

(1) Number of pages 66

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	724 (W)	Press
		Misr
Dated	2 3	18 77

Not to be folded or roughly handled

33

**Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
2nd March 1877. No. 721.**

Read the following:—

No. 55.	From the District Engineer, South Arcot, dated 19th July 1876, No. 69.
No. 55-A.	From " " " " 70.
No. 55-B.	From " " " 4th Oct. " 127.
No. 55-C.	From " " " 3rd Feb. 1877, " 207.
No. 55-D.	From " " " 14th " " 211.
No. 55-E.	From " " " 19th " " 213.

No. 56. ORDER THEREON, 2nd March 1877, No. 724.

CIVIL WORKS, No. 11.

(a) *Heads of Service.*—Provincial.
Fund.—Ordinary.
Class.—Original Works.
Main-head.—Civil Buildings.
Sub-head.—A, Judicial Department.
Section.—1, Court-houses.
Name of Work.—Constructing a Sub-Magistrate's Cut-
 cherry and Subsidiary Jail at Oolundoorpet.
Amount.—Rupees 7,270.
 To be carried out by the Public Works Department.

AN estimate of Rs. 6,230 for con-
 structing a Sub-Magistrate's Cutcherry
 with Subsidiary Jail at Oolundoorpet in
 the South Arcot District was sanctioned
 in G.O., No. 1,163, of 2nd May 1874.
 The District Engineer now submits a
 revised estimate of Rupees 7,270, exclu-
 sive of Rupees 62 to be recovered from

Overseer Venkatarangum Pillay—an advance of 16½ per cent. over and above the
 original estimate. The cause of the increase is stated to be due to the out-of-the-way
 locality and to rise in rates of labour. The officer in charge of the range from the
 commencement of the work was Lieutenant Wiffen, whom Government hold to be
 responsible, and to whose neglect they consider much of the delay in the completion
 of the work, and the irregularities brought to light in the correspondence above
 recorded, to be due.

2. With these remarks the revised estimate of Rupees 7,270 is sanctioned.

*G.O., No. 421, of 28th February 1877.

3. Funds have been appropriated to
 the work in other orders*

4. This sanction has been registered as Provincial No. 258—W of 1876-77.

(True Extract.)

Colonel,
 Acting Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.,
 Buildings and Roads Branch.

To the District Engineer, South Arcot.
 " Examiner of Public Works Accounts.
 " Judicial Department.

*Sub-Magistrate's Cutcherry with Subsidiary
 Jail at Oolundoorpet in the South Arcot Dis-
 trict.*—The revised estimate of Rupees 7,270
 for constructing a —, sanctioned with
 remarks.

Ed. S. T. Austin.

N^o 176

From.

Cuddalore Divisional Office, S. A.

Cuddalore 16 June 1876.

3

The Officer in charge of
Cuddalore Division
South Arcot

To.

The District Engineer
South Arcot.

Enclosures 6.

1. Petition of 7th May
1876 from Alottian

Sir.

1. do. of 13th June 1876

from Ramajayam
Mistrey.

1. do. of 16th May 1876 from
Appasaamy.

1. do. of 11th May 1876 from

Seemadusamy to Queerur Kooppasawmy Alottian.

1. Letter from Saluguram
Venkateswamy Alottian.

1. do. N^o 5
28.5.76 from

Queerur Kooppasawmy Alottian.

In obedience to your order N^o 178

of 20th May 1876, I suspended over the

Venkateswamy Alottian on the 23rd idem, he

is now I believe still giving over charge.

2. With reference to various complaints

against him, I have the honor to state

that I have enquired into the same, I enclose

all the petitions with this letter.

1. from Alottian late Laccas under the

Saluguram at Sriekaloor, as this was

only a general complaint I merely asked the

man if he wrote the petition and if his

witnesses were present, he stated he

wrote the petition and that his witnesses

were present, as they had also made petition.

I put his petition in one side.

N. 2 is from Temporary Maistry Rama Jeyam, who acknowledges to writing the petition enclosed, he states that the overseer paid only 14 annas for $2\frac{1}{2}$ basams of firewood but charged in the accounts 12 annas per basam, to this he brings two witnesses who corroborated his statement, on asking the Overseer for an explanation he states that on account of there being so much prickly heat on the land he had to get extra coolies, this to a certain extent might have been true, but on my inspection of the land I found that all trees free from the prickly heat had been cut down, and those growing in the same were left standing, the rates throughout the Range is as follows,

10 annas per handy load paid to Government
6 annas for cutting & loading, the distance being below a mile for cartage 2 annas per handy load although in excess I allow as the road is uneven, making a total of 14 annas, each handy is supposed to bring in 2 basams = 1000 lbs. which makes the value of the wood 7 annas per basam and not 12 charged. I enclose overseer's explanation.

N. 3.

N. 3. is a petition from Appaswamy Contractor for Deputy Collector's cutcherry.

With reference to his Complaint entered in para 2 I am not certain of the extra money for shed has been charged, as the accounts do not show on what particular shed expenditure was made, and there have been three I believe ~~there~~ erected, one at side of work, one at Buits field, and one for tiles.

This para 3. Overseer states he was only a paid Head Cooly, if this was the case why has not the tiles and bricks already moulded been burnt and brought into accounts, Overseer has charged for the cooly hire for making, but is unable to give over the material as the man claims for the same.

I can find no entry in Leach Book for R. 115-14-4 unless it is for the coolies paid for making tiles Bricks &c, I have inspected the building several times, but fail to find any head material.

With reference to Churnam & bricks being taken from the Cutcherry for other works the overseer acknowledges to this, and that he also had received payment for the same

Leach

but had not brought the same to account.
as he states he intended to purchase and
replace which has not been done up to date,
Overseers Leoprosawmy reports (report enclosed)
that there is 162½ parabs of lime and
91,712 Bricks short from actual expendi-
ture on the Deputy Collector's Cutcherry.

No 4. With reference to the Petition
from the Seroomaduray ryots with re-
ference to their spring channel, I believe
Mr. Ashutosh has remeasured the work,
and the only difference he finds is Rs 6-7-6,
it is for this report that I have delayed
reporting the whole case to you.

3. I believe that the overseers are still
giving & taking charge of the Salug, Overseers
Leoprosawmy is going into the whole account
thoroughly.

I have &c.
(Signed) G. C. Wiffen
Assistant Engineer
(True Copy)

 Major R. E.
District Engineer

D. P. W.
SOUTH ARCOT.

No. 69

DISTRICT ENGINEER'S OFFICE.

Camp Cuddalore
Dated 19 July 1876.

From

The District Engineer,

South Arcot.

To

The

Secretary to Government
D. P. W.

Enclosures.

1 Copy of
letter from
Mr. Wiffen

Sir,

I have the honor to report
that in May 76 Mr. Wiffen Assistant
Engineer in charge of No 1 Range
brought to my notice that Talook
Overseer N. Venkatarangam Pillai had
made away with some materials of
the Dy. Collector's Cutcherry. I
therefore suspended him and direct-
ed Mr. Wiffen to send in charges
against him, this he did not do until
15 June and I enclose a copy of his
letter it is not plain or satisfactory.

I went to Trichalore as soon as I
could to make inquiries for myself and
regretted to find the matter much more
important than reported and that
there was heavy losses in the works of
the Dy. Collector's Cutcherry at
Trichalore and Sub. Magistrate's
Cutcherry at Colowdoorpet, these as
far

far as I can trace them occurred some time ago and are chiefly in the preparation of materials and also in the item of labor &c. and I cannot look on the Overseer as responsible for all of them as he took charge only in September last and previous to this part of the loss must have occurred. I regret to add that the accounts are not well kept and that owing to the Work Register not being kept up to date I have great difficulty in tracing them.

2. These two works were in the Sub division under the immediate charge of Sergeant Stewart, Mr. Arbuthnot and now Mr. Bird. as far as I can judge Mr. Kiffen did not consider that it was his duty to look into the accounts but thought that it was Sub officer's duty.

3. Mr. Arbuthnot took charge from Sergeant Stewart he did not report anything regarding deficiencies of materials or excesses of expenditure and as far as I can judge he did not examine his Overseer's accounts.

4. The result is that according to

to the accounts there ought to be at the Dy. Collector's Cutcherry material at site to the value of ⁹ Rs 703.1.10 of this Rs 190.6 have to be charged to different items — ^{value to Rs 73.8.0 as per in hand} leaving, Rs. 439.9.4 for which there is no value, the Overseer asserts that the account of material at site in September when he took charge was incorrect that there had been a great loss in Bricks owing to bad firing, rain &c. and that the kilns of Burnt bricks handed over to him proved almost useless, this is true but it appears that no one at the time took notice of it.

5. At Colcondorp the case is somewhat different as the excess is chiefly in labor and as far as I can see the excess is about Rs 200 but until the accounts are fully made up I cannot report positively.

6. The Overseer pleads youth and inexperience and considering ^{that} evidently he was not properly supervised and was and is perfectly unfit for a heavy charge I cannot but consider

there

there is some reason in his plea at the same time he confesses to having used some of the lines of the Lutchery on other works (28 paras) and I now find about 6 months ago, he ordered an abandoned thangal or small tank to be repaired without authority and his father made an application for land under it to the Revenue authorities as this is the case I do not think it right to give him an opportunity of retrieving his character in this District and would therefore propose that his services should be dispensed with. Should Government not consider him worthy of dismissal.

7. I regret that all the works in Trichalore Taluq are in an unsatisfactory state and I fear that more of them will have to be brought to the notice of Government.

8. The Sub division was until 14th July 75 under charge of Sergeant Stewart who had to be removed. Mr. Arbutnotte then took charge he neglected his work and was in

June 76

June 76 transferred to Tanjore Mr. Bird is now in charge. he is of course utterly inexperienced and does not know the language, as I have now ~~the~~ District and Range I cannot assist him as much as he should be and owing to the state of the Taluk he has difficulties

I have the honor to be
Sir

your most obedient servant

M. Mund
District Engineer
Major R.E.

P. H. 1876 Sept
No 5-199

Ch. M. 1558 21st Nov 1876

SOUTH ARCOT D. P. W.
From The District Engineer
South Arcot
To The Secretary to Government
D. P. W.
Dated 19th July 76
Despatched 19th July 76
Received 22 July 76

No. 69
Enclosure 11

Respecting the iron
= conduct of the iron
= A. Venkatarangam. P. H.
and under the circumstances
= it is recommended that the
= necessary be done
= with, and also having
= to make that all the work
= in the iron work
= in an satisfactory state.

No 55-

as 1.3.77
Despatched to Oct 22-7-76.

D. P. W.
SOUTH ARCOT.

No. 70

DISTRICT ENGINEER'S OFFICE.

Camp Lendelore
Dated 19th July 1876.

From

The District Engineer,

South Arcot.

To

The

Chief Engineer
D. P. W.

Enclosures.

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to your notice that the work of Colundorpat Lendelore is in an unsatisfactory state and I have had to condemn the iron doors and gratings in the Sub Jail as they are made of $\frac{3}{4}$ iron bars placed 6" from centre to centre in the place of 1 1/2 inches as ordered in G. O. No 2309 of 18 Sept 73 and also the hinges and fastening are so weak that some of them have already given way. These were made up at Pandicherry on Mr. Wiffen's order at a cost of Rs 240 - the probable loss on them will be Rs 120.

2. The roof as estimated is of palmyrah resting on teak purlins, that over the jail has been put up of palmyrah and the span is 15 ft and I have

have directed it to remain.

3. Palmyrah Canples have been prepared for the rest of the Building (except the

Cook room) and it was proposed by Mr

Wiffin to leave out the ^{I have directed the put line} put line, which are

4" x 1 1/2" to be placed under the lower couple;

this will, I hope, make a fair roof

the span is 16 ft.

4. I regret to say that mistakes

were made in ordering the woodwork and

there will be wastage in consequence.

I do not anticipate however much loss

in this.

5. There were a large quantity of

palmyrah rafters & creepers sent out

that have had to be rejected, these

were supplied in March 1874 but the

accounts are still open & unsettled;

I hope to be able to settle this soon.

6. The excess in the masonry has been

already reported in my letter to you of 19th

Instant.

100 5199

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Yours most obedient

W. R. Mead Major, M. E.,

District Engineer

8018-9-73 No 2309
- 2-5-74 - 1163-

P. W. 1876 Dept
No 5200

Proceeding

Ch No 1588 of 2nd Nov 1876

Owing to notice
the master factory
of the Colonization
factory

SOUTH ARCOT D. P. W.
 From
 The District Engineer
 South Arcot
 To
 The Chief Engineer
 Date 19th
 Despatched 19th
 Received 22nd
 No. 70
 Enclosures
 July 1876

No 55-a

Depot 22-7-76
No 55-27

INSTEAD OF 'PROVINCIAL'.

$$\frac{24}{28} \frac{2}{3}$$
 c.

28/3/77 17
 Subj. 1876
 1876

The following is a list of
 the names of the persons
 who have been appointed
 to the various posts in
 the Department of
 the Public Works
 and the names of the
 persons who have been
 appointed to the various
 posts in the Department
 of the Public Works

W
 203

The following is a list of
 the names of the persons
 who have been appointed
 to the various posts in
 the Department of
 the Public Works
 and the names of the
 persons who have been
 appointed to the various
 posts in the Department
 of the Public Works

The following is a list of
 the names of the persons
 who have been appointed
 to the various posts in
 the Department of
 the Public Works
 and the names of the
 persons who have been
 appointed to the various
 posts in the Department
 of the Public Works

30.3.

GOVT.
OF MADRAS.

187

{ P. W.
DEPT.

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

Madras, 28TH. MARCH. 1877.

OFFICE NOTE.

C Mo 850 d/31st March.

To

B.

IN GOVERNMENT ORDER NO. 724

OF THE 2ND. MARCH 1877, THE WORK AS

SUB-MAGISTRATE'S
CUTCHERRY AND SUB-
SIDIARY JAIL AT
COLOONDOORPETT.PER MARGIN IS
CLASSED AS 'PRO-
VINCIAL'. BUT

KEEP-WITH.

Genl. No.

dated

187

THE EXPENDITURE ON THE WORK SINCE
ITS COMMENCEMENT HAS BEEN DEBITED
TO CONVICT LABOR FUNDS AGAINST AP-
PROPRIATIONS MADE FROM THAT SOURCE.
VIDE G.O.NO. 1543 DATED 20TH. JUNE 76
AND G.O.NO. 421 DATED 29TH. FEBRUARY
1877.

2. IF THE WORK IS NOW TO BE
CLASSED UNDER 'PROVINCIAL', THE PRE-
VIOUS OUTLAY WILL HAVE TO BE WRIT-
TEN BACK TO THE DEBIT OF THAT HEAD
AND APPROPRIATIONS TO THE REQUIRED
EXTENT MADE TO MEET THE DEBIT. I
WOULD SUGGEST THEREFORE THE ESTI-
MATE BE SANCTIONED AS 'SPECIAL'

C Mo 850 d/31st March 1877

5199
5200
7105
- 70 - 19
- 127 - 4th Oct 1876

The former letter report the work
has been done. (S. O. No. 1163 of 2nd Aug
1876) for making
down with some materials of
the by letter (S. O. No. 1163 of 2nd Aug
1876) - These change is further
simplified with

These letters relate to the work in con-
nection with the by letter (S. O. No. 1163 of 2nd Aug
1876) at Trichalore, & Sub. Magistrate
Cutcheray at Colondoorpett,
5th of the Trichalore, S. O. No. 1163 of 2nd Aug
1876 of Trichalore, S. O. No. 1163 of 2nd Aug
1876) has been done. S. O. No. 1163 of 2nd Aug
1875; the former work has
been done in S. O. No. 1163 of 2nd Aug
1876; the latter in S. O. No. 1163 of 2nd Aug
1876, and the statement & on the
having been for S. O. No. 1163 of 2nd Aug
1876.

Sanction	Amount	Expenditure	Genl.
Trichalore	4500	2847	1875-76
Cutcheray	1600	880	1876-77
Colondoorpett		3821	1876-77
Trichalore		1441	1875-76
Cutcheray		520	1876-77

It is not stated what overseers were in charge before Sept. 1875, though the committee that part of the expenses must have been incurred prior to that date. -

To that date - Proceedings of the
Mr. Wilson speaks as if he had been the
Sergeant of the the
stated that he had thought it his
duty to look into the accounts of
the work, holding the the
Officers responsible for the
losses. They have not been kept
kept, the Work Register will not
be maintained up to the present
it is not stated in the from the
first movable for it is not
Supervisor Sergeant Stewart D. H. H. H.
charge of the D. H. H. H.
March 1874 till 14th July 1875; then Mr.
Supervisor D. H. H. H. till June
1876. The latter reported no de-
ficiencies of material, or excess
of expenditure in relieving Sergeant
Stewart; but there is an entire
omission to state when the loss
of brick from the building, having
occurred, or who is accountable
for the use of burnt brick handed
over to D. H. H. H. D. H. H. H.
In fact no attempt whatever has

been made. It is
 incumbent on the person. The chief
 answerable for the
 the deficiency of ~~money~~ ²¹
 \$439-9-4 source that
 account of maintenance for the Pukah
 Litching, for in excess of about
 \$200 in labor, changed among
 the Voluntary Litching -
 Under all circumstances, as it
 is expected that the Pukah will be
 for which, however, Pukah will be
 Pilling, can be proved answerable
 and he there for. Directly that he
 be allowed to return to duty, but
 without receiving of any looking
 no salary, and that he be named
 to ~~be named~~ ^{as to his} ~~in the~~ ^{in the}

The Offg. Dist. Surg.

*By the Dist. Surg. - And he will now
be instructed to close no more, in
no further communication with the
General Sub Dir C Officer, in sending
in revised forms for both work, with
statements of progress as clearly as*

[illegible]

Major Mearns asked the Group:
A strict time table in getting
things right, and getting rid
of sicknesses or inefficient men,
he must take more particu-
lar notice complete informa-
tion as to all details. Then he
has done in the past, I understand.

Statement showing the strength and distribution of Officers and Subordinates employed in the South Arcot District for the Quarter ending 30th September 1876 25

Nature of charge.	Name.	Rank.	On what duty employed.	If recommended, grounds of recommendation for promotion.
South Arcot District.....	Major H.R. Mead R.E.	Ex: Engineer 1 st Grade	In charge of the District, Head Quarters, Cuddalore.	Also in charge of Cuddalore Division from 28 th June to 25 th September 1876
RANGES.				
Cuddalore.....	Deputy Asst. Commr. G.E. Wiffen	Assistant Engineer 1 st Grade	In charge of No. 1 or Cuddalore Range, Head Quarters, Cuddalore.	Returned from 3 months Privilege leave on 25 th September 1876.
Tindivanam.....	Capt. (De. Commr.) J. Graham	Ex: Engineer 4 th Grade	No. 2 or Tindivanam Range, Head Quarters, Tindivanam.	
Virdachellum.....	S. Sookroyachariar B.C.E.	Assistant Engineer 2 nd Grade	No. 3 or Virdachellum Range, Head Quarters, Virdachellum.	
SUB-DIVISION.				
Cuddalore.....	Mr. W.L. Bird cor:	do do	In charge of the Sub-Division, Cuddalore Range.	
Virdachellum.....	Mr. A.D. Maclean cor:	do 3 rd do	Do. Do. Virdachellum Range.	Relieved Supt. Stewart on 7 th Sept 1876 On sick leave for 2 months from 11 th Sept 1876.
TALUQS.				
Cuddalore.....	Color Supt. C. Stewart	Supervisor 2 nd Grade	Employed in No. 1 or Cuddalore Range.	
Trichalore.....	Mr. R.A. Salisbury	Overseer 2 nd Grade	Do. No. 1 or Do. Do.	
Villaparam.....	D. Coopersamy Mudali	do 3 rd do	Do. No. 1 or Do. Do.	Recommended for promotion on account of intelligence and good work; this is the 3 rd quarterly statement in which he has been recommended.
Tindivanam.....	J. Panchaprasad Iyer	do 3 rd do	Do. No. 1 or Do. Do.	
Trinomalay.....	C. Deorasamy Mudali	do 3 rd do	Do. No. 2 or Do. Do.	
Virdachellum.....	J. Kanagaraja Mudali	do 2 nd do	Do. No. 2 or Do. Do.	
Chellumbrum.....	Abdul Latif Saib	do 2 nd do	Do. No. 3 or Virdachellum Do.	
Kullakurchi.....	C. Venasaamy Aidi	do 3 rd do	Do. No. 3 or Do. Do.	
Extra	C. Kuppraman Pillai	do 2 nd do	Do. No. 3 or Do. Do.	
	N. Venkatarangam Pillai	do 3 rd do	Do. No. 3 or Do. Do.	
	C. Subramanian Iyer	do 3 rd do	Do. No. 3 or Do. Do.	
	N. Venkatarangam Pillai	Acting do 2 nd Grade		Under suspension from 23 rd May 1876. Vide letter to Govt. of 19 th July 1876 to Govt. Transferred to this District in the Gazette of 19 th Sept. 1876, but not yet joined.

District Engineer's Office, South Arcot
Cuddalore 4th October 1876.

To

The Chief Engineer,

D. P. W.

M. Mead

Major R.E.

District Engineer.

D. P. W.
SOUTH ARCOT.

No 127

DISTRICT ENGINEER'S OFFICE.

Camp *Cuddalore*
Dated *4th October*, 1876.

From

The District Engineer,
South Arcot.

To

The *Secretary to Government,*
D. P. W.

Enclosures

Sir,

I have the honor to request
that orders may be issued on my
letter no 69 dated 19th July especially on
the 6th para as overruler Vankutramam
Pillai is under suspension and has
been so now for nearly 5 months.
I am anxious therefore that the
man's case may be settled.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obed^t serv^t

M. P. W. Major, R.E.
District Engineer

From
The District Engineer,
South Arcot.
To
The Chief Engineer,
D. P. W.
Dated
Despatched
Received
4th October 1876

Statement showing the strength and
distribution of Officers and Subordinates
employed in the South Arcot District for
the quarter ending 30th Sept^r 1876

20.16.1.72 No 124 mis
 " 26.4.72 257 -
 " 22.5.72 187 -
 " 21.11.72 2735 -
 " 2.2.75 292 -
 " 26.2.75 211 mis
 " 16.4.75 207 -
 " 2.5.74 1163 -
 " 19.5.74 1338 -
 " 20.1.76 123 -

21 Ret. S. Arcot. 30 Sept/76.

P.W.D.
 600176
 No 7156

Desd 2 to Oct 6-10-76.

Ch No 1588 d/c Nov 1876

Enclosure -
 1. 1/2 May under the
 2. 1/2 May under the
 3. 1/2 May under the
 4. 1/2 May under the
 5. 1/2 May under the
 6. 1/2 May under the
 7. 1/2 May under the
 8. 1/2 May under the
 9. 1/2 May under the
 10. 1/2 May under the
 11. 1/2 May under the
 12. 1/2 May under the
 13. 1/2 May under the
 14. 1/2 May under the
 15. 1/2 May under the
 16. 1/2 May under the
 17. 1/2 May under the
 18. 1/2 May under the
 19. 1/2 May under the
 20. 1/2 May under the
 21. 1/2 May under the
 22. 1/2 May under the
 23. 1/2 May under the
 24. 1/2 May under the
 25. 1/2 May under the
 26. 1/2 May under the
 27. 1/2 May under the
 28. 1/2 May under the
 29. 1/2 May under the
 30. 1/2 May under the
 31. 1/2 May under the
 32. 1/2 May under the
 33. 1/2 May under the
 34. 1/2 May under the
 35. 1/2 May under the
 36. 1/2 May under the
 37. 1/2 May under the
 38. 1/2 May under the
 39. 1/2 May under the
 40. 1/2 May under the
 41. 1/2 May under the
 42. 1/2 May under the
 43. 1/2 May under the
 44. 1/2 May under the
 45. 1/2 May under the
 46. 1/2 May under the
 47. 1/2 May under the
 48. 1/2 May under the
 49. 1/2 May under the
 50. 1/2 May under the
 51. 1/2 May under the
 52. 1/2 May under the
 53. 1/2 May under the
 54. 1/2 May under the
 55. 1/2 May under the
 56. 1/2 May under the
 57. 1/2 May under the
 58. 1/2 May under the
 59. 1/2 May under the
 60. 1/2 May under the
 61. 1/2 May under the
 62. 1/2 May under the
 63. 1/2 May under the
 64. 1/2 May under the
 65. 1/2 May under the
 66. 1/2 May under the
 67. 1/2 May under the
 68. 1/2 May under the
 69. 1/2 May under the
 70. 1/2 May under the
 71. 1/2 May under the
 72. 1/2 May under the
 73. 1/2 May under the
 74. 1/2 May under the
 75. 1/2 May under the
 76. 1/2 May under the
 77. 1/2 May under the
 78. 1/2 May under the
 79. 1/2 May under the
 80. 1/2 May under the
 81. 1/2 May under the
 82. 1/2 May under the
 83. 1/2 May under the
 84. 1/2 May under the
 85. 1/2 May under the
 86. 1/2 May under the
 87. 1/2 May under the
 88. 1/2 May under the
 89. 1/2 May under the
 90. 1/2 May under the
 91. 1/2 May under the
 92. 1/2 May under the
 93. 1/2 May under the
 94. 1/2 May under the
 95. 1/2 May under the
 96. 1/2 May under the
 97. 1/2 May under the
 98. 1/2 May under the
 99. 1/2 May under the
 100. 1/2 May under the

South Arcot D. P. W.
 From
 The District Engineer
 South Arcot.
 To
 The Secy to Govt
 Dated 1.11.76
 Despatched 4.11.76
 Received 11.11.76

N 55-6 11

N 55-13

Ch 1.2.77

No 682
 No 1 or Buddalore Range office South Arcot
 Camp Thorkumbakuram
 Dated 29th January 1877

From
 The officer in charge of
 No 1 or Buddalore Range, South Arcot
 To
 The District Engineer
 South Arcot

In continuation of my letter No 570 of
 the 23rd December 1876 and in answer to
 your No 555 of the 8th Instant, I have
 the honor to inform you that the work was
 first commenced by Overseer Panchapagasa
 Sijet under supervisor Manickam Menda-
 lian and Serjeant Stewart and he put
 down 112 Cys of foundation; the work was
 then taken over by Overseer Mr Salisbury
 under supervisor Serjeant Stewart, it was
 during this time that the masonry is done to
 masonry, owing as I have before reported
 in my letter above quoted, to clay for
 bricks having to be brought a long distance

by cart and to the great difficulty in procuring labor at the site, and importing labor from Bundalore and elsewhere.

2. With reference to your remarks as to the Iron doors, I have the honor to state, that the doors and gratings were inspected by late District Engineer, Messrs Sage and Norford, also by the collector, Mr. Garsden who passed the same, they are weak but according to the old Plan sanctioned by Government.

3. As to Leaky wood, Palmyra and Reepers, there is no excess in Leaky, there is a saving in the Estimate.

4. The excess since your inspection last is owing to the completion of the building of the two Latrines and Leaky house these were done under Mr. Bird's supervision and Overseer Coosiooraiy Mudaliar I have called on both for explanation why the excess; Mr. Bird naturally looks to the Overseer who has

by his careless habits allowed the work to be exceeded, and Mr. Bird being going to the work did not give that amount of supervision to the work he should have done, consequently the loss, this was while I was on leave.

5. As to my not looking after the sub-Divisional officer, I beg to refer you to my journal. I always make it a point of duty to go into every account of work I inspect whenever I inspect, if I did not as you seem to think, why have I reported discrepancies so often in my Reports to you and your predecessors. I brought Supervisor Serjeant Stewart's conduct to both you and Mr. Norford's notice with reference to certain false charges brought against me, in my letters Nos 241, 242 & 243 of the 6th July 1875 but up to date no notice whatever has been taken of them although it has been proved by Mr. Norford, Mr. Sage and now by yourself that the charges he brought against

me were entirely false. I respectfully beg to state that when a subordinate brings such charges as serjant Steward brought against me they should have been enquired into and not have been passed over to my detriment, the whole can now be fully investigated as none of the works are yet completed on which he said I have paid hundreds of Rupees and for which no materials had been received.

P.W.D.
165877
1628

I have &c
/Signed/ J. E. Wiffens
Assistant Engineer
/Enc. Copy/

M. R. C. Major. R. C.
District Engineer

P. 22. March 77 J. B. L. 55

Enclosure in letter
No 211 from the District
Engineer South Arcot
to the Secretary to
Government D. P. M.

No 570

No 1 or Buddalore Range office South Arcot
Camp Vaniamadav 33
Dated 23rd December 1876.

From

This officer in charge of
No 1 or Buddalore Range, South Arcot
To
The District Engineer
South Arcot

Sir

Enclosure
Comparative
Statement

Your No 93 of 3rd May 1876.

In forwarding a comparative statement for the Colundoorpet Sub Magistrate's Cutcherry, I have the honor to state the reason for the excess of Rupees 160-7-3.

1st Oak roofers are substituted instead of Palmyrah on account of there being no good Palmyrah roofers to be purchased in the District this adds 200-0-0 to the item Roofing, which at 4-0-0 per cubic foot exclusive of cart hire brings the rate of roofing up to 30-11-1.

The 2nd item is Iron Doors, the doors which are at

at present placed in the building are too slight. they are made from the old standard Plan and have now to be removed, 6 Doors at Rs 40. 240, the old Doors can be sold and will fetch at least Rupees 20 each, or the iron can be utilized in the making up of the new doors, this will show as a saving on completion of work as it is impossible now to say what it will come to.

Item No. 3. Brick in chunnain, the excess was caused by the mind for all Bricks made having to be carted from a tank bed nearly 2 miles from the work, there being no mud fit for bricks within that distance, and having to import all skilled labor from a distance also to having to build Lime Kilns, and to keep the labor imported on the work which rose the price of the lime.

Item No. 4. Rough stone in chunnain. The excess caused by the Estimate not allowing for the rise and fall of the ground, and to lime being dead, owing to the above reasons, also

also to having to import skilled labor from Villapuram as hitherto no rubble work had been built in this portion of the District. Item 5 and 6 need no comment, it being a clerical error in multiplying in the Estimate Item No. 7. The rate allowed for the Fawlight in Estimate is far too small Rupees 10, the size of which is 7-6 x 3-6 with glass windows and fixings ropes &c.

Item No. 8. Plastering to Cook house, the excess is owing to Querset Ventrakurungum Pillay having overcharged for the work done.

Item No. 9. Materials lost, this amount is to be recovered from Querset Ventrakurungum Pillay. I trust you will find this explanation satisfactory. I am sorry the work has been so long on hand, the reason for the same is that Serjeant Stewart and Mr. Arbutnotte, the sub Divisional officers who had charge of the work took no interest in this or any other work under their orders. I did my best by constantly writing and speaking but

but to no effect.

I am glad to say that the work is now in better progress under Assistant Engineer Mr. Bird. All materials for completion is on hand which I have personally got myself, and therefore there need be no hindrance to the completion of the work out of hand.

3. As you are aware the site selected is in an out of the way place where no labor is obtainable, and I have therefore had to import the work going on only now and then the sub Divisional officers not looking to the same and not paying up the coolies regularly has caused me a great deal of trouble in having to replace labor again and again from other parts of the District, under these circumstances I trust you will exonerate me from blame, as had I good sub. Divisional officers or even good Overseers in Trichalore the

the work would have been completed long since, as you are aware there³⁷ has been much larger works in the Killaipuram and Kuddalore Taluqs in which there has been no delay or excess.

Edmund W.
Signed by E. Wiffen
Assistant Engineer

M. M. Major. R.E.
District Engineer

South arcot District Madras Division
Comparative Statement showing the difference between the Original and Revised estimates for
Constructing a Sub Magistrate's Quarters with out-houses at Colondampet.

[illegible]

South coast District Auditor's Division
 Comparative Statement showing the difference between the Original and Revised estimates for
 Constructing a Pub. Magistrate's Outcherry with out-house at Colondorpat.

Description of work	Original Estimate			Work actually done			Work remaining to be done			Revised Estimate			Increase		Decrease		Balance re-quiring same	Remarks	
	Quantity	Rate	Amount	Quantity	Rate	Amount	Quantity	Rate	Amount	Quantity	Rate	Amount	Quantity	Amount	Quantity	Amount			
<u>Lathrie for Males</u>																			
Rough stone in chunam $4\frac{2}{3}$	28	11	108	$4\frac{2}{3}$	3	54	14	2	28	$4\frac{2}{3}$	3	54	14	2	28	77			
Brick in chunam $14\frac{8}{7}$	3		42	14	$2\frac{1}{2}$	53	10	$\frac{1}{2}$	74	$14\frac{8}{7}$	3	42	14	5	79	15	3	As per remarks to Main building	
Plastering 1 coat & white washing $9\frac{1}{10}$	11		15	5	8		$9\frac{1}{10}$	11		15	5	8	$9\frac{1}{10}$	11		15	5		
Flooring with wall Bricks $\frac{3}{4}$	2		1	8			$\frac{3}{4}$	2		1	8		$\frac{3}{4}$	2		1	8		
Asphalting the floor 2	16		32				2	16		32			2	16		32			
Honey comb work $\frac{3}{10}$	6		1	12	$\frac{3}{10}$	20	14	$8\frac{2}{3}$	6	$\frac{3}{10}$	20	14	$8\frac{2}{3}$	6	4	5	4	7	
Roofing with Palmyrah rafters $2\frac{1}{2}$	20		50	$2\frac{1}{2}$	27	3	$0\frac{2}{5}$	68	4	$2\frac{1}{2}$	27	3	$0\frac{2}{5}$	68	4	10	18	4	The excess is owing to the high rate of labor paid for carpenter & other skilled labour
Teak wood wrought & put up 11	3	8	38	8	11	3	$7\frac{1}{4}$	35	10	11	3	$7\frac{1}{4}$	35	10	8	2	13	4	
Excavating foundation 16	4	9		9	15					9	15				6	5	9		
	210			208	7	2				54	11		263	2	3	62	5	4	
<u>Lathrie for females</u>																			
Rough stone in chunam $4\frac{2}{3}$	28	11	108	$4\frac{2}{3}$	3	54	14	2	28	$4\frac{2}{3}$	3	54	14	2	28	77			
Brick in chunam $14\frac{8}{7}$	3		42	14	$2\frac{1}{2}$	53	10	$\frac{1}{2}$	74	$14\frac{8}{7}$	3	42	14	5	79	15	3	As per remarks to Main building	
Plastering 1 coat & white washing $9\frac{1}{10}$	11		15	5	8		$9\frac{1}{10}$	11		15	5	8	$9\frac{1}{10}$	11		15	5		
Flooring with wall Bricks $\frac{3}{4}$	2		1	8			$\frac{3}{4}$	2		1	8		$\frac{3}{4}$	2		1	8		
Asphalting the floor 2	16		32				2	16		32			2	16		32			
Honey Comb work $\frac{3}{10}$	6		1	12	$\frac{3}{10}$	20	14	$8\frac{2}{3}$	6	$\frac{3}{10}$	20	14	$8\frac{2}{3}$	6	4	5	4	7	
Roofing with Palmyrah rafters $2\frac{1}{2}$	20		50	$2\frac{1}{2}$	27	3	$0\frac{2}{5}$	68	4	$2\frac{1}{2}$	27	3	$0\frac{2}{5}$	68	4	10	18	4	As per remarks to Lathrie for Males
Teak wood wrought & put up 11	3	8	38	8	11	3	$7\frac{1}{4}$	35	10	11	3	$7\frac{1}{4}$	35	10	8	2	13	4	
Excavating foundation 16	4	9		9	15					9	15				6	5	9		
	210			199	13	3				54	11		254	8	4	53	11	5	

South Arcot District Cuddalore Division
 Comparative Statement showing the difference between the Original and Revised estimates for
 Constructing a Sub-Stationary Butchery with out-house at Cuddalore.

Description of work	Original Estimate			Work actually done			Work remaining to be done			Revised Estimate			Increase		Decrease		Balance as going concern	Remarks
	Quantity	Rate	Amount	Quantity	Rate	Amount	Quantity	Rate	Amount	Quantity	Rate	Amount	Quantity	Amount	Quantity	Amount		
<u>Cook room</u>																		
Rough stone in chumam cys 14 1/2	2	8	36	4	14 1/2	2	7	8	35	15	3	45	15	3			49	
Brick in chumam cys 24 1/4	3		72	12	24 1/4	3	15	4 1/2	96	10		100	10					
Heavy Com. work Bricks in chumam - square	1	6	6		1	10	10		10	10		10						
Flooring with sq. Bricks in chumam - square	1 1/2	8	12						1 1/2	8		12						
Roofing with palm leaf rafters 3 1/2	20		76		3 1/2	25	2	2 1/2	95	8	5	198	8	5				
Plastering 1 coat & white washing 8 3/4	1	11	15		3	6 1/4	4	7	11 1/2	28	1	28	1					
Teak wood wrought iron put up cys 2 1/2	3	8	8	12	2 1/2	3	7	4 1/4	8	1	8							
Earthwork cys 20 1/2	1		1	4	6	20 1/2			1	3								
Sundries			11	15	3				16	4	3							
Gate Door with masonry Pillars			240						291	12	6							
Compensation for land			80						80									
Removing debris & leveling ground			10						10									
Materials lost									44	2	4							
<u>Total Estimate</u>			6230						4857	3	4							
Materials at site																		
Deduct due to Contractor									add									
									1534	3	1							
Total expenditure up to date									6391	6	5							
									25									
									6366	6	5							
* Details for materials lost																		
500 Data Bricks			3	9	4													
53 Cast leaves of firewood			29	2														
3 1/2 cys of Portland cement			3	14														
Mortar			7	9														
Total			44	2	4													

As per remarks to Main Building.

As per remarks to Latrine for males.
 The whole of the work will be completed for the amount charged. The excess is owing to Bricks & Vencataram Pillay having done charge for the work.

Not allowed in Estimate to be recovered from owner Vencataram Pillay

Cuddalore District Office South Arcot
 Varamadavay 23 December 1896

/sd/ G. E. Woffen
 Asst Engr

/True Copy/

M. M. M. District Engineer

570 Country Bricks	2	2	3
51 Loads of chumam	69	15	3
7500 Portland	18	12	
30000 Flat do	10	11	8
10 Caudis of firewood	4	11	6
30 cys lime stone	15	2	3
15 1/2 Kiln Jar	6	1	
Asphalt	107	9	
451 Rafter	753	6	2
132 cys of Teakwood	431	8	
50 1/2 Tons rafters & repair nails	34	12	3
2000 Square Bricks	10		
Total	1534	3	1

No 211

District Engineer S. Arcot
Cuddalore 14th February 1877

41

From

The District Engineer
South Arcot

To

The Secretary to Government
D. P. W.

Enclosures

1. Copy of Comparative statement
2. Copies of letters

By

I have the honor to forward

the Comparative statement with copies of 2 letters from Lieutenant Wiffen as ordered in para 4 of the Chief Engineer Memo. No 1588 dated 2nd Nov 76

I regret the delay that has occurred and that also even now Lieut. Wiffen does not give all the informations called for, as it is however useless for me to hope to obtain more from Lieut. Wiffen I forward it as it is

2. The Oolondoorpet Cutcherry was sanctioned in G.O. No 1163 of 2nd

May

P.W.D.

15837A

No 1628

Enclosure letter
No 211 from the District
Engineer South Arcot
to the Secretary to
Government D. P. W.

South Arcot 21st 11

Enclosure Summary

Comparative statement
showing the difference between
the original and revised site
made for Constructing a
Sub Magistrate's Cutcherry
with all houses at Oolondoorpet

Amount of Estimate Rs 7270

P. 21. Inward 77 S. B. L. 50

1

May 1874 the estimate was prepared in
May 1873 for Rs 6230.

The site is out of the way and nearly
all materials and labor had to be taken
from Coimbatore; again owing to the con-
stant changes in the Sub division there
has been great delay in carrying out the
work.

3 Lt. Wiffen has been in charge of the
Range the whole time.

The Sub-officers have been

Marichand Mudaliar Supervisor up to 1st July/74

Syrt Stewart — from 15th March/74 to 14 July/75

Mr. Ashworth — 15th July/75 to 14 June/76

Mr. Bird, Asst Engineer — 14 June/76 to date

The Overseers have been

P. Panchaprasad Iyer from 1st July/73 to 3rd Dec/74

Mr. Salisbury — 5th Dec/74 to 26 June/75

Venkatasanjay Pillay — 24 June/75 to 22 May/76

D. Gopasamy Mudaliar — 22 May/76 to date

During the time there was no overseer,
the Sub officer was in charge.

4 The excess for which sanction

is now required is Rs 1040 and may be
divided off as follows — 43

(1) Masonry — Rs — 342

(2) Roofing — — 322

(3) Mistake in estimate — 194

(4) Iron doors — — 120

(5) Materials lost — — 62
1040

I have in this left out small savings
and excesses which call for no remarks.

5 With regard to (1) Masonry Rs 342

Lt. Wiffen reports that it was necessary

to cart the clay of which the Bricks

were made and also to bring all the

skilled labor from a distance, the

estimated rate for the work was Rs 3

per cent yd the cost has been about

Rs 3-12-0. there is no doubt but that

the estimated rate was too low, the

present data for this description of

work in Trichalore being Rs 3-10-11

and therefore taking into consideration

the facts of the case I cannot consider
the

the cost of the work excessive).

Item (2) Roofing Rs 322 of this Rs 67 is the extra cost of teakwood keepers used by my order as no good palmyrah ones were available leaving Rs 255 excess which may be accounted for by increased cost of roofing in consequence of difficulty in procuring labour and also for the extra cost of materials to which must be added, I consider, want of proper supervision.

Item (3) is from 2 mistakes in the estimate one of them a mistake of Rs 161.8.0 made in calculation and the other in the rate of Rs 10 for the fan light the size of which is $7\frac{1}{2} \times 3\frac{1}{2}$ ft.

Item (4) Iron doors - Rs 120

These doors as reported in my letter No 70 dated 19th July/76 I condemned as the bars of $\frac{3}{4}$ in. are placed 6" apart in place of $4\frac{1}{2}$ as ordered in G.O. No 2307 dated 18th Sept/73 and also because the hinges and fastenings were too weak, this is not the only

case

case in this and other districts that the iron doors have had to be replaced.

Item (5) Materials lost ⁴⁵ Rs 62

This is the amount that Lt. Wiffen considers should be paid by Overseer Venkatarangam Pillay Rs 44.2.4 on account of material missing and Rs 17.8.4 for an overcharge for labour.

6 I regret much the delay that has occurred in sending this supplemental estimate. Lt. Wiffen has been constantly called on to send it. I was unable to do so when he was away as the accounts were not made up and I did not know nor was there any one to tell me the cause of some of the excesses part of which I attributed to overcharge for labour.

7 I consider the increased rate for Brickwork as perfectly fair, that for Roofing would not have been so much had the work been carried out without delay, this was occasioned by

the

D. P. W.
SOUTH ARCOT.

No 213.

DISTRICT ENGINEER'S OFFICE.

Camp *Laddulone*

Dated *19th February* 1877.

From

The District Engineer,

South Arcot.

To

The *Chief Engineer*
D. P. W.

Enclosures

Sir,

It is of importance that the Sub Magistrate Catchery and subsidiary Jail at Colindroopet, and the Deputy Collector's Catchery at Tirukolone, which have been in progress for a long time, should be finished and handed over without any further delay; I have the honor to state that the Range Officer has been therefore instructed to proceed with these works vigorously and finish them before the end of March, in anticipation of the grant of additional money applied for for these in my statements.

P.W.D.

16 FEB 27

No 1628

From

The District Engineer
South Arcot

To

The Secretary to
Government D. P. W.

Replied 14th February 1877

No 211
Enclosures 3.

- Sub Magistrate's Jail
- Cherry at Colindroopet
- Forwarding Com-
- parative statement of
- with remarks thereon.

N^o 55-D.

Jan 1. 9. 77

statements of savings and demands
submitted on the 9th January 1877, and
of sanction to the revised estimates
submitted in my No. 207 of 3rd &
211 of 14th February 1877. I hope
that the course I have taken
will meet with your approval.

P.W.D.

21 FEB 77

No. 1818

7.2.77. 700 441.
20.1.76. " 123.
16.4.75. " 201. 1/2
19.5.74. " 1835
2.5.74. " 1163
27.11.73. " 179
18.9.73. " 2500
25.2.73. " 211 1/2
26.4.72. " 257
22.5.72. " 187

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obed^t servant

M. M. M. Major, R.E.

District Engineer

The Grants of additional
money applied for

N 55-1-55-8
N 55-6-17

Dated 19th 3rd February 1877
Despatched
Received

The District Engineer
South Arcot.

SOUTH ARCOT D. P. W.

ENCLOSURES

No. 213

The Grants of additional
money applied for
received with the works
of — and complete the
same before the end of
March in anticipation

No 1588 dated 2nd Nov 1876

Memorandum Public Works Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT 51

Under date the

Read the following:—

From
The District Engineer
South Arcot

do

do

do

do

(H.E. Dec 69 of 19th July 1876)

(H.E. Dec 69 of 19th July 1876)

(H.E. Dec 69 of 19th July 1876)

These letters relate
to losses in connection
with the Deputy Col.

Lectory Catcherry at
Srikalose, and Sub

Magistrate's Catcherry

at Colandoorpett, both

in the Srikalose Subok

whereof Venkataram

Pillay (season 1874)

was overseer from

Sept.

1876 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

1876 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

1876 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12

September 1875; the former work having been sanctioned in No. 2735 of 21st November 1872, the latter in No. 1163 of 2nd May 1874, and the allotment and outlay having been as follows viz.

Work.	Sanction	Allotment	Expenditure	Year
Trikalare butchery	4500	4500	2847	1875-76
		1600	880	1876-77
Colundoorpett butchery	6230	2600	3821	1874-75
		2000	1441	1875-76
		"	520	1876-77

2/ It is not stated what Overseers were in charge before.

* Expenditure previous to 1874-75.
do do 1874-75.
do do 1875-76.
do do 1876-77.

before September 1875, though it is admitted that part of the losses must have been incurred previous to that date.

3/ Mr. Wiffen appears to have been Range Officer throughout, but is stated not to have thought it his duty to look into the accounts of the works, holding the Sub-Divisional officers responsible for the same. They have not been properly kept, the book

Work Register not having
been maintained up
to date; but it is
not stated who is
first answerable
for its neglect. Super-
visor Sergeant Stewart
had charge of the Sub-
division from March 1874
till 14th July 1875; then
Mr. Supervisor R.
Arbuthnot till June
1876. The latter reported
no deficiencies of mate-
rials, or excess of ex-
penditure on relieving
Sergeant Stewart.
but there is an entire
omission

omission to state when
the loss of bricks from
bad firing rain &c.
occurred, or who is an-
swerable for the useless
burnt bricks handed
over to Venkatramam.
In fact no attempt
whatever has been made
to identify the persons
answerable for the
deficiency of Rs 439-9-4
on account of materials
for the Trikalare
Butcherry, or in excess
of about Rs 200 for
labour charged against
the Colundoot Butcherry
H. M. S.

4. Under all circumstances as yet disclosed the Chief Engineer finds nothing for which Overseer Venkataraman Pillay can be proved answerable. And he therefore directs that he be allowed to return to duty, but without recovery of pay lost during suspension, and that he be warned as to his future proceedings. Mr. Duffen's letter No. 176 of 16th June does not supply any definite charges against him,

as

as called for by the District Engineer. And he will now be instructed to lose no time, in personal communication with the present Sub Divisional Officer, in sending in revised Estimates for both works, with statements showing as clearly as can be ascertained what proportions of the losses were incurred under the management of each of the Sub Divisional officers, and

and under the charge
of each Overseer con-
-cerned. It is noted
that Fri Kalore is
Head Quarters both
of the Sub Divisional
Officers and Overseers,⁷⁸
Therefore that it might
have been expected
that the supervision
would not have failed
there at least. The
occurrence of such
slackness in the
Head Quarter Range
of the District is
very discreditable.

to

59
to Mr. Duffen, and
shows generally bad
management of the
District as already
noticed in Ledger¹²³
of 20th January 1876. But
if Major Mead wishes
the Chief Engineer
to assist him at all
in setting things right,
and getting rid of
dishonest or inef-
-ficient men, he
must take much
more care in fur-
-nishing complete
information

information as to all
details than he has
done in the present
instance.

W. H. M.
Chl.

Put up
with 30 Rs.
724 9/
2 Mar

On 1.3.77

No. 724
Public Works Department 63

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 2nd March 1877

Read the following:—

From
The Dist. Engr. South Arcot (G.O. No. 69 of 19th July 1876)
do do do (No. 70 of 19th July 1876)
do do do (No. 127 of 14th Oct. 1876)
do do do (No. 1207 of 3rd Feb. 1877)
do do do (No. 211 of 14th Nov. 1876)
do do do (No. 213 of 19th Nov. 1876)
Taken note of 12 Oct 1876

An estimate of
Rs 6230 for constructing
a Sub-Registries building
with subsidiary jail
at Olanthipattinam in the
South Arcot District was
sanctioned in G.O. No. 1163
of 2nd May 1874. The
District Engineer now
submits a revised estimate
of Rs 7270 exclusive of Rs
62 to be recovered from
Overseer Venkatarangum.

9/11

1877

Govt. of 1877 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

Dated

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 2^d March 1877, No. 724

Nos. 55-56-

Sub-magistrates' Cutchery
with subsidiary Jail at
Retundoorpet in the South
Circuit District. The revised estimate
of Rs. 72,000 for erecting
— connected with same.