Archives 1—10,000—8-10-76.

(1) Number of pages 32

(2) Date of repair 18.8.86.

(3) Initials of the Referencer Lorna

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. / ~~B.P. No.~~	3206.W.	Press. / ~~Misc.~~
Dated	12.8.1878	197-.

2.8

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

12th August 1878, No. 3,206 W.

General
Establishment

Read the following paper:—

No. 121. From Major H. M. VIBART, R.E., District Engineer, Trichinopoly, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Trichinopoly, 30th July 1878, No. 305.

I HAVE the honor to inform you that very serious charges have been brought against Sub-Engineer Muniappa Pillai by Sub-Engineer Syed Yusuff Saheb, at present in charge of No. 3 or Udiarpolliem Range. I have been engaged during the last fortnight in investigating these charges.

2. Before proceeding to detail the charges, I may remark that as he (Muniappa Pillai, Sub-Engineer) is in Kurnool and not under my orders, I have not called upon him for an explanation. I beg to request, therefore, that when his explanation is received, it may be sent to me for comment. The case briefly is as follows:—

3. In October last, Sub-Engineer Muniappa Pillai was directed to construct huts for Famine coolies (3,000 in number) on the Coleroon left bank at two places, Periamorai and Alagiamanavalum. On the 13th October, the Sub-Engineer sent in an estimate for these huts, amounting to Rupees 3,868. In this estimate the roofing with cocoanut leaves, bamboo rafters, and laths, &c., complete, was set down at Rupees 4-8-0 per square, while the screens all round were to cost 3-8-0 per square. On the 30th October 1877, the Sub-Engineer addressed the Officiating District Engineer stating he had heard from the contractor that the rate in Manaparai, Musery, &c., had been raised to 5-8-0 per square of roofing, and at the same time submitted an estimate; the rates being increased from Rupees 4-8-0 and Rupees 3-8-0 to Rupees 6 and Rupees 4-8-0. The reason given for the increase to 6 Rupees was that "considering the local circumstances of this Division and the heavy daily increasing floods of the Coleroon, Cauvery, &c., which will have to be crossed before any thing is brought to this side of the river," the rates must be increased. The Officiating District Engineer was not satisfied with this estimate, and on 7th November called upon the Sub-Engineer for data for "thatch-roofing and bamboo-matting for a square." On the 13th November 1877, this data was sent in by the Sub-Engineer with a foot-note to the following effect:—"None of the above materials to be had in this part of taluk, but they were all purchased in Tanjore and Trichinopoly and brought to the work spot. Hence the cost of conveyance is also included in the above rate."

4. The Officiating District Engineer, on 18th January 1878, forwarded a complete estimate for Famine huts for all three Ranges, amounting to Rupees 25,000; in which he allowed Rupees 5 per square of roofing and Rupees 2-8-0 per square of screen.

5. On 8th March, the Sub-Engineer returned the revised estimate for the huts with the following remarks:—"I beg to point out that I have in the estimate prepared by me for the work allowed 6 Rupees per square of roofing, and 4-8-0 per square for screening all round; the former has been reduced Rupees 5, leaving the latter as it is, and consequently there occurred a large difference of Rupees 1,052 between my estimate and that prepared in your office;" and the Sub-Engineer again repeated the statement that "materials and labor were procured from Tanjore and Trichinopoly."

The Officiating District Engineer, in reply to this, wrote on the 15th March, remarking—"If, however, the Sub-Engineer can furnish data to show that the contractor actually suffered a loss in the construction of the Udiarpolliem camps (and as he offers

access to his books, there would be no difficulty in proving it if such is really the case) the undersigned shall be prepared to re-consider the rates."

6. On the 12th April, the Sub-Engineer, replying to this, states that "he has fully inquired into the subject," and that "it is my personal opinion that the contractor according to my estimated rates would have realised some profit, but not much I believe." And again asks for the rates set down in his second estimate. This persistency on the part of the Sub-Engineer induced the Officiating District Engineer to believe the claim of the contractor was a just one, and he recommended Government to sanction the balance—Rupees 842—for disbursement. The Government agreed to this, and the money was paid by Muniappa Pillai, Sub-Engineer. We thus see that at first comparatively moderate rates were sent in by Muniappa Pillai. On hearing that the rates had been raised elsewhere, he at once proposed to raise those in Udiarpollium to a still higher rate, backed his suggestion by data and constantly referred to the great distance material and labor had to be brought. The Officiating District Engineer was unwilling to raise the rates, but by pertinacity the Sub-Engineer induced the Officiating District Engineer to believe the claim was just. I will now show in what a fraudulent manner the Sub-Engineer behaved. To do so, I must beg your careful attention to the dates of the several letters.

On the 9th October, the Sub-Engineer got orders to commence the Famine huts; the very same day he wrote four letters to the Monegars in charge of the Government Paduguis to send in bamboos, wild canes, &c., from the Government Paduguis in their charge. On the 13th October, he sent in his first estimate. On the 25th, he reports that he had completed enough hutting for 2,000 coolies (*i.e.*, two-thirds of total quantity). On 30th, he sent his revised estimate with rates of 6 Rupees and 4-8-0. On 5th November, he reported that the huts were complete. On the 15th, he sent in data of the huts for the Officiating District Engineer's information—that is, eight days after the whole of the huts had been built, and when he could, and should, have found his data from the actual huts as built. Instead of doing this, he sent in fictitious data to deceive the Officiating District Engineer. The huts are still on the ground, and I have carefully inspected them, and I can prove in the clearest manner that they were not built according to the estimate. On the data sent in by the Sub-Engineer, and as the Sub-Engineer himself states in his letter of 12th April 1878, that "I was at the work spot during the whole time the sheds were under construction," he must have been perfectly aware of this.

I am in a position to show that the proper rate for the roofing of large sheds as built should have been about Rupees 2-8-0 and the screens Rupees 1-5-0 per square, while the removable sheds could readily have been made for 2-1-0 a square. These rates are liberal, and the difference—about Rupees 1,000—I consider Government has been defrauded of.

7. The second charge is, that, although he sent orders to Government servants to cut Government materials for the sheds, he never mentioned this to the District Engineer, but always insisted on the great difficulties he would have in getting labor and material across the river. Moreover, he did not recover the value of the materials and labor from the contractor and credit it to Government.

8. About the 23rd May he was relieved somewhat suddenly by Sub-Engineer Syed Yusuff. It appears he (Muniappa Pillai) had ordered the huts to be sold and removed; but as Syed Yusuff Saib heard that they would only fetch a few annas for a hut, although they had cost large sums, he counter-ordered these instructions, and the huts are consequently still on the ground.

When Sub-Engineer Muniappa Pillai was handing over charge to Syed Yusuff, he mentioned nothing of any sum due to Government for bamboos, &c., taken from the Paduguis. As soon as Syed Yusuff Saib took charge, he went towards Trichinopoly and was absent for four or five days. During this interval, Sub-Engineer Muniappa Pillai, who was still at Tirumaluvady Head-quarter, sent over to the Accountant, —Kistnaswamy Iyer,— a sum of Rupees 50-13-4 with a rough account (inclosed) signed by him as Sub-Engineer "To be remitted into the Treasury."

If this money was due to Government, it should of course have been handed over to Syed Yusuff, and supposing it had been an oversight not so doing, he should have

written to the Sub-Engineer and explained the matter and not sent the money in a surreptitious manner to the Accountant.

The real fact seems to have been that Muniappa Pillai knew that Syed Yusuff was making inquiries about the huts and intended to inspect them, and he thought by sending in this money, he would disarm the Sub-Engineer, Syed Yusuff, and that the matter would be allowed to drop. I may add that this sum of 50-13-4 by no means represents the value of the material obtained from the Government Paduguis, which according to his own figures and data should be Rupees 3, and no mention is made by the Sub-Engineer of the large quantity of Government labor employed to assist the contractors in conveying material and actually in building the sheds.

Moreover, the sum of Rupees 50-13-4 was not deducted from the contractor's bills, but has apparently been paid by Sub-Engineer Muniappa Pillai himself, to conceal the transaction from scrutiny. It will appear strange at first sight that a Range Officer should pay money for a contractor, which should come from the latter, but in this case it seems easy of solution. Sub-Engineer Muniappa Pillai has a nephew named Shunmugum Pillai whom he treated as his adopted son and who lived with him. I have documents in my possession to show that he was a secret partner in the contract with M. Kristnasawmy Mudaly, and moreover that he further shared in all the contracts in the Range. So that by using Government material and labor without paying for it, and deceiving the Officiating District Engineer so as to induce him to raise the rates for the huts, he was benefiting Shunmugum Pillai or, in other words, himself, and was not the just and equitable person he wished the District Engineer to believe.

9. I have the honor, in conclusion, to forward a list of enclosures which will, I consider, clearly substantiate the charge. To each enclosure I have added a few remarks to show the bearing it has on the case.

No. 122. ORDER THEREON, 12th August 1878, No. 3,206 W.

THE District Engineer's letter, with its enclosures in original, will be forwarded to the District Engineer, Kurnool, who will call upon the Sub-Engineer for an explanation, giving him Major Vibart's letter and whatever other papers are necessary for his defence. And he will, on receipt of Muniappa Pillai's defence, forward it, together with the correspondence now referred, to the District Engineer, Trichinopoly, who will in turn submit the case, with such remarks as he may wish to make, for the final orders of Government.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) E. A. FOORD, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

(True Copy.)

Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the District Engineer, Kurnool, with enclosures.
" District Engineer, Trichinopoly.

Exd. A. Raitt.
Spare Copies

GENERAL ESTABLISHMENT.

Cobl. of 118781 30 Docs.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

The District Engineer,
Kurnool.

Dated August 1911.

Dated

Encl.

Encl.

G. O., 12/4 dated 16th, No. 8,000 W.

AUGUST.

Nos. 121-122.

Sub-Engineer, Bommepa Pulli.—Letter, with its enclosures, from the District Engineer, Tambiraly, reporting certain serious charges against —, forwarded (in original) to the District Engineer, Kurnool, with instructions.

Enclosures.

No. 1. of Sub Engineer Syed Yusuf Sahib, requesting the matter this requires no further remarks from me, except that the enclosures of his letter are letters.

A. is the account of money sent to the Head Accountant 3rd Range by Boorsapah Pulli Sub Engineer. in this account it will be observed that the prices for bamboos sent to the Relief work are fixed at 5 Rs & 4 Rs per 100. the former at 6 pie each and the wild canes at 1 anna per 100. Drums marked L is a statement of account prepared by Sub Engineer Syed Yusuf. in this the actual quantities are as shown by Sub Engineer Boorsapah Pulli. while the prices are taken from the data sent to the Relief Engineer on the 13th November by him also.

Sub Engineer Boorsapah Pulli in his account makes no mention of the color of bamboo-

sent

Government Servants employed by the Contractor to keep the Sub Engineer Syed Yusoof's Statement as Valid as it is made up this Date.

B. is a Translation of a Tamil Letter issued to Superintendent Srinivasa Iyen, Poonamalee Channel, by Sub Engineer Poonamallee Pillai. In this the Sub Engr. directs him to cut 200 bamboos and 100 posts for the work and also to make arrangements for purchasing Cocoanut leaves. In such undertakings how to make use of Government material and Labor for a work entrusted to a Contractor Kistna-samie Pudiyar. The Original taken is also sent marked B. There is a similar one for Superintendent Ramadasim Iyer, Saidapet.

C. is a paper Comparing the data sent by Sub Engineer Poonamallee Pillai to Dy. District Engineer with the data framed from the buildings actually constructed.

	By Poonamallee Pillai as they are	Difference.	By Actual Measurement
The Square of Roofing	6 Rs.	R 2. 1as.	63.15as
One Square of Terrace	Rs 4. 8as	1. Rs.	3. Rs.

I have inspected all the huts, and am convinced that the full cost has been put down by Sub Engineer Syed Yusoof. but even if 50 per cent is added to the figures, there will still be a difference of 2 Rs in one case and more than 2½ Rs in the second.

D. E. F. G. are Statements made originally in the presence of the Sub Engineer and after-wards affirmed in my presence by the several men.

They go to substantiate the following facts.

1st. That those men with their Establishments were employed by the Sub Engineer to do work for a Contractor & to cut down Government materials for the Contractor's use.

2nd. that the bamboos cost were worth 25 Rs per 100.

No 2. is a letter from Sub Engineer Poonamallee Pillai dated 13 October, sending original Estimate. Rates for the 2 different Kinds of work being set down at Rs 4. 8as & 3. 8. a respectively.

No 3. is a Circular from Dist

District Engineer and Executive Officer to see Government Material from the Godowns is exchangeable

No 4. is a letter from Sub Engr Dromcapak Pillai dated 30th October 1877 in which he states that having been sent with other Rangers from Kandy to Dubonitte a journey of 6 days with rates granted to Rangers & he states that the letter was written 5 days after he had reported the Tank Complete for 200 coolies and only 3 days before he reported it to be Complete.

No 5. is a Report from the District Engineer, dated 5th November, calling upon the Sub Engr to submit data & Report of the measurements taken.

No 6. is the Report & data accordingly sent in on 12th November - 8 days after all the Tanks had been reported Complete. The Report states to this effect & is important as showing that Sub Engineer Dromcapak Pillai deliberately deceived the Acting District Engineer as to the material obtained from the Government godowns

No 7. is a letter from Sub Engr Dromcapak Pillai dated 8th January 1878 objecting to his rate of 6th Cent being accepted & enquiring the justness of the Contractors claim for the same reasons as those given in his letter of 30th October & on his date sent on 12th November.

No 8 is a Docket from Acting District Engineer to Sub Engr Dromcapak Pillai stating that Dromcapak Pillai could prove if the Contractor had sustained any loss from his tools, the extra money will be granted.

No 9. is a letter from Sub Engr Dromcapak Pillai dated 12th April 1878 reiterating his former statements - but giving no proof from the Contractors Books. In this he states that he was at the Kotapal during the whole time the sheds were under Construction & he also states that the sheds were ready for occupation on 5th November and again mentions upon the false statement that every thing

Everything had to be brought
from Sanjore and [illegible]

No 10. Statement [illegible]
made out by Sub Engineer
Prakiasam Pillai for [illegible]
Engineer Incorrapah [illegible]
It does not [illegible]
furnished [illegible]
many other [illegible]
quality. [illegible]
Remarks [illegible]
at 29f. (300 [illegible]
for) Actually [illegible]
built.

107 at Sanjore [illegible]
127 at Sarcarpalle [illegible]
42 at Chinnamanthalam [illegible]
8 at Seramanoor.

284.

Whose payment are made for
16 huts which did not exist-
this at Rth. 6 ac = R 230.

No 11. Completion Certificate
signed by Sub Engineer Incor-
apah Pillai. In this the cost of
the huts as put down as for
Materials R. 5604-13 11. This
being further R. 2 ac 15 pf
amount sanctioned by Govern-
ment on 29th Sept. This shows
that the 50 Rs-13-4 handed by
Sub Engineer Incorapah Pillai
to

the Secretariat. 3rd Range,
was not recovered from the
Collectorate Contractor Krishna-
swamy Mudaly.

No 12. Statements sent in by
Srinivasa Iyer & Ramanadha
Iyer to Sub Engineer Incorapah
Pillai, stating particulars of
materials supplied by them
on Incorapah Pillai's Order to
Relief Camps- the sums total of
money value, being stated down
at R 99-10-8. So that accord-
ing to these papers- instead of
Rs 68. 13. 11 Sub Engineer ought
to have spent on R 99. 10. 8, but
it will be observed that these
men both state that he very
soon charges of 10 Rs & 8 Rs were
put on at the dictation of the
Sub Engineer himself.

No 13. A statements made to
the District Engineer on 23rd July
1878 by:

Shaik Hamood Imperial Brary.
Gopalaswamy Naidu. 3rd Brider Sub.
Engineer's office.

Prakiasam Pillai Sub Engineer
Thirumandangi Spr. Secretariat
Sub Engineer's office.

They

Showing the payments made by
Sub Engineer Diamond Pillai
to Contractor for Bamboo Rods.

			£	s	p
No 12.	12th	November	237	12	
39.	13th	February	1200	—	
44.	20th	February.	210	—	
60.	19th	January	842	—	
			2531	12	

The Sum of Rs. 5600 - 12 - 11 being
made up by 4 Several Payments
of Rs. 64. 10. 9. to Contractor
Present Date

8-10-— Assistant Engineer.

These items ought to have been
accounted for on Roll Invoice
whereas a Private Cash and
Receipt Book has been used
Even in this the Contractor
has not been made to sign
on the Counter foil.

No 21. A Serial letter to Chief
Examined from Superintendent
in which he reported that he sent
300 bamboos.

On Sub Engineer Diamond Pillai's
Statement, the number of bamboos
is put down at 600.

Assistant Chief
Examiner.

Chief Engineer's
Statement

Resident Engineer's Office
Trichinopoly 30th July 1878.

No 385

From

The Resident Engineer
Trichinopoly

To

The Chief Engineer
& Secy to Govt
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honour to forward herewith a Requisition from Sub-Engineer Manicapa Chetty for Sub Engineer Syed Ghouse Sahib to proceed on duty to Pongo. I have the honour to be Sir Your obedient Servant

Pongo. I have the honour to be Sir Your obedient Servant

Chas Gordon

Resident Engineer

2. Referring to preceding Letter from Chief Engineer, Dated 30th ult. I have the honour (Manicapa Chetty Sub Engineer) to be Removed from the Cauvery Division, I have not received charge of Records, Money, Stores &c. from Syed Ghouse Sahib Sub Engineer, I have not received charge of Records, Money, Stores &c. from Syed Ghouse Sahib Sub Engineer as he is proceeding on duty to Pongo. I have the honour to be Sir Your obedient Servant

Manicapa Chetty

3. I have the honour to be Sub-Engineer Manicapa Chetty to be directed to

to Construct huts for Samoan Carbin
(3000 in number) on the Coleroon left bank
at two places, Aviamoram and Alagia
-manapuram. On the 13th October the Sub
-Engineer sent in an Estimate for these
huts amounting to Rs 3868. In this Estimate
the Roofing with Cocoanut Leaves, bamboo
& rattan and Cattle Yard complete in all Sort
-down at Rate-8 a pen Square & hole the
Screen all round were to Cost 3-8-0 a pen
Square. On the 30th October 1877 the
Sub-Engineer addressed the Officiating
District Engineer stating he had heard
from the Conductor that these additio-
-Manapuram, Many Yard had been raised
to 5-8-0 pen Square Of Roofing, and that
the Same time Submitted an estimate the
latter having increased from Rate-8-0 Y.
Rs 3-8-0 to Rs 6-0-0 and Rate-8-0.
The reason given for the increase to Rs
two that "Considering the Local Cir-
-Cumstances of this Division and the
heavy daily increasing Floods of the
Coleroon, Causing Yard will have
to be erected before any things brought
to this side of the river" — the additio-
-nal be increased. The Off. District
Engineer is not Satisfied with the
Estimate & on 7th November called upon

the Sub-Engineer for Explanation
Roofing and Cattle Enclosing for a
Square" — On the 12th December 1877 the
Explanation was sent in by the Sub-Engineer
with a footnote to the following effect
"From Of the above Estimate it will be
seen in this head Of Taking both the
area of Screen & Enclosing and
Fascining & bringing to the Site
Spot. Hence the Cost Of Carriage is
also included in the above rate"
4. The Off. District Engineer
On 18th January 1878 returned the
Estimate for Samoan Huts for
all 3 Ranges amounting to Rs 25000.
which had allowed Rs 5 per Square of
Roofing and Rs 2-8 per Square Of
Screen.
5 On 8th March the Sub-Engineer
returned the revised Estimate for the
huts with the following remarks "Hav
to point out that I have in the Estimate
prepared by me for the Huts allowed
Rs 6 per Square Of Roofing and 2-8
per Square for Screening all round,
the former has been reduced Rs 2 leaving
the Cattle yard & and Consequently there

encreased a large difference of
Rs 1032 between my Estimate and
that prepared in your Office; and the
Sub-Engineer again repeated the state-
-ment that "Materials and Labourers
procured from Tanjore and Trichino
-poly".

The Officiating District Engineer
in reply to this enclosed the 15th Para-
-graph "If however the Sub-Engineer
can furnish data to show that the Con
-tractor actually suffered a loss in the
Construction of the Vadiyapallam Canta
Canal the officer is bound to there
by enable me to ascertain the exact
(if such be really the Case) the undersigned
shall be prepared to reconsider the
rate."

6. On the 12th April the Sub-Engr
replying to this, states that "he has
fully enquired into the Subject and
that "it is my personal Opinion that
the Contractor according to my Estimate
rates would have realized some profit
but not much. Sublime". And again
adds for the rates set down in his second
Estimate. This I presume is my part

Sub-Engineer gob Cz dev 1st Commenced the
Famine Roads; the 12th same day he made
2 Letters to the Manager in charge of
the Government Padugais to send in
Cambros, Hild Carts VC from ft. Coast
Padugais in their charge. On the 13th Collen
he sent in his first Estimate. On the
25th he reported that he had Completed
enough Metting for 2000 Coolies (2-07/32
of total quantity). On 30th he sent
his revised Estimate with Abstr of 6. Rs
and 4 — 8 — 4a. On 5th November he reported
that he had 1200 Completed. On the
12th he sent in Plan & Est of the Huts for the
Offg. District Engineer's information;
that in 8 days after the whole of the
huts had been built; and when he
Could and Should have found his
Pads from the 1200 huts as built.
Instead of doing this, he sent in Fictis-
-tious Plan to deceive the Offg Dist.
Engineer. The huts are Well Constructed
Grounds, and I have Carefully inspected
them and I can prove in the Clearest
manner that they were not built
According to the Estimate. On the 26th

Send in by the Sub-Engineer and as the
Sub-Engineer himself Stated in his letter
of 20th Offg Ass that he Saw at the Works
Shed during the whole time the sheds
were under Construction the materials
were personally Issued by him.

I am in Position to
Shew that the proposed for the
Erecting of Cargo sheds as built
Should have been about Rs 2—8—0 and
the Second Class — 5 — 0 per Square Foot
the removable sheds Could readily
have been made for 2—1—0 a Square. Thus
a saving Could and the difference
about Rs 4000. Servides Government
has been defrauded of.

The 22d charge is that
although he sent 30 Coolies to Govt Servants
last Government Materials for the sheds
he never Considered this to the District
Engineer, and always encouraged the
Coolies to get as much as they could
getting Cadjan and Materials as
they pleased. He never Could not do
Even the value of the Materials &
Cadjan from the Contractor and credit

to the Government.

8. About the 22nd May last was
relieved Somerhat suddenly by Sub
-Engineer Syed Yousuff. Aspen (Mr. Pillay)
had recorded the hubs to be sold &
removed by what the Syed Yousuff heard
that they would only sell as Firewood
for as hubs, although they had Cost large
Sums, he Counter ordered these instructions,
and the hubs are Consequently still
on the ground.

When Sub-Engineer Muni
-Aspen Pillay was handing over
Charge to Syed Yousuff, he mentioned
nothing of any Sum due to Government
for hubs now Vc taken from the Pad
-dock. As soon as Syed Yousuff took
Charge he sent Towards Trichi
-nopoly and was absent for upon 5 days.
During this interval Sub-Engineer
Munisspra Pillay with two Sibbal
Tirumalava of Head Quarter, sent over
to the Accountant Kistna Swamy Iyer
as Sum of Rs 50-13-4 due the Accounts
Accounts (enclosed) sidgned by him as
Sub-Engineer "to be remitted into the
Treasury"

If this Money is no Sooner Government
Should Or Cannot have been handed over
to Syed Yousuff and he perhaps it had been
an Oversight not so doing he Should
have brought it to the Sub Engineer and
explained the matter and not send the money
in as done of his own manner to Head Accountant.

Hereby Should seem to have been
that Munisspra Pillay knew that Syed
Yousuff was making enquiries about the
hubs and intended to inspect them and
her thought by sending in the money he
would disarm the Sub-Engineer Syed Yousuff
and that the matter would be allowed to
drop. I may add that the Sum of 50-13-4
by no means represents the Value of the
Materials obtained from the Government
Pad-dock which according to his own figures
and data should be Rs 3--- and no
mention is made by the Sub-Engineer of
the large quantity of Government Labour
employed to guard the Contractors in Con
-veying Materials and actually in building
the Sheds.

Moreover the Sum of Rs 50-13-4

we were not deducted from the Contractor's bill as
Cash has apparently been paid by Sub-Engr
Maniappa Pillay hence it is Concealed the
transaction from Scrutiny. Mr Casperz
Shango at first sight that a Large Sum
should pay Money for a Substitution, which
should Come from the latter, but in the
Case I name early of Solicitors, Sub-Engineer
Maniappa Pillay has a nephew named
Shanmugam Pillay whom he treated as
his adopted Son and with lived with him.
Shanmugam in May proceeding to Shan
that he was a Secret partner in the Contract
with Mr. Krishnaswamy Pradaly and
Discovered that the further Shares of all
the Contracts in the Range so that by using
Government Materials and Labour without
Payment & Sold and deceiving the Offg Dist.
Engineer so as to induce him to raise the
rates for the Kuto, he was helping
Shanmugam Pillay or in other Words
himself, and he was not the first and Sole
Person he thus Shared the Dist. Engineer
to Decieve.

9. I have the honour to Conclude

to forward a list of [illegible]
with Service Books & Acquittance Rolls
charge. In charge rolls I have added
a few remarks to show the bearing of the
on the Case.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient humble
[illegible]
Dist. Engineer Cuddalore

No 3206

Proceedings of the Madras Government

Under date the 12 Aug 78.

Read the following

From the Dist Engineer Tuticorin July (H.E. No 305 d/30 July 1878).

The District Engineer's

letter, with its enclosures and

original, will be forwarded

to the District Engineer, ~~Coimbatore~~,

who will call upon the

Sub Engineer for an

Explanation, giving him

Major Vibart's letter and

whatever other papers are

necessary for his defence.

And he will on receipt

of Mr Meade's Pillai's

defence, forward it,

together with the

correspondence now referred

to the District Engineer's

Tuticorin

No 305

" 8605

From

The District Engineer

Tuticorin

To

The Chief Engineer

P.W. Department

Madras

Dated 30 } July 1878

Rec'd }

Enclosures 30 Thirty

Sub Engineer Bivens-

apah Pillai-

Reports that

Serious charges if

fraud have been

brought against—

and submits documents

in support of the charges

Executive Engineer, who will in turn submit the case with such remarks as he may wish to make for the final orders of Government.

607
8/8

To
The Dist. Engr. [illegible]
Dist. Engr. Executive Engineer
Dated 28-8-48

Cir. Cost. of (1878) Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

Dated

Issued

Recd. Encl.

G. O., Read 1878, No.

Nos.