

(1) Number of pages 10

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 849Press
~~Mis.~~Dated 13 — 3 — 1877.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
13th March 1877. No. 849.*

No. 285. Read the following endorsement of the Consulting Engineer for Railway,
dated Madras, 7th March 1877, No. 149:—

From R. B. ELWIN, Esq., Agent and Manager, Madras Railway Company, to Lieut.-
Colonel J. H. M. SHAW-STEWART, R.E., Consulting Engineer for
Railways, dated Madras, 26th February 1877, No. 343.

With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin, a copy of which is
enclosed, I have the honor to request
To the Board, No. 1,236, 9th December 1876, paragraphs 2 to 8. that you will be so good as to communi-
cate to me the authority of Government for
From the Board, No. 591, 26th January 1877, paragraph 22. the disbursement of the increased rate of
pay to the Chief Engineer and Deputy Chief Engineer from the 22nd November 1875,
the date of Mr. Anderson's retirement from the service.

*Extract from a letter from the Agent and Manager, to the Secretary, Madras Railway Company,
dated the 9th December 1876, No. 1,236.*

PARA. 2. With reference to paragraph 3 of your letter, No. 586, I beg to state that

As to the salary of the Chief Engineer.

*Vide letter to Chief Engineer, No. 4,283, dated 4th Decem-
ber 1876, copy enclosed.*

I have, in accordance with the instructions of
the Board, explained to Mr. Robinson the
understanding upon which the Secretary of
State for India has allowed the salary of the

Chief Engineer to remain for the present at £2,000 per annum.

3. With regard to the provision of additional security up to £2,000, Mr. Robinson will
be much obliged* if the Directors will make the
necessary arrangements in England.

**Vide Chief Engineer's letter, No. 874, dated 7th December
1876, copy enclosed.*

4. A question has been raised as to the intention of the Directors in the matter of the
payment of salary to the Chief Engineer and to the Deputy Chief Engineer.

5. The question so raised is, whether the increased rate of pay is to take effect from the
date of Mr. Beresford Anderson's retirement from the service on the 22nd of November 1875, or
from the date of the receipt of the Board's letter, No. 586, in Madras.

6. Mr. Robinson reminds me that he has conducted the duties of the Office of Chief
Engineer since November 1875, a period of upwards of twelve months, to the best of his ability,
that the difference between the acting and the substantive pay of the appointment has not been
drawn by any one, and that he trusts he may look forward to enjoy the pay of the office from
the 22nd of November 1875.

7. Under the peculiar circumstances of the case, I shall be glad to learn that the Board
authorize the disbursement of pay to Mr. Robinson from the date of Mr. Anderson's retirement
from the service.

8. The ruling which will meet Mr. Robinson's case will apply also, I imagine, in the case
of Mr. Carter.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. B. ELWIN,
Agent and Manager.

*Extract from a letter from the Secretary, Madras Railway Company, dated London, the 26th
January 1877, No. 591.*

PARA. 23. The Board have had under their consideration the question referred to in
paragraph 5 of 1,236, whether the increased
rate of pay to the Chief Engineer and Deputy

As to salary of Chief and Deputy Chief Engineers.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
13th March 1877. No. 849.*

Chief Engineer is to take effect from the date of Mr. Anderson's retirement from the service, or from the date of the receipt by you of the Board's letter, of the 3rd November 1876, confirming Mr. Robinson and Mr. Carter in their respective appointments. In the circumstances, and having regard to the fact that Mr. Robinson has satisfactorily performed the duties of Chief Engineer since Mr. Anderson's retirement in November 1875, and that the difference between the acting and substantive pay of the appointment has not been drawn by any one, the Board sanction, as a special case, subject to the approval of Government, the payment to him of the full salary of the office from the 22nd November 1875. Mr. Carter may also, if Government concur, be allowed the full pay of his appointment from the same date.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) R. B. ELWIN,
Agent and Manager.

No. 322.

FORWARDED to the Examiner of Railway Accounts for report.

(Signed) J. H. M. SHAW-STEWART, Lieut.-Col., R.E.,
Consulting Engineer for Railways.

MADRAS,
27th February 1877.

MEMORANDUM.

No. 275.

WITH reference to Consulting Engineer's endorsement, No. 322, dated 27th February 1877, has the honor to return letter, No. 543, dated 26th idem, from Agent and Manager, Madras Railway Company, with its enclosures, with the following remarks.

2. In letter, No. 565, dated 3rd December 1875, from the Board of Directors, Madras Railway Company, Mr. Robinson and Mr. Carter are appointed to act as Chief and Deputy Chief Engineer, respectively, as a temporary arrangement from the 23rd November 1875. In Board's letter, No. 586, dated 3rd November 1876, these two officers are confirmed in their respective appointments, but without retrospective effect.

3. From the wording of Board's letter, No. 565, dated 3rd December 1875, it does not seem that, at the time Mr. Robinson and Mr. Carter were appointed to act in their respective appointments, there was any intention on the part of the Directors to appoint them permanently to those posts, and it is thought that, if these two officers are to be allowed to draw the full pay of their respective grades from 23rd November 1875, they should be confirmed in their appointments with retrospective effect from that date.

4. The arrears of pay which will be drawn by Mr. Robinson and Mr. Carter, if they are allowed to draw the full pay of their respective appointments from 23rd November 1875, aggregate Rupees 5,409-2-0, and this sum, if now admitted, should be charged against the cost of working expenses to earnings realized by the Company, it does not seem advisable to add this sum to the former without some very good grounds. The mere fact of half of the salary of permanent Chief Engineer not having been drawn by any one does not appear to be sufficient reason for allowing an officer, whose appointment to that position was undoubtedly intended in the first place to be only temporary, to draw the full salary from the date of the post being vacated by the permanent incumbent, even though he should have been found fitted for the duties of the position after some months' probation.

MADRAS,
2nd March 1877.

(Signed) P. LAMBERT, Major, R.E.,
Examiner of Railway Accounts.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
13th March 1877. No. 849.*

SUBMITTED for the consideration of Government.

2. Mr. Beresford Anderson retired from the post of Chief Engineer on the 22nd November 1875, and Mr. Robinson was appointed to act as Chief Engineer on the 3rd December 1875, Mr. Carter being appointed on the same date to act as Deputy Chief Engineer in Mr. Robinson's place. These acting appointments were made by the Directors as "temporary measures."

3. Upon the 3rd November 1876 Mr. Robinson was confirmed by the Board in the appointment of Chief Engineer and Mr. Carter in that of Deputy Chief Engineer.

4. In the papers now laid before Government it will be seen that the Board have sanctioned, as a special case, and subject to the approval of Government, the payment to these two gentlemen, respectively, of the full salaries of the offices of Chief and Deputy Chief Engineer from the 22nd November 1875, the date of Mr. Anderson's retirement.

5. The Examiner of Railway Accounts points out that, if these two officers are to be allowed to draw the full pay of their respective posts from the date now proposed, they should be confirmed in their appointments with retrospective effect from the date of Mr. Anderson's retirement. In this suggestion I concur.

6. The Examiner also points out that the sum affected by the proposal now before Government amounts to Rupees 5,409-2-0; and he adds that "the mere fact of half of the salary of Permanent Chief Engineer not having been drawn by any one does not appear to be sufficient reason for allowing an officer, whose appointment to that position was undoubtedly intended in the first place to be only temporary, to draw the full salary from the date of the post being vacated by the permanent incumbent, even though he should have been found fitted for the duties of the "position after some months' probation." In these remarks I concur.

(Signed) J. H. M. SHAW-STEWART, Lieut.-Col., R.E.,
Consulting Engineer for Railways.

No. 286.

ORDER THEREON, 13th March 1877, No. 849.

RAILWAY, No. 47.

HIS GRACE the Governor in Council understands that Messrs. Robinson and Carter have received the full pay of their appointments from the date on which they were respectively confirmed; and that up to that date they drew the full amounts allowed by the rules in the case of acting appointments. The Board of Directors must be presumed to have had reason for making "temporary" arrangements in the first instance; and those arrangements were at the time accepted by both officers. The fact of their having since been confirmed in the posts in which they were then appointed to act does not, in the opinion of the Governor in Council, confer any claim for a change in the nature of the original arrangements. Mr. Robinson has, during his term of probation, worked to the satisfaction of the Board of Directors, and has received his reward in the high

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
13th March 1877. No. 849.

appointment he now holds. In the opinion of His Grace the Governor in Council
the pay must be regulated by the dates upon which the appointments were made.
(True Extract.)

Lieut.-Col., R.E.,
Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.,
Railway Branch.

P. W. DEPARTMENT.

READ the following:—

From the Consulting Engineer for Railways
No. 149 dated 7th March 1877.

FOR ORDERS.

W. M. M. M.

Lieut. Col., R.E.,

Joint Secretary to Government
Railway Department.

No 829

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 13 March 77

Read the following:-

From the Comptroller for Railways (H.E. No 149 of 77 March 77)

His Grace the Governor in Council understands that Messrs. Robinson and Barker have received the full pay of their appointments from the date on which they were respectively confirmed; and ^{up to that date} that they ~~provisionally~~ drew the full amounts allowed by the rules in the case of acting appointments. The Board of Directors must be presumed to have had reason for making "temporary" arrangements in the first instance; ^{and those} ~~these~~ arrangements were at the time accepted by both Offices.

The fact of their having
since been confirmed
in the posts in which they were then appointed to act
does not, in the opinion

of the Governor in Coun-
cil, confer any claim
for a change in the
nature of the original
arrangements. Mr. Robin-
son has, during his term
of probation, ~~and~~ ^{to the satisfaction of the Board of Directors,}
marked ~~himself~~ and has re-
ceived ~~the~~ ^{his} reward of
~~his labor~~ in the high
appointment he now
holds. Full pay can-
not be paid retro-
spectively, ~~and~~ ^{and} ~~can~~.

In the opinion of His Grace
the Governor in Council,
the pay must be regulated
by the dates upon which
the appointments were
made.

C. J. MacKay
By Accts
S. M. 29.3.77

J. W. Little

2520

Obdt. of 1877 Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To

The Comr Eng for M.

Dated

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G. O. 13 March 1877, No. 129

Nos. 285-286

Messrs Robinson and Carter
In Dy. Intimating that
the pay of — as Chief Engineer
and Deputy Chief Engineer
respectively must be
regulated by the rates
upon which the appoint-
ments were made.

Robinson

29.3.77

W. Little