

(1) Number of pages 38

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.**Government of Madras.***Public Works***DEPARTMENT.**G.O. No. 697 (W) Press
Mis.Dated / 3 1877.Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
1st March 1877. No. 697.*

Read the following papers :—

No. 3. From the General Superintendent, Public Works Stores, &c., to the Executive Engineer, Mount Range, dated 15th October 1872, No. 1,457.

With reference to your letter No. 913, dated 12th October 1872, I have the honor to state that the price of the portable 10 horse-power steam engine is Rupees 3,210-1-0.

Socket pipes, 5 inches and 2½ inches, can be supplied by the Workshop at Rupees 160 per ton.

No. 3-A. From Colonel F. J. MOBERLY, R.E., Superintending Engineer, Chingleput, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Red Hills, 8th June 1876, No. 858.

I HAVE the honor to enclose copies of correspondence which has passed between the Superintendent, Public Works Workshops, and the Executive Engineer at St. Thomas' Mount, regarding articles supplied to the Guindy Water Works.

2. When these were sanctioned in G.O., No. 870, dated 19th March 1873, Mr. Grainger agreed to supply the pipes required at Rupees 150 per ton, at which price I could at that time have procured them from the contractor for the Madras Water Works.

3. A very considerable quantity was sent to Guindy, when the Overseer (in the Range Officer's temporary absence) called my attention to the fact that they had flanges only at one end and could not therefore be joined together, I therefore sent them back.

No. 3-B. From the General Superintendent, Public Works Stores and Workshops, dated 2nd November 1876, No. 3,035.

No. 3-C. From Major H. M. VIBART, R.E., Officiating District Engineer, Presidency, to the Officiating Deputy Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Fort St. George, 16th February 1877, No. 5,940.

One letter from Superintending Engineer, Chingleput, No. 858, dated 8th June 1876, with Deputy Chief Engineer's endorsement No. 422-T, dated 20th June 1876, and copies of correspondence which accompanied it.
One letter from General Superintendent, No. 3,035, dated 2nd November 1876, in original.
One letter from do. do., No. 4,172, dated 9th February 1877, in original.

With reference to your endorsement No. 422 T, dated 20th June 1876, on letter No. 858, dated 8th June 1876, from the Superintending Engineer, Chingleput, I beg to forward the papers marginally noted with the following report.

2. The real point at issue is whether Mr. Grainger should charge Rupees 160 a ton for the iron-pipes according to his view, or Rupees 150 according to Colonel Moberly's, all the other matter appears irrelevant.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
1st March 1877. No. 697.*

3. In a letter to the Executive Engineer, Mount, dated 15th October 1872, Mr. Grainger distinctly states that the price for socket pipes will be Rupees 160 per ton. Colonel Moberly states that he had an interview with Mr. Grainger (date not mentioned) at which, he says, Mr. Grainger undertook to supply them at Rupees 150 per ton. Mr. Grainger does not remember having done so, and, moreover, states that if any mention of the rate of Rupees 150 was made, it must have referred only to the pipes from the Municipality, and not to those made by the Workshops. These pipes which were to be supplied at Rupees 150 were rejected as unsuitable, having only one flange.

4. The bill sent from Workshops is as follows :—

			Tons. cwt. qrs. lbs.		
2,645	Running feet of 5 inches diameter	...	31	18	0
1,100	" " 2½ " "	...	5	1	1
Total			36	19	1
			RS.	A.	P.
At Rupees 160 per ton	...	...	5,915	10	3
Charge for removing the above to Guindy	...	...	58	9	0
1,400 feet of pipes supplied	...	...	26	4	0
Amount paid by Executive Engineer...	...	...	6,000	7	3
			6,044	5	5
Balance due to Executive Engineer, Mount Range...	...	...	43	14	2

5. The whole matter rests on the decision of Government as to whether Rupees 150 or Rupees 160 are to be paid for the iron per ton. If Rupees 160 the balance due to the Executive Engineer, Mount, will be Rupees 43-14-2 ; if Rupees 150 the balance due will be Rupees 413-9-10

6. I am inclined to think that the former rate is correct, as we have that in writing. The other rate was mentioned in a conversation, and it may be supposed that Colonel Moberly, R.E., misapprehended the words of Mr. Grainger.

No. 4. ORDER THEREON, 1st March 1877, No. 697.

· ACCOUNT, No. 1.

ACCOUNT, No. 1.

It would appear from these papers that the pipes noted as to be supplied at Rupees 150 per ton were those which were rejected by the Superintending Engineer as he states in paragraph 3 of his letter above quoted; while those retained, as pointed out by the General Superintendent of Public Works Stores and Workshops in his letter No. 1,457, of 15th October 1872, were to cost Rupees 160 per ton. At this rate, which must be accepted, after including certain Workshop charges and deducting a sum erroneously charged for work not done, and written off to profit and loss in adjustment of the Workshop's accounts, the total bill due for pipes amounted to Rupees 6,000-7-3, while the amount paid by the Mount Range Officer amounted to Rupees 6,044-5-5. The General Superintendent, Public Works Stores and Workshops, will therefore credit the Mount Range with the difference, viz., Rupees 43-14-2.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
1st March 1877. No. 697.*

2. The length of time it has taken to adjust these accounts is not creditable to those concerned.

(True Extract.)

Colonel,
Acting Joint Secretary to Government.

To the Superintending Engineer, Chingleput.
 „ District Engineer, Presidency.
 „ Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

Exd. S. T. Austin.

Spare Copies

NO 858
Superintendent's Office Chingleput
Camp Red Hills
8th June 1876.
Desd 12th "

From
The Superintending Engineer
Chingleput
To The Secretary to Government
Department Public Works

Enc:
Copies of
correspondence.

Sir, I have the honor to enclose
copies of correspondence which has
passed between the Superintendent
Public Works Workshops and the Executive
Engineer at Saint Thomas Mount
regarding articles supplied to the
Grindy water works.

2. When these were sanctioned
in ~~Order 870 of 19th March 1873~~ the Granger
agreed to supply the pipes re-
quired at Rs 150 per ton, at
which price I could at that
time have procured them from
the contractor for the Madras
Water Works.

3. A very considerable quan-
tity was sent to Grindy when
the Overseer (in the Range Officer's
temporary absence) called my
attention to the fact that they
had slaves only at one end

and could not therefore be joined together, I therefore sent them back.

4. Flange pipes not being procurable I accepted socket pipes, but very unwillingly, and arranged with Mr. Grainger that I should have a few flanged for insertion here and there to avoid the necessity for cutting socket pipes in case of any accident. He did not carry out this arrangement, and as we were pressed for time I laid the whole length with socket pipes.

5. In spite however of this failure to furnish me with flanged pipes Mr. Grainger sent in his bill a charge of Rupees 413.10.10 for cutting holes not one of which had ever been cut. The items of the bill appear to have been altered in various documents and Captain Smith by oversight paid one including it.

6. Mr. Grainger appears to admit the justice of our refusal to pay this charge but claims another sum Rs. 326.0.9 and deducting it from Rs. 370.0.0 his first charge for drilling

holes, avails his readiness to pay the balance Rs. 43.15.3. 3

7. But this sum of Rs. 326.0.9 was in G. O. No. 2569 dated 8th September 1875 para 11 written off to profit and loss. He has therefore received it in 2 ways, and moreover it is not the sum overpaid by us for the incorrect charge of drilling holes which was Rs. 413.10.10.

8. The accounts appear to be kept in a very confused manner in the workshop. Bills are rarely if ever presented until long after the delivery of the articles and the charges are so great that officers generally employ any other than the Government shop.

I have the honor to be
Sir

Your most obedient servant

H. M. S. B. Colonel R. B.

Superintending Engineer
Chingleput

608-9.75 No 2569
19.3-73 --- 870

1876 Department

82-1234

P.W.D.

175377

1714

Enclosed copies of
Correspondence which has
passed between the Public
Works Workshops and the
Executive Engineer about
Thomas Mount, re-
-garding articles sup-
plied to the Grand
Water Works -

Encls: No 2569

Placed 8
Sept 12 since 1876

Mr. The Superintendent
Chingapat
Mr. The Secretary to Govt
No 122

Forwarded to the British
Legation, Presidency, who
will be cognate to the
entirety of the subject treated
in this correspondence.
Carefully inquired into
and submit to the
the same to this office -
By order

W. M. S. S. S.
Offy. Secy. General
20.6.70.

P. 15 March 77 J. B. S. S. A.

N-3A

88 Presidency
in original

PRESIDENCY WORKSHOPS, D. P. W.,
Chepauk, Madras, 2 November 1876.

No. 3135

From

THE GENERAL SUPERINTENDENT,
PUBLIC WORKS WORKSHOPS AND STORES,

To

THE District Engineer
Presidency
Fort Saint George

SIR,

With reference to your endorsement

Enclosures.

N^o 1971 of 12th July 1876 on Memo N^o 7 of 20th
June 1876 from Officiating Deputy Chief Engineer
requesting explanation regarding the letter N^o 85
of 12th idem from Superintending Engineer
Chingapat. I have the honor to state that
my demand is perfectly correct as will be seen
from the following explanations of the different
Paras of that Officer's letter.

(Para 2)

2. I find no record in my office in which
Rupees 150/- is to be charged for the pipes more
over the Superintending Engineer alludes to
none - our usual rate is Rupees 180/- per ton
which has been charged in the Bill.

3. That these pipes were sent was the
fault of the Contractor Mr. Woolley who was
requested to send the pipes from his stores to
Quindry direct to save time. Mr. Woolley has
represented

P.W.D.

17177

1714

Encls: 4

No. 5940

From,

The Offg. District Engineer,
Presidency.

To,

The Offg. Dy. Chief Engineer,
D. P. W.

Sir,

With reference to your endorsement
No. 4223 dt. 20 June 1876 on letter No. 858
dt. 8 June 1876 from the Supg. Engineer
Chingleput, I beg to forward the papers
marginally noted with the following
report.

2. The real point at issue is whether
Mr. Brainger should charge Rs 160 a
ton for the Iron pipes according to
his view, or Rs 150 according to
Col. Moberly's - all the other matter
appears irrelevant.

3. In a letter to the Exe. Engineer
Mombay dated 15 October 1872 Mr.
Brainger distinctly states that the
price for socket pipes will be
Rs 160

Letter from Supg. Eng. Chingleput No. 858 dt. 8 June 1876,
with 227 No. 1019 dt. 20 June 1876, and
Letter from Supg. Eng. Chingleput No. 858 dt. 8 June 1876, in original.
Letter from Supg. Eng. Chingleput No. 858 dt. 8 June 1876, in original.

To

The General Superintendent,
P. W. Workshops,

The Dy. Engineer

Chingleput

Dated 20 June 1876

Despatched 20 June 1876

Received

No. 3035

Enclosures, one file

Chingleput on the

alleged new charge

on the pipes that are

supplied to the

Water Works

P. 12. March/77 J.B. 2

Rs 160 per ton. Col. Mosherly states that he had an interview with Mr. Grainger (date not mentioned) at which he says Mr. Grainger undertook to supply them at Rs 150 per ton. Mr. Grainger does not remember having done so, and moreover states that if any mention of the rate of Rs 150 was made, it must have referred only to the pipes from the Municipality - and not to those made by the Workshops. These pipes which ^{to be} were supplied at Rs 150 were rejected as unsuitable - having only one flange.

4. The Bill sent from Workshops is as follows:-

	3.	6.	9.	12.
2645 Rft of 5" dia. -	31	18	"	22
1100 " 2 1/2 " -	5	1	1	1
Total	36	19	1	23
at Rs 160 per ton =	Rs 59	15	10	3
Charge for removing the above to Guindy -	58	9	"	"
1400 fth of pipes supplied -	26	4	"	"
	600	7	3	
Amount paid by Ex. Engr.	60	44	5	5
Balance due to Ex. Engr.				
Mount Range -	43	14	2	

have it in charge immediately when it is required

5. The whole matter rests on the decision of Government - as to whether Rs 150, or Rs 160 are to be paid for the iron per ton. If Rs 160, the balance due to the Ex. Engineer ^{Mount} will be Rupees 43. 14. 2; if Rs 150 the balance due will be Rs 413. 9. 10.

6. I am inclined to think that the former rate is correct, as we have ^{it} that in writing. The other rate was mentioned in a conversation and it may be supposed that Colonel Mosherly &c. misapprehended the words of Mr. Grainger.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Herbert Robert
M.A.P.R.

Offg. District Engineer
Residency

Fort St. George
16th Feb 1877

Recd.
20

4.6.17. Mar/22. 870
" 8. Sept/15-2569

P.W.D.
17F377
No 1714

the supply of stone
pipes by the P.W.
Partnership to the
Quarry Water Works

Order No 3 of 1877

Encl. No 5940

submit report on

the District Engineer
Presidency
the Secretary to
Govt P.W.D.

No 453 of 22 Feb/77.

The undersigned requests
that a copy of Mr. Grainger's
letter mentioned in para 3
may be furnished to him,
and that he may be in-
formed how the charge
entered against the item
"1400 feet of pipes supplied"
was arrived at.

189 Oct 21

22 Feb/77.

No 36.

On 27.2.77

Buildings
and Roads.

No 6093.

From,

The District Engineer,
Presidency.

To,

The Chief Engineer, P.W.D.
Buildings and Roads Branch.

Sir,

Encl.

With reference to your Memo No 453 of
22 Feb/77, I have the honor to forward
a copy of Mr. Grainger's letter No 1457 dated
15 October 1872 therein referred to, and to re-
quest that you will be good enough to
return the copy of correspondence which
accompanied Colonel Kober's Report
and returned with my letter No 5940 of
16 February 1877 - to enable me to furnish
the information called for in the conclud-
ing part of the Memo under reply.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

W. C. Baddley Lint Rk.

For the General

23 Feb 77

for District Engineer
Presidency.

P.W.D.

24 FEB 77

1984

No.

The District Engineer

Secunderabad

The Chief Engineer
Secunderabad

Dated 23rd Feb 77.

Encl. No. 6093.

Forwards copy of the

Manager's letter regarding

supply of pipes to the

Secunderabad Works.

Per. 1st March 77 J. B. L. S.

Ans. 2.77

No. 3356

From

The Executive Engineer
Mount Range

I

General Superintendent
of P. W. Stores & Workshops

Sir

I have the honor to draw your attention to a long standing argument between us over the pipe works for grinding water works. The case is this.

You forwarded a bill No. 480 of 14th February 1874 amounting to Rupees 7153-8-2 in this were two items overcharged. Viz.

1. Value of Cast iron pipes Rupees 5743-12-4
2. Charges for drilling holes " 413-10-10

I objected to both these charges; they were reduced in your next bill No. 66 of 27 March 1874

1. Value of pipes Rupees 5705-9-2
2. Charges for drilling holes Rs. 370 - " -

But in the meantime you had sent in a bill No. 616 of 31 March 1874 in which these items appeared.

1. Value of Cast iron pipes Rs. 4786-

2. Charges for drilling holes Rs. 413-10-10

This last bill was finally adjusted, its total amount being Rupees 6044-5-5-

But all along I have objected to the items drilling

On examination of the details it
was found that this Bill contained an
item for drilling holes &c amounting to
Rupees 370/- which should not have been
charged to you but to the Laundry account
consequently.

the amount due to you will be Rupees 370/-
less the unpaid balance above noted of Rupees
326-9, this difference is Rupees 43-15-3
which I am prepared to pay.

I have to
154 J. N. Granger
Genl. Supt. P.W. Workshop & Stores.

No 3516.

27th March 1876.

From

The Executive Engineer
Mount Range

To

Genl. Superintendent
Public Work Stores & Workshop

Sir,

In reply to your No 5111 dated 20th
Instant I have the honor to state that I
still object to the arrangement proposed by you
2. You state that the bill No 66 of
27th March 1874 amounting to Rupees 6370-6-2
is the final bill upon which the workshop
claim is based.

3. I have to point out that in that bill
the high charge for iron is still adhered
to in addition to the item Rupees 370/-
which I have objected all along.

4th

4. The original price fixed for the iron was Rupees
150 per Ton whereas Rs 160 are now charged.
5. Unless therefore special sanction has been
given for the extra rate our account should be
as under viz

No 286 Cast iron pipes & bore measuring
2645 Puffs weighing 31 18 2 2^{lbs} } 4786-7-7
at Rupees 150- - - - -
176 do do 1056 Puffs 5-1-1-0 @ Rs 150 } 759-6-4
Charges for removing the above to Guindy - - - - 58-9-0
do do 1400 feet of pipes supplied per
my indent No 442 of 19th May 1873 } - - - - 26-4-0
5630-10-7

Amount paid by me in error 6044-5-5
Balance due to me 413-10-10

6. This is the amount of the item for drilling holes
which appeared in the bill No 66 of 31st March 1874,
which amounted to Rs 644-5-5 and which was
settled by me through error and has been in adjust-
ing the early accounts.

7. My letter No 321 of 13th May 1874
still remains unanswered and until I get a
satisfactory explanation I must decline to settle
up bills No 162 of 29th June 1874 and 273 of 3rd Aug
1875 although as I have before stated they
have nothing whatever to do with the Guindy
water works.

8. I have further to bring to your notice
the

the fact that the sum of Rupees 326-9 (which you state is owing to you by me) was actually allowed to be struck off your books to Profit and loss, account by G. O. No 25-69 dated 8th September 1875 para 11. So I cannot understand how you can bring this up now as a charge against me.

I have &c
150/ A. C. Smith Capt. R.E.
Executive Engineer

No 314

I now

6 May 1876.

The Executive Engineer
Mount Range

I
General Superintendent
Public Work Stores & Workshops
Vice this Office letter No 36/16 of 27 March 76

I have the honor to request him to be good enough to expedite the despatch of reply to the No above referred to as the amount of the Bills long remains under miscellaneous advances.

The Examiner P.W. Accounts urges the settlement of the bills.

The matter will be brought to the notice of Government through the Superintending Engineer

Engineer Shingleput if early settlement is not made.

I have &c
150/ A. C. Smith Capt. R.E.
Executive Engineer

No 563

Presidency Work Shop & P.W.
Madras 11 May 1876.

I now

The General Superintendent
Public Works Workshops & Stores

To

The Executive Engineer
Mount Range

Sir,

In reply to your No 314 of 6 Inst I have the honor to state that my letter No 5111 of 20 March last answered fully & correctly the points at issue and I also explained it to you verbally at Quindry on 1st ultimo and that Rupees 150/ was not estimated by me.

I have &c
150/ J. N. Grainger
Genl. Supt. P.W. Workshop & Stores

(True Copy)

A Smith Captain R.E.
Executive Engineer

PRESIDENCY WORKSHOPS, D. P. W.
Chepauk, Madras, 9 February 1877.

No. 4172

From

THE GENERAL SUPERINTENDENT,
PUBLIC WORKS WORKSHOPS AND STORES,

THE District Engineer

Presidency

Fort Saint George.

SIR,

Enclosures.

With reference to your No. 5662 dated
2nd January 1877, requesting me to submit a
detailed report regarding the pipes supplied
to the Gundry Work, explaining matters from
beginning to end, I have the honor to state
that on the 28 May 1873, an order was
received from Mr. Harrington, Executive Engineer
Mount Range for

3940 feet of pipe 5" diameter

1238 do do 2 1/2" diameter

all the above sizes of pipes in the Old Stores
and those received from Bangalore, which
were not required for the Alson Water Works
were supplied to Gundry, but these proving
insufficient, the deficiency had to be
made up by the workshops. The need being
urgent, I obtained some pipes from the
Municipality through their contractor
Mr. Woolley, some from the Napier Foundry,
while

1400 ft of 5"

while the Frepauk foundry also was engaged in casting the pipes. The usual rate charged by the Workshop for casting is Rupees 180. but having agreed with the Executive Engineer Mount Range in letter No 1457 dated 16th October 1872 from this Office to supply the pipes at Rupees 100 per ton the bill was accepted accordingly.

copy of letter
regd-

At some time the contractor was requested to deliver the pipes at Gundy, and on return from him that he had flange pipes, and a considerable number was laid down at the works, where I inspected them and found that they were Spigot pipes and not of the description required, having flanges only at one end, they were consequently rejected and returned to the contractor.

Colonel Moberly states that I did not carry out the arrangement made with him for supplying a few flanged pipes for insertion here and there, but in his letter No 1225 of the 14th July 1874 para 2 he says "that thinking my charge for flange pipes was very high, he did not accept it and consequently the entire length was laid with socket pipes - the following is the supply made by workshop:-

copy of letter
regd-

26450 ft. of 5" pipe weighing Rupees 31.18.0.22
1100.00 of 2 1/2" do do do do 5.1.1.1.
The item of Rupees 43.15.10 and subitem multiplied altered to Rupees 370. for boring holes &c. was admitted to be an error and an order was conveyed in your letter No 5798 dated 22nd January 1875. Rupees 326.0.9 due by the Executive Engineer in charge of Mount Range on Bill No 655 dated 27th March 1874 for Rupees 6370.6.2 was written off to Profit and Loss.

It will be observed however that Rupees 43.15.3 is still due by the Workshop on Rupees 370. which I have admitted was an erroneous charge.

The District Engineer makes out that the sum of Rupees 326.0.9 has been received by me in two ways, I cannot see this. The following statement gives a clear insight of the transactions alluded to.

Amount of bill No 655 dated March 1874 Rs 6370.6.2
Deduct charges for drilling holes and admitted as erroneous 370.0.0
6000.6.2

Amount adjusted by the Executive Engineer in March 1874 6044.5.0

Amount credited and due by Workshop 43.15.3

The above sum of Rupees 43.15.3 will be adjusted in my accounts, on the Executive Engineer Mount Range furnishing me with a transfer debit advice.

The cause of dissatisfaction appears to be the rate charged for the pipes

the Pipes 160 per ton, not Pipes 180 as
stated in para 2 of this Office Order 2035
of 2nd November 1876, which the District
Engineer states he could have got for
Pipes 150, but the pipes from the
tractors were rejected by the District
Engineer as unsuitable for the work, having
flanges out of round. My rate for
cast iron pipes is Pipes 150 per ton
and this charge was entered accordingly
when making the bill - it was subse-
quently amended to Pipes 160, at
which rate Colonel Boyd and then
in charge, agreed to supply them,
but which rate the District Engineer
disallows.

I trust that I have made it clear
that the Workshop has fulfilled its
contract in every respect.
1st In supplying, at the instance of,
flanged pipes, socket pipes which
the District Engineer accepted and
laid down the entire length.
2nd That the flanged pipes required
for insertion here and there would
have been supplied if the District
Engineer did not refuse them at the
rate estimated in 1876 and 1877
and lastly that the rate charged
for the pipes at Pipes 160 per
ton was strictly in accordance
with agreement though resulting
in a loss to the Workshop.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most obedt servant

J. H. Manger

Genl Sup^g of Workshops & Stores.

Chas. J. Manger
1876
1877
1878
1879
1880
1881
1882
1883
1884
1885
1886
1887
1888
1889
1890
1891
1892
1893
1894
1895
1896
1897
1898
1899
1900

No. 1457.

15 October 1872

39,

The Executive Engineer,
Mount Range.

Sir,
With reference to your letter No. 913, of
12 October 1872, I have the honor to state that
the price of the portable 10 H.P. steam engine is
Rs 3210.1.0.

Socket pipes 5' and 2½" can be sup-
plied by the Workshop at Rs 160 per ton.

Mr Woolley has not up to date replied
to my letter asking if any pipes of the
above dimensions could be spared for use
of the P.W.D. His attention will be drawn
to my letter of the 7 instant.

I enclose a List of the pipes &c: supplied
to the Giindy Water Works project, showing
also the quantity returned into the P. W.
Stores and the balance remaining in the
Range.

I have &c.

Yr^{ts} the ^{mark} x
J. Goddard Esq^r
for General Suptt, P.W. Stores &c:

(Encopy)

W. B. Baddeley Esq^r
for Dist Engineer, P.W.D.

P.W.D.

17F377

No. 1714

2

From

The General Superintendent,
P. W. Workshops,

To

The District Engineer,
P.W.D.

Enclosures
2

Dated
Despatched
Received
10 Feb 1873

No. 4172

Copy to
P. W. D.
Giindy Water Works

P. 17 March 77 J. B. L. 3

P.W.D.
24 FEB 77
1984

18 Dec 6
No 199
Public Works Department.
PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 1 March 77
Read the following:

From General Supt, Stores (No 1457)
The Suptg Engr. (15 Oct 72)
Chief Engr (H.O. No 888 d/12 June 1876)
The Genl Supt H.M. Stores (No 3035 d/22 Nov 1876)
Workshops (No 3035 d/22 Nov 1876)
The Dist Engineer (No 5940 d/16 July 1877)
Proceedings

It would appear from these papers that the Pipes noted as blue supplied at Rs: 150 per ton were three which were rejected by the Suptg. Engineer as he states in para 3 of his letter above quoted, while those retained as pointed out by the General Supt. of H.M. Stores & Workshops in his letter No 1457 of 15th October 1872 were

to cost Rs 100 per Low.
 At this rate, which must
 be accepted, after including
 certain Workshop charges and
 deducting a sum ~~excessively~~
 charged for work not
 done. I write off to
 profit loss in adjustment
 of the workshop accounts.
 The total Bill due for
 Pipes amounted to Rs
 6000-7-3. While the amount
 paid by the Mount Range
 Officer amounted to Rs 44-5.
 The ~~Genl. Dirct~~ M. Workshop
 stores with ~~therefore~~
 Credit the Mount Range
 with the difference Rs
 No. 43-14-2.
 The length of time it has
 taken to adjust these accounts
 is not creditable to those
 concerned.

1877/78
 4294
 Govt. of Madras.
 PUBLIC WORKS.

To
 The S. C. Chingleput
 Dated
 Issued
 Recd. Encl.

G. O. 1st March 1877, No. 677

Nos. 3-4

Supply of iron pipes to the
 sundry water works.
 Issuing instructions for
 the adjustment of the
 cost of — and remarking
 the length of time taken
 to adjust the accounts.

S. C. Chingleput
 D. C. Presidency
 Encl. 11 A
 Dtd 16-3-77

Xth 27.2.77

26.2.77