

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	757 (N)	Press Mis.
Dated	20-9-	1877.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

20th September 1877, No. 757 W.

General.
Establishment.

Read the following papers:—

115 No. 153. From T. D. HARRIS, Esq., District Engineer, Ganjam, to the Chief Engineer, Buildings and Roads, dated Chatterpore, 4th July 1877, No. 25.

I HAVE the honor to forward correspondence relative to a claim by the Conservator of the Port of Calingapatam for remuneration for erecting the light on the obelisk at Calingapatam Port; his claim amounts to Rupees 150; he certainly deserves great credit for the manner in which the work has been carried out; it is simply superb, and without the assistance of the Port Conservator, Mr. Farley, the Executive Engineer states he could not get the work done. I enclose his letter on the subject for your perusal. The Master Attendant

✓ 1 true copy of a letter, No. 62, dated 28th June 1876, from Range Officer.
1 true copy of a memorandum, No. 1,135.
1 do. letter, No. 295.
1 do. memorandum, No. 3,842.
1 do. " " 4.
1 do. letter, No. 50-2." 5.
1 do. " " 8. ✓
1 Executive Engineer's letter, No. 8. ✓
1 Master Attendant's " " 3,639. ✓
1 Mr. Farley's last " " 67. ✓

of Madras has no objection; he says it meets his entire approval the grant of Rupees 150 for services rendered by Mr. Farley in altering the obelisk and fixing the light at Calingapatam. Under these circumstances I beg you will inform how the claim may be disposed of. I prepared a plan on my inspection and forwarded it to you with my No. 88, dated 23rd December 1876, and the same will be found in your office. On my subsequent inspection of the work after the light being exhibited, I was much pleased with all that had been done.

No. 153-A. From the same Officer, dated 23rd August 1877, No. 43.

✓ No. 153-B. Note by Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated 5th September 1877.

No. 154. ORDER THEREON, 20th September 1877, No. 757 W.

THE sum of Rupees 150 will be paid to Captain Farley as remuneration for his services, the charge being met from the Provincial Reserve.

2. The Government observe that in Chief Engineer's Memorandum, No. 1,135, dated 11th July 1876, the Ganjam Public Works Officers were distinctly forbidden to avail themselves of Captain Farley's help. Mr. Leggatt, the Range Officer, having throughout, and without explanation or report, disregarded those orders, is reprimanded for disobedience. The neglect of the District Engineer to bring the case to the notice of the Chief Engineer—as it was his duty to have done as soon as he became aware that the Range Officer was not attending to the orders which had been issued—is noticed with dissatisfaction.

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R.E.

Secretary to Government, P.W.D.

To the District Engineer, Ganjam.
" Examiner of Public Works Accounts.
" Marine Department, in extract paragraph 1.
Exd. C. Howarth.
Spare Copies

12 Range Office
Chicago 28 June 1870

No 62
To the District Engineer
Louisiana

I have the honor to bring to
your notice that I have no
subordinate in the Range who
is competent of understanding
the fixing of the light on the
obelisk at Calving Spatar
mentioned in Government Order
No 171 dated 25 April 1870
I am consequently obliged to
request Captain Harley the
conservation of that post to be
good enough to carry out the
work. he has consented to do
so but my object now in
addressing you is to request
you will be so good as to lay
the

matter before Government
with a view that Captain
Farley be remunerated for
his trouble either by a grant
of Rs 100 -/- per mensem, or
a lump sum after the work
is completed the work will
probably take two months.
The distance is between $2\frac{1}{2}$
and 3 miles south of Calingapat
and Captain Farley would require
to be constantly at the work
and this would necessitate his
employing bearers for going to
and from the work for which
I would recommend an allowance
of

Memorandum No 1185
of Rs 30 -/- in addition. Copy
of Memo No 382 from Master
Attendants Madras enclosed

1 Signed / G. P. Siggatt
Executive Engineer

1 True Copy

1 Signed / G. P. Siggatt
Executive Engineer

1 True Copy

W. P. Siggatt
Executive Engineer

Memorandum No. 1135
From the District Engineer Gairan
dated the 28th July 1870

The Chief Engineer does not
approve of the application made
in this letter and requests the
District Engineer of Gairan
and the Chief Range Officer to
understand that he expects
they will make arrangements
for carrying out the work
themselves without the help
of the natives that they would
propose to incur.

Atacamama / Signed / J. D. Walker
28 July 1870 / Major Genl
Chief Engineer

True copy /
Communicated.

Communicated to the Executive Engineer. The above decision with reference to his letter No 62 dated 28 June 1890

with pleasure to carry out the work (giving a lantern on the top of the obelisk at Calicut) without the extra expenses being incurred.

Signed J. D. Harris
District Engineer
Calicut
9 August 1900

To the Executive Engineer
Leggato
Chennai
4000/11
No. 153
Yours Obedt
Colonel Harris
Overwater

No 295.
Chester place
District Engineer's Office
Calicut 10th November 1896
From the District Engineer
Calicut
To the Executive Engineer
Chennai

In reference to your No 156 dated 13 November 1896 I have the honor to inform you that I have already recommended a remuneration of Rs 150 - - - for Captain Farley in my last letter to the Master Attendant Madras.

Signed J. D. Harris
District Engineer
Calicut

No 150
Forwarded to the Conservator of the Port of Calicut for information.
Signed J. P. Leggat C.E.
Executive Engineer
Chennai
21st November 1896

To Captain Farley
Calicut

True Copy
Purser Appam
for
Commodore of Port

Administrative Department
Madras Marine Office
in No. 7th June 1870.
No. 3842.

Memorandum
From the Master of the steam
ship to the Administrative Department
Madras
The Commodore of the Port of
Calcutta

Reference

His letter No. 104 of date 29th
May 1870.

Has the honor to state
that he should apply to the
D. O. for any extra remuneration
he may claim for rendering that department
extraneous assistance. - He
might assist that depart
ment

with the loan of such marine
stores as he may have in
his office. -

By order

Signed: H. P. Marshall
Assistant to the Master
Attendant Administration
(Department)

True copy
W. H. Gilman
Commodore of York

1620 (1870) 151

Calcutta Post
and
Punjab Office

15 June 1870

To Mr. R. Legg the Engineer &c.
Executive Engineer 2nd Division
S. P. Office.

I have the honor to send
herewith a copy of an official
memo from the Master
Attendant Administration
Department Madras, and
submit to know what
remuneration you may be
pleased to allow me either
monthly or in a lump sum
after the work completed
exclusive of Palangam hire

I have the
 signed / M. S. Farley
 In charge of operations
 right on the
 South side of the
 river
 True Copy
 M. S. Farley
 Quartermaster of Fort
 6342

Executive Engineers Office
 Chikkatol 19 June 1890
 No 50
 From
 The Executive Engineer
 No 2 on Chikkatol Range
 Gujapur
 Captain Farley
 Quartermaster
 Calicut Fort
 Sir
 In reference to your letter No 4
 dated 15. Inst. and together with
 its enclosure I have the honor
 to request you will be good
 enough to inform me what
 amount of remuneration you
 wish for undertaking
 the putting up of the light
 on the Pabek at Calicut
 as also cost of hire of bearers,
 to

to enable me to refer the matter
for the favourable Consideration
of Government. —

Shave &c

1 Signed 1 G. R. Liggatt C.E.
Executive Engineer

1 True Copy
160 177
684

W. S. Harley
Conservator of Forest

1020
10/10/1875

Calcutta
Conservators Office
23. June 1875

N. 5

1 Signed 1 G. R. Liggatt Esquire C.E.
Executive Engineer 2d Div
Calcutta

Sir,

In acknowledgment of
your letter N. 50 dated 19
June 1875 I think the least
you will be pleased to
remunerate me would be
at 100 Rupees a month,
besides 30 Rs for board hire.

Shave &c
1 Signed 1 W. S. Harley
Conservator

1 True Copy
W. S. Harley
Conservator

No. 1958 of 16 July 1877
Memorandum
Letter from the District Engineer Fanyam
No. 25 dated 4 July 1877.

The District Engineer will be so
good as to forward a copy of Mr. Executive
Engineer Leggatt's letter dated 13 November 1876
No 156 without which the correspondence enclosed
in Mr. Harris' letter under reply is unintelligible.

2 The Chief Engineer observes that General
Walker's memorandum of the 11 July 1878 distinct-
ly ordered the District Engineer to make arrange-
ments for carrying out the work without the
aid for which remuneration is now sought,
and that that memorandum would now
seem to have been disregarded by Mr. Leggatt,
presumably not without Mr. Harris' knowledge
and connivance; the Department being thereby
saddled with a charge for remuneration
which

to 1135

which the Chief Engineer is not prepared to
recommend Government to pass, unless a
full and clear explanation shall be received
from the District Engineer of Garyville, La.

Sgt. A. F. Ford, Colonel R. E.
Chief Engineer, R. W. D.

22nd St.

Sept 20th 1877
The District Engineer, Garyville, La.

Cadging a part of the
Conservators Office
7th April 1877

1107

To G. R. Liggett, Engineer & C.
Executive Engineer 2nd Div.
Chicago.

Sir,

In the matter of remuneration
for my services in constructing the
Light House at this Port, and
which I had been led to expect
which I had been led to expect
at all along and not having had
as yet received any consideration,
although more than four months
have elapsed since its completion.
I beg therefore to call your attention
to the tenor of the correspondence
regarding that subject, copies of
which I forward herewith -
It appears to me the District
Engineer has not a clear
insight into the facts and

my
f.

Reputations on that matter -

Consequently I enclose copies of
my correspondence with the
Master Attendant Madras
and by which he with perusal
I have a right in a sense
to a claim for remuneration
and if the District Engineer
was to place the whole before
the proper authorities, I make
no doubt that the Master
Attendant will bear me out
for some remuneration. I have
been put in considerable situations
arduous labor, and endured much
fatigue, and exposure, in carrying
out the work to the satisfaction
of yourself, and the District
Engineer, and to receive no kind
of return for the same would.

be a great disappointment. -

I have the honor to be
very
Your most obedient servant

J. Bailey
Conservator of Fort.

Corlur Apparo
Ha. 1872

Dist. (Himachal) 10th April 1877.

No. 8

From

THE DISTRICT ENGINEER,

(Himachal) GANJAM. Dist.

To

The Dist. Engineer
Ganjam

SIR, I have the honor to forward
the accompanying letter in Original
with enclosure from Capt. Farley
No 67 dated 7th Inst. for your consider-
ation.

You are well aware of the
fact that without the valuable
services rendered by Capt. Farley who
put up the light on the Chelick
at Halingapatana the work could
never have been accomplished.

In my letter No 62 of 18/4/77 I recom-
mended that Capt. Farley should have
a remuneration of Rs 250, and so
certain was that the same
would have been paid to him
by Govt. without demur (considering
I had not a subordinate who could
possibly undertake the work)
that I advanced him from my private
fund a sum of Rs 50 feeling sure it
would be repaid me.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient
servant
Geo. D. S. P. Esq.
District Engineer

Enclosures
9.

Received
Capt. D. J. Farley
Commissioner of Dist.
Halingapatana
to J. H. Meggitt Esq. Esq.
District Engineer
2nd Division
Himachal
Dated 17 April 1877
Himachal
I beg to call his attention
to the letter of the
Commissioner regarding
the matter of remuneration
for his services in
constructing the light
House at the West
of Halingapatana.
Yours faithfully
Geo. D. S. P.

104/3

L & 20th Sept. 1877

ADMINISTRATIVE DEPARTMENT.

MADRAS,

Marine Office,

No. 3639.

22nd May 1877.

From

THE MASTER ATTENDANT,

ADMINISTRATIVE DEPARTMENT,

MADRAS,

To

The District Engineer
Ganjam

SIR,

Y^r 19, dated the
14th May 1877.

I am acknowledging receipt of your letter and its several enclosures marginally noted, I have the honor to express my entire approval of an allowance of Rs 150/ one hundred and fifty being paid to Captain Fairley for the services rendered by him to your department in altering the obelisk at Calingapatam, and fixing the lantern upon it, but I would remark that the necessary application to Government for disbursing the amount should be made by your department, the work having been carried out by the Public Works Department, and not by the Marine Department.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most Obedient Serv^t

J. N. L.

Master-Attendant
Administrative Department

4020: App. 10th 153

No. 156

Exc.
DISTRICT ENGINEER'S OFFICE,
Chicacole
13th Novr 1876.

From

Exc.
THE DISTRICT ENGINEER,
No. 2 Range.
GANJAM.

To

The District Engineer
Ganjam

enclosures

SIR,

I have the honor to inform you that the lantern was placed in its position on the obelisk at Calinga-
palam & was ready for lighting on the 7th Instant but the Conservator of the port informed he had no wicks to burn or could he get men to attend the light in question.

Capt. Farley deserves great credit for the manner in which he has carried out the works which as you have personally inspected & are aware is of a most tedious & dangerous nature. Large blocks of stone weighing from 3 & 700 lbs had to be raised nearly 70 feet & deposited on the obelisk, this of course had to be done with great caution and nicety as the working space of obelisk top was only 7 feet sq. alto-
gether

P.
BQAE

153-117

Enc. 10. 10. 10.

10-3-55

46 20th Sept/77 No 153

together the work is such that that
 there is not an Overseer or Subordinate
 in the district that could have under-
 taken it & I trust that you will en-
 deavour to procure for Capt. Farley
 the remuneration recommended in my
 letter No. 62 dated 28th June 1876.

I have & co:
 B.E.
 Executive Engineer

Dated
 Despatched
 Received
 Enclosures.
 No.
 187

From
 The District Engineer,
 Ganjam.

4620: Sept 11 1876-153

No. 62

From

Executive
 THE DISTRICT ENGINEER,
 Chikakkol Range
 GANJAM.

To

The District Engineer
 Ganjam.

Enclosures

SIR,

I have the honor to bring
 to your notice that I have no subordinate
 in the Range who is competent of under-
 taking the fixing of the light on the Calinga-
 patam obelisk sanctioned in G.O. No.
 171 dated 25th April 1876 I have conse-
 quently been obliged to request Captain
 Farley the Conservator of that Port. to be
 good enough to carry out the work, he has
 consented to do so but my object now in
 addressing you is to request you will be
 so good as to lay the matter before Govern-
 ment with a view that Captain Farley
 be remunerated for his trouble either by
 a grant of Rs 100 per mensem or a lump
 sum after the work is completed. the work
 will probably take two months to carry out.
 The obelisk is between 2 1/2 and three
 miles south of Calingapatam and Captain
 Farley would require to be constantly
 at

at the work & this would necessitate his employing bearers for going to and from the work for which I would recommend an allowance of Rs 30.00 in addition. Copy of memo no 382 from Masli & Assistant Chaudras enclosed. I have &c

Executive Engineer

No. 17
Dated 28th
Despatched 29th Jan 1876
Received

Enclosures.

Requesting to advise Government for some remuneration to pay to Mr. Farley, who undertook the duties of Engineer of the district after the death of Mr. G. S. Farley, who was the holder of a Commission for the same.

The District Engineer,
Mr. 2. George GANAM,
Ganjam

8:43

From

District Engineers Office Ganjam
Chatterpore 23rd August/77.

The District Engineer
Ganjam

To

The Chief Engineer
D. P. W.
Masoor

Sir

Encls. 2 letters
received from
Mr Leggatt
in charge
Chicmole Range

In reference to yours no: 1958 dated 16th July/77. I have the honor to forward herewith the Executive Engineer Mr Leggatt's letter in original no: 156 dated 13th November/76 called for in yours above cited para 1 and also his no: 62 dated 28 June/76.

With reference to para 2 I beg to call your attention to the fact of this work having been commenced by my predecessor and it was in the hands of Captain Farley before my time. General Walker's memorandum dated 16th July/76 was communicated to the Executive Engineer on the 9th Aug-1/76 and I inspected the work on the 20th October and found all the stone dressed in the Sea Customs Office yard and the wood work also and very neatly and well.

done. The scaffolding was up and it
only remained to set up the stone base
and House for the light. The timber
composing the scaffolding was the
private property of The Conservator
Captain Farley and to undo what
had been done so far and so well,
it would not be wise on my part
and would delay the work indefinitely
and add considerably to the cost.
besides with regard to the bearing of
the light it required most accurate
workmanship and bearings were
necessary during the Execution thereof.
I prepared a plan at the same time which
was forwarded to you with my letter
No. 88 dated 23rd December/76 and
gave all the necessary directions
for the erection of the light house.
My instructions were speedily car-
ried out, the lantern being placed
in position on the 7th November/76
and it has proved a great success.
I was much pleased with the
manner in which Captain Farley
worked and thanked him very
cordially myself; but I believe

he is under the impression that
between myself and The Master
Attendant of Madras he was
not sufficiently recommended for¹⁸
the remuneration he asks. I could
not refuse to forward the corres-
pondence otherwise I have no
desire to saddle the Government
concern at saddling the Govt.
with the charge for remuneration
as presumed in your under
reply, leaving the case entirely
for your consideration and disposal
and trusting the information now
afforded will be found satisfactory.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient servant

W. H. Davis
District Engineer

16-7-22
Memo: No 1958 with file.
809-3-75 No 666 + 667
- 89-7-75 - 2202 -

Remuneration to Captain
Farley in the attendance
Calcutta - 2 letters from Mr. Leggatt.

Encl. 2

No. 43

Recd 23rd 3rd August 1875.

To The Chief Engineer
8/7/75

From
The District Engineer
Gauguin

4020: Sept 1875: 153 A

920-9-77

408-3-77

P. W. D.

Note by Chief Engineer.

19

In Marine Depart-
ment Order No 134 dated 6th April
1876 a plan and estimate for fixing
a lantern on the obelisk at Calin-
gapatam were sanctioned, and the
order was communicated to the
District Engineer, Gauguin, on the
25th idem.

On the 28th June Mr Leggatt
reported that he had requested
Captain Farley Conservator of
the port, to carry out the work,
and suggested that a remun-
eration of Rs. 230 should be made
to that Officer.

In Memorandum
No 1135 of the 28th July¹⁸⁷⁵ the Chief
Engineer ordered the District
Engineer

70

Engineer, and the Chicacole
Range^x Officer, to carry out the
work departmentally and not
incur the proposed additional expense.

^x Mr Leggatt

In December Mr Harris
sent in a revised estimate which
was sanctioned in G. O. No. 272
dated 22nd January 1877, and it
appears from a letter, from the
Executive Engineer dated the 13th
November that the work was
completed by the 7th November.

It further appears from
the confused correspondence in the
file that in November Mr Harris
recommended to the Master
Attendant Madras payment of
compensation to Captain Farley
for the work which he had been
so distinctly ordered to carry out
himself.

Finally

Finally, in July 1877 the
District Engineer Ganjam this
time addressing the Chief Engi-
=neer requested payment to
Captain Farley of Rs. 150; and in
doing so said not a word about
his and Mr Leggatt's infraction
of orders.

Mr Leggatt was in charge
of the Ganjam District from the
end of April to the 16th June/76
after which Mr Harris relieved
him. And it was during Mr
Leggatt's tenure of office that
Captain Farley's aid was accep-
=ted, without the leave of the
Chief Engineer.

Mr Harris was however
the District Engineer when
General Walker's Memo of the 28th
July was received; and as soon as
he

he found that Mr Leggatt had not carried out its instructions, it was his duty to have told the Chief Engineer.

Instead of which, he appears to have tried to get Mr Leggatt out of the scrape by asking Captain Taylor to procure sanction to the payment of the bonus.

The Chief Engineer has no option but to recommend that Mr Leggatt bear the charge so unwarrantably incurred by him, and that the District Engineer be censured for his ~~unsubstantiated~~ conduct in the affair.

Fort St. George
5th Sept. 1877

E A Ford Colonel R.E.
Chief Engineer P.W.D.

4020: Sept/77 10 R 153-13-

4:44:1. Cn 8-9-77

P.W.D. Dept.

Note by Chief Engineer
P.W.D. 5-9-77

P.W.D.

21

Note

The case being exceptional it is proposed to sanction, without reference to the Government of India. Should they object, the Correspondence can be forwarded to them. This will not be the first instance in which a grant of the kind in question has been made by the Local Government without reference to Calcutta.

E A F
15/9

1st 1877-

Letter from the District Engineer Gaujam N^o 43 dated
23rd August 1877.

Note by Chief Engineer dated 5th September 1877.

FOR ORDERS.

6842
8561 Jan
9075728 20/9/77

Gaujam Chief

8th Sept 1877.

Edwrd Colonel R.C.
Secretary to Government M.D.

Mr. Insley seems to have
well earned the Rs 150.
but I think it must
be charged to the State.
He can't require our
public office to pay
more for doing public
work. Mr. L.M. has
written for disallowance.

A.P.

FOR ORDERS.

No. 4578 Public Works Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 20th Sept 1877.

Read the following:—

from the District Eng^r Gangan (H. B. N^o 43 dated 23rd July 1877)
Vote by Chief Engineer P.W. D. (H. B. dated 5th September 1877).

The sum of Rs. 150 will be paid to Captain Farley and remuneration for his services the charge being met from the Provincial Reserve.

The Government observe that in Chief Engineer's Memorandum N^o 1135 dated 11th July 1876, the Gangan Public Works Officers were distinctly forbidden to avail themselves of Captain Farley's help. Mr. Leggatt, the Range Officer, having from first to last, without explanation

explanation, and without report,
disregarded those orders, is
severely reprimanded for his
disobedience, ~~and~~ the neglect
of the District Engineer to
bring the case to the notice
of the Chief Engineer - as it was
his duty to have done as soon
as he became aware that
the Range Officer was not
attending to the orders which
had been issued - is noticed
with dissatisfaction.

907. ^{WH}
11.9.77

District Engineer Ganjam
Examiner of P.W. Accounts
Marine Department
P.C. despatched to ^{in extract} ^{office} ¹⁷
Dist Dero 25.9.77

810.9.77

No 836
Govt. of (1877) Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To
M. J. Jayam

Dated

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 20 Sep. 1877, No. 757 W.

Nos. 153-154

Captain Farley The sum of
Rs 100 order to be paid to —
as remuneration for his services
in putting up light on the
Calicut point obelisk; and
the Range Officer & District Engineer
Consent

*Subd. Insp.
H. W. 27*

No 153-154