date of this return, which contains no allusion to the order.
2	Negapatam. Deputy Tahsildar's Cutcherry and Sub-jail with out-houses at Vadar-nam.	11,556	13,475	1,919	..	This item was first objected to in October 1877, in the return for which month an excess of Rupees 869 over estimate was exhibited. In January 1878 a further expenditure of Rs. 1,050 was incurred, which raised the excess to Rs. 1,919. The work is noted as completed in the accounts for October 1877. In this case no replies have been received to the objectionable item slips from this office which was noticed by Government in their orders on the return for March 1878, No. 2,796 W, dated 8th July 1878.	2. The District Engineer will, within one week from the receipt of this order, report the name of the person responsible for the neglect to answer the Examiner's repeated calls for information, and the Orders of Government, under date the 8th July 1878, No. 2,796 W.

PART I.—Irregularities with respect to Estimate for the Month of April 1878—continued.

Item No.	Particulars.	Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Expenditure during Month.	Opinion of the District Engineer with Final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Local Government.
2	Sea Custom House at Volungrooy.	Rs. 1,400	Rs. 1,404	Rs. 214	Rs. ..	The objection to this item was first taken in November 1875, when an expenditure of Rs. 214 was incurred. Up to May 1877 it was noted in the monthly returns that no reply was received to the audit slip from this item, subsequently it was brought to the notice of Government in the return for March 1878; that the accounts and other documents connected with the work were in the possession of the Civil Court, consequently this item cannot be adjusted. The Executive Engineer was last addressed by this office on the 16th July 1878 to ascertain what steps have been taken for the recovery of the papers in the custody of the Court, to which no reply has yet been received. In that return on the return for August and September 1878, the District Engineer stated that he had written to the Civil Court on the 27th July 1878, requesting the Government to state how long the Civil Court is likely to require the papers, and whether he had obtained the judicial authorities, who in their orders on March 6 return (No. 1796 W, dated 8th July 1878,) the Government must state the nature and reasons for the delay.	3. The Civil Court authorities will be requested in the Judicial Department to return the papers required by the District Engineer.
4	Constructing day-labourers to the work on the road between the Volungrooy and the ...	136	136	136	136	The item was first objected to in May 1877, when it was noted in the monthly returns that an excess of Rs. 423 was incurred on the work. In the first month's return a return of Rs. 423 was given, and when the return was sent on return by Rs. 423. The excess was incurred on the work on the road between the Volungrooy and the ... In the return for March 1878, the District Engineer stated that he had written to the Civil Court on the 27th July 1878, requesting the Government to state how long the Civil Court is likely to require the papers, and whether he had obtained the judicial authorities, who in their orders on March 6 return (No. 1796 W, dated 8th July 1878,) the Government must state the nature and reasons for the delay.	4. A copy of the return, No. 1796 W, dated 8th July 1878, will be forwarded to the District Engineer, who will remove the same from the file and return it to the District Engineer on the day of the month.

PART I.—Irregularities with respect to Estimate for the Month of April 1878—continued.

Item No.	Particulars.	Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to Date.	Excess over Estimate.	Expenditure during Month.	Opinion of the District Engineer with Final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Local Government.
5	Nilgiri—continued. Government House at Norwood.	Rs. 21,500	Rs. 1,83,908	Rs. 1,62,408	Rs. 10,508	This objection was first noticed in November 1876, in which month the first expenditure on the work (Rs. 14,134) was incurred without estimate, and subsequent expenditure incurred up to May 1877 raised the excess to Rs. 75,808. In the return for June an estimate for Rs. 21,500 appeared against this item under the sanction of Government, No. 673 W, dated 11th August 1877, while the Government remarked on May's return, (G.O., No. 851 W, dated 27th August 1877,) that an estimate for the rest of the work was promised by the District Engineer. The insufficiency of the estimate against expenditure incurred continued up to April 1878, with the excess herein shown. In the returns from September 1877 to March 1878 it was stated that the District Engineer cannot prepare the estimate for the new building until the plans and quantities, &c., under preparation by the Consulting Architect were received by him. The Chief Engineer having communicated with the Government Architect on the 17th December 1877, in the order on the return for March 1878, the Government (G.O., No. 2,796 W, dated 5th July 1878,) requested the District Engineer to call upon the latter officer to supply him with the requisite plan at an early date. A special letter was addressed to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, by this office on the 6th July 1878, No. 120 W, on this case.	5. Separate orders will be passed.
6	Nellore Northern. First-class Taluk Cutcherry at Ranigiri.	29,650	30,868	1,218	293	In his objectionable item statement for April, dated 19th May 1878, the Executive Engineer stated that the work had been stopped pending sanction to a revised estimate to be submitted shortly. This item appeared for the first time as objectionable in the return for March 1878, in the orders passed on which (G.O., No. 2,796 W, dated 8th July 1878,) the Government remarked that the District Engineer will take steps to remove this objection without further delay. In reply to the objectionable item slip for March 1878 from this office the District Engineer stated on the 8th June last that the excess was due to carrying out additional works which were considered necessary, and that a revised estimate would be sanctioned shortly. Objection to this item was first raised in the return for March 1878, in the orders passed on which the Government remarked that the District Engineer's explanation was not satisfactory, and called upon that officer for explanation of delay in submitting a revised estimate.	6. A revised estimate will be submitted by the District Engineer within one month of the date of the receipt of these orders by him. An appropriation of Rs. 2,650 was made in the Proceedings passed upon the demand for incomplete works of last year.
7	Presidency. Repairs to the Vapery Female Hospital.	1,625	2,653	1,114	..	This item has appeared objectionable since February 1878. In reply to the objectionable item slip for March the District Engineer stated on the 14th June 1878 that the Executive Engineer had been requested to submit an estimate, and in July 1878 (no date) the Executive Engineer in reply to objectionable item slip for April reported that an estimate had been submitted. In their orders on the return for March 1878, (No. 2,796 W, dated 5th July 1878,) the Government noticed the delay in the preparation of an estimate for this work.	7. The District Engineer will lose no further time in either removing the objection or reporting what prevents him from doing so.
8	Usur. Emergent repairs to the Sub-Collector's Bungalow at Usur.	..	522	522	..	This item has appeared objectionable since February 1878. In reply to the objectionable item slip for March the District Engineer stated on the 14th June 1878 that the Executive Engineer had been requested to submit an estimate, and in July 1878 (no date) the Executive Engineer in reply to objectionable item slip for April reported that an estimate had been submitted. In their orders on the return for March 1878, (No. 2,796 W, dated 5th July 1878,) the Government noticed the delay in the preparation of an estimate for this work.	8. Major Pennyruick will call upon the Range Officer for an explanation of the delay in preparing an estimate. The pressure of work caused by famine may be the reason, but whether or not that is the case Government require a report.

4 PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT, 2ND SEPTEMBER 1878, No. 3,479 W.

PART II.—Irregularities with respect to Appropriation for the Month of April 1878.

Item No.	Particulars.	Amount of Appropriation.	Expenditure of the Year.	Excess over Appropriation.	Expenditure during Month.	Opinion of the District Engineer with Final Remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Local Government.
1	<i>By Bangalore.</i> Purchasing Major Smith's bungalow at Russelcondah.	Rs. 4,282	Rs. 4,282	Rs. 4,282	Rs. 4,282	This expenditure was incurred under the authority of G.O. No. 599 W, dated 30th January 1878, sanctioning the purchase of the bungalow mentioned. In reply to objectionable item slip for April 1878, the Executive Engineer reports on the 16th June 1878 that the amount was paid to the collector. The appropriation of funds for this work referred to in G.O. No. 599 W, dated 30th January 1878, have not yet been granted.	9 Separate orders will be passed.
2	<i>At Eltham.</i> Removing the land slip at Eltham Cutting.	Rs. 913	Rs. 913	Rs. 913	Rs. 913	In G.O. No. 831 W, dated 11th February 1878, the Government in sanctioning an estimate of Rs. 5,000 for two work desired that the District Engineer should report for funds in his statement of demands against the reserve of 1878-79, and to press on the work at once without reference to allotment. In reply to the objectionable item slip for April from this office, dated 12th June 1878, No. 3,025, P.D., the District Engineer reports that funds have been allocated for.	10 Separate orders will be passed. The District Engineer did not include this work in his statement of successful works requiring allotments.

(Sd.) L. CONWAY-GORDON, Major, R.E.,
Examiner, P.W.D. Accounts.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) E. A. FOORD, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

To District Engineers.
" the Examiner of Public Works Accounts.
" Judicial Department, Bangalore.

With reference to paragraph 2.

Encl. T. D. Ryan.
Spare Copies

GENERAL
ACCOUNT.

Govt. of (1878) *Madras*.

PUBLIC WORKS.

DISTRICT ENGINEERS.

For S. 1. 1. 1. 1.

For S. 1. 1. 1. 1.

For S. 1. 1. 1. 1.

G. O. 241 S. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1878, No. 3. 1. 1. 1. 1.

SEPTEMBER.

Nov. 11-13.

*General: For - For the order of
the Government of - in the account of
the Public Works for April 1878 under
Provincial.*

Go. 451. 13. 2. 77

57324-11-8-77

57434-11-8-77

4-6574-27-8-77

4-9884-12-10-77

4-21164-15-12-77

4-22224-22-12-77

4-50040-25-1-78

4-8844-18-2-78

4-26614-27-6-78

4-27854-8-7-78

4-27964-8-7-78

No. *911/8*

From

The Examiner,

Public Works Accounts,

To

The Secretary to Government,

Public Works Department,

Fort Saint George.

Dated *16*

Recd. *17 August*

1878

No. *81*

Forwards for the orders of the Local
Government a Statement of Objectionable
Items in the Accounts of Executive Officers for
the month of *April* 1878,
under Provincial.

Lowry-Jordan

Examiner, P. W. Accounts, and
Major R.E.

2. Coty. 23. 8. 78.

Cir 29. 8. 78. Dd 2. 9. 78.

With index

Provincial.

PART I.—Irregularities with respect to Estimate.

Form No.	Particulars.	Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over Estimate.	Expenditure during month.	Opinion of the District Engineer, with final remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Local Government.
	1. <i>Procuring the roof of the District Jail at Bangalore</i> 2. <i>Procuring the roof of the District Jail at Bangalore</i>	2200	2200	591		The objection to this item was first raised in February 1897 when I received a notice there was an excess of Rs. 591. Rs. 2785 7/8 was estimated since which time the work increased notably by month and with some necessary alterations up to April 1898 was Rs. 291. In the remarks of this office in the letter from February to June 1897 it was shown that there was a probability of the excess being further	2200 Rs. 5479 4/5 2/10 1/100

Sound by sale of materials to be credited to the work which was being effected the District Engineer was requested from July to October 1897 to explain the circumstances which hindered the sale in question. It was then found that a revised estimate for the work was necessary, which was sent called for, in their orders on the 11th of November 1897 and January 1898 as well as for a plan of the design and meeting

Form No.

Particulars

Amount of Estimate.

Expenditure up to date.

Excess over Estimate.

Expenditure during month.

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final remarks by Examiner.

Orders by Local Government.

3. The Indian Medical Dispensary -

1890 1150 210

by Government in
 their order on the
 10th of April 1890
 1890 the 3rd of April 1890
 1890 the 3rd of April 1890

The objection to this 3. The local Govt.
 did not see fit to take
 in a further expenditure
 and expenditure of
 1890 was incurred.
 Up to May 1890, it
 was noted in the memo
 that the return that no
 reply was received
 to the local Govt.

from the office, and
 accordingly it was
 brought to the notice
 of Government, and
 returned for March 1890
 that the Accounts and
 other documents con-
 cerned with the work
 were in the possession
 of the local Govt.
 consequently this
 item cannot be ad-
 justed. The exam-
 ineer was
 last addressed by
 the office on the 16.
 July 1890 to ascertain
 what steps have been
 taken for the recovery
 of the papers in the
 custody of the local

Particulars	Amount of Estimate.	Expenditure up to date.	Excess over Estimate.	Expenditure during month.	Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Local Government.
					<i>This was examined by Engineer on the day of its issue.</i>	
					<i>settled. The estimate in the return for June 1877 Rs 9874. Dated 12 October 1877. The Government remarked that the District Engineer should endeavor to secure satisfaction as early as possible, and finally in the following month.</i>	

					<i>they request Government Order No. 1796 dated 8 July 1878. The District Engineer to explain the omission to submit a revised estimate, and his attention to previous Orders. The District Engineer's letter of 25 July 1878 to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, Government of India, dated 25 July 1878, stated that the estimate to Public Works Department, Government of India, dated 25 October 1877, was submitted for their consideration.</i>	
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Particulars. Orders by Local Government.
 Amount of Estimate. Opinion of the Superintendent Engineer, with final remarks by Engineer.
 Expenditure up to date. Expenditure during month.
 Excess over Estimate.

				incurred continued up to April 1918 with the record and share. In the return from the Bureau, 1917 to March 1918 it was stated that the District Engineer cannot prepare the statement for the new building until the plans and quantities are under preparation by the consulting architect were received by them. The Chief Engineer
--	--	--	--	--

				having commenced with the Government. Included on the 17 December 1917 in the Order on the Return for March 1918 the Government (Government Order No 27960) dated 8 July 1918 requested the architect to request to call upon the latter officer to supply him with the required plan at an early date. A special letter was addressed to the Secretary of Government Public Works Department by the officer on the 6 July 1918 for him to
--	--	--	--	--

Received
 12-1-1918
 12-1-1918

Area No.

Particulars

Amount of Estimate.

Expenditure up to date.

Excess over Estimate.

Opinion of the Superintending Engineer, with final remarks by Examiner.

Orders by Local Government.

Flower
8. The quantity of seeds for the seed collectors
at Bangalore at Bangalore

500 000

500 000

for the seed collectors
the quantity of
of the seed collectors
estimate should be
explained for the

This item has been approved by the Bangalore
collectors since will call a fine
the Bangalore
for an estimate
of the delay in
preparing an
estimate.
The purpose of
work could
be done by

requested to submit
an estimate, and
in July 1878 (proposed)
the Bangalore
in reply to the
provisional item
slip for April
reported that an
estimate had been
submitted. In this
order on the return
for March 1878 (proposed)
27th July 1878 (proposed)
the government noticed
the delay in the preparation
of an estimate
for this work.

20.0.78

20

At the Bangalore
E. P. W. A.
Judicial Dept. proposed
with enclosed.

20.0.78

MONTH OF

187

Sheet No.

Item No.

Particulars.

Amount
of
Estimate.Expendi-
ture up to
date.Excess
over
Estimate.Expendi-
ture during
month.Opinion of the Superintending
Engineer, with final remarks
by Examiner.

Orders by Local Government.

[Exc. Wks. Form No. 334.]

[Chap. XI, Sec. II, Para. 30.]

[P. W. D. Form No. 59, Provincial, Part II.]

Provincial.

PART II.—Irregularities with respect to Appropriation.

April 1878

Item No.	Particulars.	Amount of Approp- riation.	Expendi- ture of the year.	Excess over Appropri- ation.	Expendi- ture during month.	Opinion of the District Engineer, with final remarks by Examiner.	Orders by Local Government.
	Burhanpur						
	Purchasing Major Smith's Bangalore at						
	Rasulabad						
			4282	4282	4282	This expenditure was incurred under the authority of Government. The order is paid.	
						dated 30 January 1878	
						sanctioning the purchase of the Bangalore	
						mentioned above by the	
						objectionable. It was approved for April 1878 the time	
						when the Engineer reported	
						on the 16 June 1878 that	
						the amount was paid	
						to the collector. The	

5170

Gobt. of (1878) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To

Dated

Used

Recd.

Excl.

H. Engineers.

G. O. 2 Sep. 1878, No. 3479W

Nos. 11 217

Public Works - Survey and
Statement of — in the
of En. Office for April
under Provincial.

Engr. R. S. W.