

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.

837

(Ry)

Press

Mis.

Dated

12-3-

1877.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
12th March 1877. No. 837.*

No. 262. Read the following letter from W. C. FURNIVALL, Esq., Under Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department, (Railway), to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, (Railway), dated Fort William, 1st March 1877, No. 609-R. :—

I AM directed to acknowledge the receipt of your docket, No. 3,173, of the 27th November 1876, forwarding the Revenue Account of the Madras Railway for the half-year ended 30th June 1876.

2. In paragraph 12 of his note on this account the Consulting Engineer shows that the total cost of maintenance during the half-year averaged Rupees 140 per mile per mensem, but deducting Rupees 1,49,839 on account of sundry items of extra expenditure the ordinary maintenance is shown to have cost Rupees 111 per mile per month. I am to point out that the sum thus deducted is in excess of that shown in the foot-note to the maintenance abstract by Rupees 61,956, and to request that the difference may be explained.

No. 263. ORDER THEREON, 12th March 1877, No. 837.

RAILWAY, No. 40.

COMMUNICATED to the Consulting Engineer for Railways, with reference to G.O., No. 3,172, dated 27th November 1876.

(True Extract.)

Lieut.-Col, R.E.,
Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.,
Railway Branch.

To the Consulting Engineer for Railways.

Exd. T. D. Ryan.
Spare Copies

Abstract

1.11. 1877. 1.11. 1877. 1.11. 1877.

No. 609 R. 1.11. 1877.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Railway.

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras.
P. W. Dept (Railway)

Fort William, 1st March 1877.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your docket N^o 3173 of the 27th November 1876, forwarding the Revenue account of the Madras Railway for the half year ended 30th June 1876.

In paragraph 12 of his note on this account, the Consulting Engineer shows that the total cost of maintenance, during the half year averaged Rs 1401 per mile per annum, but deducting Rs 149839 on account of sundry items of extra expenditure the ordinary maintenance is shown to have cost Rs 1111 per mile per month. I am to point out that the sum thus deducted is in excess of that shown in the footnote to the maintenance

Abstract

Abstract by Rs 61,956. and to request that the
difference may be explained.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant,

M. Timm

Under Secretary.

P.W.D.

91577

2541

The Secy of Lab

Dated 1st March 1877

GO 27-11-76 No 3173.

82-609 B

By

No 837 of 12 March 77

Communicated to
the Consulting Engineer
for Railways with reference
to L.P. No. 3172 dated 27th
December 1876.

!!

Ch. J. P. H. J.

21-3-77

↓
C 2541
Obt. of 1877 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To *the Comr. Eng. & Geo. W.*

Dated

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G. O. 12th March 1877, No. 837

Nos. 262-263

Revenue Account of the
Madras Railway for the
half year ending 30th June
1876. — Letter from the
Comr. India requesting
explanation to certain
discrepancy in the —,
Comm. to the Comr. for
Rys.

Sent in Reg.

Recd 21-3-77