

(1) Number of pages 36
(2) Date of repair 21. 7. 86
(3) Initials of the Referencer lmp

TAMIL NADU ARCHIVES

GOVERNMENT OF TAMIL NADU

f.w. DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. 1873 W	Press.
B.P. No.	Mis.
Dated 17 — 4 — 1878 197.	

V. S. M.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

18734

1

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

17th April 1878, No. 1,873 W.

Buildings and Roads.

Civil Works.

Read the following papers:—

No. 180. From J. W. RUNDALL, Esq., District Engineer, Bellary, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Bellary, 29th March 1878, No. 5,760.

I HAVE the honor to bring to your notice that among the undisposed papers handed over to me by Mr. Leggatt was a claim for Rupees 360-7-4 by the former contractor* for the woodwork of the Sub-Collector's Cutcherry at Ghooty.

* Jeenigar Ramanah.
Estimate amount Rupees 12,000, sanctioned in G.O., No. 1,976 A, of 20th August 1872. Completed in March 1874 (outlay) Rs. 11,980.

2. The claim is a very long standing one, and seems to have been made to you when District Engineer, as I find some correspondence between yourself and Mr. Gilby, who made out and forwarded a comparative statement for the work showing an excess over the sanctioned estimate of Rupees 320-9-10, but the contractor's claims seem never to have been finally settled by you.

3. After Mr. Leggatt assumed charge of the district the contractor petitioned him, and he directed Mr. Norfor, Assistant Engineer then in charge of Ghooty Range, to report on his claims. Mr. Norfor seems to have gone into the matter carefully and reported that Rupees 225-8-0 were due to the contractor, the principal difference being that Mr. Norfor struck out Rupees 110, which the contractor claims for the difference of cost between Rupees 200 which he charged for a spiral staircase and Rupees 90 which he was paid according to the provision of the estimate for a ladder up to the gallery.

4. Mr. Norfor struck this out on the ground that there was nothing to show that the contractor had not accepted Rupees 90 for the staircase. It seems to me, however, that the contractor having carried out extra work by order, the extra work in substituting a spiral staircase for a ladder having apparently been approved by Captain Edgecome, R.E., the then District Engineer, he is equitably entitled to the difference of cost, which amounts, according to the statement checked in the District Engineer's Office, to Rupees 87-5-8.

5. This sum, together with that admitted by Mr. Norfor, I would recommend to be paid, and forward a bill for sanction and allotment of funds under New Works, Civil Buildings.

6. Mr. Gilby seems to blame for the non-adjustment of this account, for knowing there were outstanding items he submitted a completion certificate for the building, and then in a letter to your address, dated 16th January 1875 (on which no orders seem to have been passed by you), proposed to pay off the claim from savings on the out-houses when sanctioned.

7. There are still several outstanding contractors' claims left by Mr. Gilby unadjusted which have come before me since I have been here.

Bill of Amount due to Contractor Jeenigar Ramanah for extra work done to the Sub-Collector's Cutcherry at Ghooty.

Authority for Work.—Estimate Rupees 12,000 sanctioned in G.O., No. 1,976, dated 20th August 1872.

Quantity.	Particulars.	Rate.	Per	Contents.
		RS. A. P.		RS. A. P.
50	Cubic feet teakwood wrought and put up	3 8 0	C. foot.	175 0 0
14	Iron straps for trusses	2 8 0	Each.	35 0 0
4	Iron bolts with screws, &c.	2 0 0	"	8 0 0
1	Excess step about 5 running feet	1 8 0	R. foot.	7 8 0
				225 8 0
	Extra work for substituting spiral staircase for ladder			87 5 8
				312 13 8

BELLARY,
29th March 1878.

(Signed) J. W. RUNDALL,
District Engineer, Bellary.

No. 180-A. From the same Officer, dated Bellary, 8th April 1878, No. 168.

I HAVE the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your memorandum, No. 1,662, of the 4th instant. I regret that the information afforded you in my letter, No. 5,760, of the 29th ultimo, regarding the claim preferred by the contractor for the woodwork of the Sub-Collector's Cutcherry, was not what you require.

One copy of memorandum, No. 1,634, of 9th January 1875.
One copy of letter, No. 1,237, dated 16th January 1875, from Mr. Gilby.
One original report from Mr. Norfor, No. 1,302, dated 29th October 1876.
One statement.

2. I am sorry to say I do not see on what points my letter was not precise enough. There is nothing to show why the matter was not disposed of by you.

3. I send you a copy of your last letter to Mr. Gilby and his reply, to which I referred in my previous letter. It would seem as if the matter was lost sight of by you.

4. Why Mr. Leggatt did not dispose of the claim I cannot say; when I spoke to him about it he seemed to be of opinion that the money was due. I at first thought that as Mr. Norfor stated that the contractor had signed a bill showing no balance due to him, his claim was barred, but on examining the bill itself I found that it was wrongly made out, a balance being shown on one side and no balance on the other. This bill clearly would not bar his claim in a Court of law. I therefore thought it right to apply to you to sanction the payment of the sum which statements in my office showed to be due.

5. I forward Mr. Norfor's original letter and the account he prepared.

6. Mr. Norfor explains why he allowed the cost of iron straps to the trusses, and I agree with him that as the trusses were estimated for in detail, an omission such as that of the straps should be paid for. I have already given my reasons for including (not the sum claimed by the contractor, but) the sum which Mr. Gilby's comparative statement showed to be the value of the extra work on the spiral staircase.

7. The amount of the completion certificate was Rupees 11,980 against an estimate of Rupees 12,000. If the sum I propose to pay the contractor be sanctioned, the expenditure will amount to Rupees 12,292-13-8.

No. 181. ORDER THEREON, 17th April 1878, No. 1,873 W.

UNDER the circumstances brought to notice in the foregoing papers, the contractor's claim of Rupees 312-13-8 for extra work done to the Sub-Collector's Cutcherry at Ghooty—vide sanction accorded in G.O., No. 1,976 A, dated 20th August 1872—may be settled. For which purpose Rupees 313 are appropriated from the Reserve at the disposal of Government under Civil Buildings, Provincial, for 1878-79.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) E. A. FOORD, Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

(True Copy.)

Major, R.E.,
Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

To the District Engineer, Bellary.
" Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

Exd. A. F. Goodall,
Spare Copies

BUILDINGS AND ROADS.
CIVIL WORKS.

Govt. of (1878) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To

THE DISTRICT ENGINEER,
BELLARY,
&c., &c., &c.

Dated April 17th.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 17th April 1878, No. 1,873 W.

APRIL

Nos. 180-181.

Sub-Collector's Cutcherry, Gooty.—The
contractor's claim for additional work done
to the —, sanctioned.

No. 1634

From

To

The District Engineer
Bellary

The Officer in charge
Gooty Range

His Letter No. 1149 dated 19th
December 1874

The Comparative Statement
for the Sub Collector's Cutchery
at Gooty shews an increase of
Rs 320. 9. 10 over the sanctioned
Estimate of Rs 12000. while the
Completion Certificate for the
work in question received with
Range Socket No. 309 of 21st June/74
shows the sum of Rs 11,979. 12. 9 as
the outlay incurred up to the
Completion of the work, including
the cost of the different variations
noticed in the Comparative
Statement.

It is not apparent how a Completion Certificate can be submitted for a work if the accounts N. 4394/78 connected therewith have not been finally closed.

For the above reasons, there appears to be no necessity to take further steps in reference to the Comparative Statement.

The Completion Certificate will be disposed of on receipt of a reply to this communication.

(Signed) E. A. Ford Colonel R.E.,
District Engineer
Bellary

(True copy)

J. W. Rindall
District Engineer
Bellary

Bellary
8th April 1878

N. 1237

From

Camp Adoni
16th January 1878
The Officer in charge
Gooby Range

To

The District Engineer
Bellary

Sir,

In reply to your docket N. 1634 dated 9th Instant, I have the honor to inform you that the amount of the Completion Certificate submitted with my docket N. 309 of 21st June last, does not include the whole of the cost of the variation noticed in the Comparative Statement but only include a part, to admit the expenditure to the extent of the sum allotted for the work during the year 1873-74 in order to prevent the lapsing of funds. vide late District Engineer's docket N. 1890 of 11th March 1874

Para 6. The Completion Certificate
was submitted because the esti-
mated amount was expended, and $\frac{114336}{27}$
as I intended to pay the excess
cost of the work in question from
the amount of sundries of the out-
houses to the Cashier when sanc-
tioned, (with your permission) I
thought it useless to detain the
Completion Report any longer.

If you see no objection
to my paying the amount as
above stated, there will as you
say be no necessity to take
further steps in the matter.

I have &c &c
(Signed) Henry Gilby
Assistant Engineer
in ch. Govt Range,

(True copy)

Bellary —
8th April 1878 }

J. W. Randall
District Engineer
Bellary

No. 1302, Yungam
True 29. 10. 76
The Officer in ch.
Govt Range
The Dist. Engr 1873^W
Bellary

With reference to
your ind. ch. 2157
of 12/9/76, I have
to honor to submit
a detail and ab-
stract blumite show-
ing the cost after extra
work work done by
Contractor Ramangale
to the Sub Collector
but-cherry at camp
&c. I also enclose par-
ticular orders &c. He
has used in the
matter.
3. He was instructed
to make two copies of
with thick, instead of

$\frac{3}{4}$ inch, but the
Vickers in
Range Office find
he has only been
paid for $\frac{3}{4}$ inch
reapers - I have
measured the thick-
ness of the reapers,
as put up, and
find them to be
one inch thick;
he therefore submitted
to the cost of the extra
 $\frac{1}{4}$ inch.

4. The Plomite
provided for a
ladder up the
facing at a cost
of \$90; the En-
gineer has recd.
orders to construct
a spiral staircase
and has recd.
\$90 for this; I do
not know whether he

878

instructed Cushing
 the Treasurer for the
 money allowed in
 the Act. There is no
 thing to show that
 such an arrange-
 ment was or was
 not made with him;
 he also states that
 he instructed this
 a certain way and
 afterwards ordered to
 pull it down and
 build it another
 way, and accord-
 ing to the Plan for-
 mished him; for
 doing this he claims
 \$5.00. He is I
 dare say himself
 to blame for not
 following the Plan
 and will consequently
 have to bear the
 cost of unskinning
 himself.

5. There is one other
important class of
his which I have
not included in the
estimate, i.e. for
altering parallel
dividers into frames
from square to
circular tops for the
tower. There is no
thing to show that
he had done so effec-
tive of. Windows were
put in, he might
have used. Under
previous to that in
which case there
was. he nothing
due to him for it -
in the ^{general} matter of
written testimony
into matter, I have
thought it best to
strike the windows
out of his claims

6. Other small
claims not shown
in my statement are
for screw nails for
Trusses &c. they
are, in my opinion,
included in the
work work which
is paid for. I must
put up with it.
7. He has not been
paid for ironing
the Trusses -
I think this has
been 4, as I
must have
taken out separately
in the Est. unless
there was a lump
sum provided for
each Truss put
up, in the Est. &
different parts of the
Truss are taken in
and calculated for

such as principal
rafters, shutters
J. M. Luchins
they wrote that
there is a saving
of only \$20.33
to the sanctuaries
plum etc. and
that the Cuckoo
signed his
name. But that
all for Woodworth
which it is
that the
since due to
out is a great

I have
Chas. J. Luchins
Apr 28

I submitted my
present amounting to
225-80 to the

him if he will accept
that amount. I
enclose his reply with
two other statements
attached.

W.

29. 10. 26.

Extra Woodwork supplied by Cuthberts Remanah
to the Sub Collection Litcherry at Govt.

Particulars of work	Measurement			1873	1902
	No	L	B		
One Green Step to Stair Case	1	11	3	33	1002
Platform Board	1	3 1/2	3 1/2	1 1/2	41
Plank for Gallery Railing	1	20	1	1 1/2	15
Plank for Porch Roof	1	25	1	1 1/2	2 1/2
Wall Plate for Platform	1	4 3/4	4 1/2	1/4	57
Two Pairs for Porch Roof	4	2 1/4	3 3/4	2 1/2	385
Do	2	2	3 1/2	2 1/2	35
Do	2	3 1/2	3 1/2	2 1/2	245
					576
Ropes	1	10 3/4	1/6	1/48	43 105
					144

Total Cft. Plank and board
Spent up — 50 321

Iron straps & plates with screws & nuts for Trusses	14	14
Iron bolts with screws & Nut and 2. Washers	4	4

1873/78

3/5
Jan 1902

The Office - Cuthberts
Litcherry

W. Richard Rogers
Barrow

1873/78

Submits a statement and
checked & correct along the
cost of extra wood work in
by Cuthberts Remanah &
the Litcherry Sub Collection
Cuthberts & Remanah on the
before as in the weekly ledger
with Cuthberts & Remanah
the other statement attached

873
120

[Illegible handwritten signature]

V

N. 4334/78

Bellary

19-11-1878

17-11-78

Jamgar
Ramanah

Estimate amount 12,000

sanctioned in G.O. No.

1976 A of 21 Aug 78

Completed in March 79

No. 5760

District Engineer's Office
Bellary

Dated 29 March 1879

From

The District Engineer
Bellary

To

The Chief Engineer
Public Works Department

Sir

I have the honor to bring to your notice that among the undispensed papers handed over to me by Mr. Leggatt was a claim for Rupees 360-7-4 by the former Contractor for the wood work of the Sub Collector's bacheri at Gooty.

The claim is a very long standing one, and seems to have been made to you when District Engineer, as I find some correspondence between yourself and Mr. Gilby, who made out and forwarded a Comparative statement for the work showing an excess over the sanctioned Estimate of Rupees 320-9-10, but the Contractor's claim seem never to have been finally settled by you.

After Mr. Leggatt assumed charge of the District the Contractor petitioned him and he directed Mr. Norfor Assistant Engineer then in charge of Gooty Range to report on his claims. Mr. Norfor seems to have gone into the matter carefully and reported that Rupees 225-8-0 were due to the Contractor, the principal difference being that Mr. Norfor struck out Rupees 110 which the Contractor claims for the difference of cost between Rupees 200 which he charged for a spiral stair case and Rupees 90 which he was paid according to the provision of the Estimate for a ladder up to the Gallery.

Mr. Norfor struck this out on the ground that there was nothing to show that the Contractor had not accepted Rupees 90 for the stair case. It seems to me however that the Contractor having carried out extra work by order, the extra work in substituting a spiral stair case for a ladder having apparently

been approved by Captain Edge-
come R.E. the then District En-
-gineer, ^{he} is equitably entitled to the
difference of cost, which amounts
according to the statement checked
in the District Engineer's Office
to Rupees 87-5-8. 1873

This sum together with that
admitted by Mr. Norfor, should
be recommended to be paid, and for-
ward a Bill for sanction and
allotment of funds under new works
Civil Buildings.

Mr. Gilby seems to blame for the
nonadjustment of this account, for
knowing there were outstanding
items he submitted a Completion
Certificate for the building, and
then in a letter to your address dated
16th January 1875 (on which no or-
ders seem to have been passed by
you) proposed to pay off the claim
from savings on the out-houses when
sanctioned.

There are still several outstand-
-ing Contractors' claims left by
Mr. Gilby unadjusted which have

not read

Come before me since I have
been here.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your Most Obedt. Servt

I. W. Rindall
District Engineer
Bellary

Bill

of amount due to Contractor Jeemgar Ramanah
for extra work done to the Sub Collector's
Cacheri at Gooly.

Authority for work. Estimate Rupees 12,000
Sanctioned in G.O. No. 1976 dated 20 August 1872.

Quantity	Particulars	Rate	Amount
50	Cft Teak wood wrought and put up	3 8	Rs. 175 00
14	Iron Straps for Trusses	2 8	Rs. 35 00
4	Iron Bolts with Screws &c.	2 0	Rs. 8 00
1	Excess step about 5 Running feet	1 8	Rs. 7 80
Extra work for substituting Spiral Stone Case for ladder.			225 80
			875 80
			312138

Bellary
29 March 78

I. W. Rindall
District Engineer
Bellary

8000 1976 A 720/8/2

From

The District Engineer
Bellary

To

The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.

Dated 29th March 1848.
Recd of Enclatures, order
No. 5760

Long standing claims
of Contractor Jeeni
+ gar Ramannah.

Requests that this
— be finally adjudge
by him.

No. 168.

1873^W

Bellary

District Engineer's Office

120 8th April 1878.

From

The District Engineer
Bellary

To

The Chief Engineer
P.W.D.
Madras.

Sir,

I have the honor to
acknowledge the receipt of your
Memo No. 1662 of the 4th Instant.

I regret that the information
afforded you in my letter No. 5760
of the 29th ultimo regarding the
Claim preferred by the Contractor
for the wood work of the Sub-
Collector's Cachari, was not what
you require.

I am sorry to say I do
not see on what points my letter
was not precise enough. There
is nothing to show why the matter
was not disposed of by you.

I send you a copy of your last letter to Mr. Gilby and his reply, to which I referred in my previous letter. It would seem as if the matter was lost sight of by you.

Why Mr. Leggatt did not dispose of the claim I cannot say; when I spoke to him about it, he seemed to be of opinion that the money was due. I at first thought that as Mr. Norfox stated that the Contractor had signed a Bill showing no balance due to him, his claim was barred, but on examining the Bill itself, I found that it was wrongly made out, a Balance being shown on one side and no balance on the other. This Bill clearly would not bar his claim in a Court of Law. I therefore thought it right to apply to you to sanction the payment of the sum which

1873/10 5
Statements in my office showed to be due.

I forward Mr. Norfox's original letter and the account he prepared.

Mr. Norfox explains why he allowed the cost of iron straps to the Trusses and I agree with him, that as the trusses were estimated for in detail, an omission such as that of the straps should be paid for. I have already given my reasons for including (not the sum claimed by the Contractor but) the sum which Mr. Gilby's Comparative Statement showed to be the value of the extra work on the spiral stair case.

The amount of the Completion Certificate was Rs 11,980. against an Estimate of Rs 12,000. If the sum I propose to pay the Contractor be sanctioned

The expenditure will amount to
Rs 12,292.13.8.

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Your most obed^t servant

J. W. Randall,
District Engineer
Bellary

1873
15

From
The District Engineer
Bellary

To
The Chief Engineer
M.O.
Madras.

Dated 8th } April 1878
Recd 10 }

No. 168

Contractor Jemigam Re-
munrah's claim. —

Affording further in-
formation on — for
the wood work of
the Sub Collector's Cashier.

G.O. 20-8-72 h. 1976 A.
C. 24-4-78 - 1662.

Cir. - 18.4.78. Recd. 17.4.78.
To C. 12-4-78. Recd. 12.4.78.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 17th April 78
Read the following: —

From
The Dist Engr. Bellary
do

(M.E. No. 54/60 d/29 March 1878)
(M.E. No. 168 d/8 April 1878)

Under the circum-
stances brought to notice
in the foregoing papers,
the contractor's claim of
Rs. 312.13.8 for extra
work done to the Sub
Collector's Cutcherry
at Gooty - vide sanction
accorded in G.O. No. 1976 A
dated 20 Aug 1872 - may
be settled. For which
purpose Rs. 313 are appropri-
ated from the Reserve at
the disposal of Govt. under
Civil Buildings Provincial
for 1878-79.

To
The Dist Engr. Bellary
Exant. D. W. Accs.

End. H.L.

1878-79

907 15/4

1873.
17

See - 18-4-78. Red 17-4-78
Loc 12-4-78 Red from city 10-4-78

18

6394

Govt. of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

H. T. Bellamy

Dated

Issued

Recd.

Encd.

G. O., 17th Dec 1878, No. 18731

Nos.

Sub. Collector's Puteherry. Gooty.

The contractor's claim for additional work done to the —, sanctioned

Subd. Insp.