

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.

626 (Reg)

Press
Mis.

Dated

23-2-

1877.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 590. Read the following letter from Major J. G. STELL, R.E., Officiating Under Secretary to the Government of India, Public Works Department, (Railway,) to the Joint Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, (Railway Branch,) dated Fort William, 15th February 1877, No. 430-R:—

I AM directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter No. 2,882, of the 28th October 1876, forwarding the Review of the Revenue Account of the Madras Railway for the half-year ended 31st December 1875, and, in reply, to communicate the following remarks.

2. In table A, the length of line sanctioned is shown as miles 858, chains 8, while in the last revised Progress Statement it is entered as miles 856, chains 60. I am to inquire which of the two figures is correct.

3. Again, the heading under column 90 of this table is worded "Proportion per cent. of freight to dead weight," instead of as in the revised Review forms "Proportion per cent. of freight to total weight." I am to ask under what circumstances the alteration was made.

4. In table B, the number of 1st-class season ticket passengers is shown as 960 and the unit mileage due thereto as 206,520; but the column for receipts is returned blank.

5. The receipts from haulage and hire of post-office vehicles, special trains and parcels have been lumped together. I am to request that these receipts may be exhibited separately in future.

6. In table C, the average rates per ton per mile of horses and cattle under live stock, and horses under Military live stock, are shown as Pies 17-52, 16-72 and 17-53; but dividing the receipts by the estimated total ton mileage they appear to be Pies 30-67, 16-25 and 30-68, respectively. I am to inquire how the average rates were obtained.

7. In table E, against "Engineers' salaries and allowances," and "subordinate superintendents' salaries and allowances including overtime," the amounts entered are Rupees 66,864 and Rupees 36,936, respectively, while against the corresponding heading in the Revenue Account they are shown as Rupees 74,499 and Rupees 29,361. I am to ask whether the figures in the Review or those in Revenue Account are correct.

8. In table FI, the average number of train miles hauled per half-year by total locomotives at work is shown as 13,507, but dividing the train mileage by the number of locomotives at work it appears to be 12,299.

9. In table MI, the weight of the contents of a cattle van is shown as tons 0-92, but dividing the unit mileage by the vehicle mileage it appears to be tons 0-61.

10. In table MV, the average receipt per unit per mile of cattle vans is shown as Pies 17-88, but dividing the receipts as per table C by the unit mileage as per table MI, it appears to be Pies 27-07.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
23rd February 1877. No. 626.

No. 591.

ORDER THEREON, 23rd February 1877, No. 626.

RAILWAY, No. 50.

COMMUNICATED to the Consulting
Engineer for Railways with reference to
G.O., No. 2,881, dated 28th October 1876.
(True Extract.)

Lieut.-Colonel, R.E.,
Joint Secretary to Government.

To the Consulting Engineer for Railways.
" Examiner of Railway Accounts.

No. 430 R.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Railway.

To

The Secretary to the Govt of Madras
Public Works Department (Railway).

Fort William, 15th February 1877.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt
of your letter No. 2882 of the 28th October 1876,
forwarding the Review of the Revenue Account
of the Madras Railway for the half-year
ended 31st December 1875, and in reply to
communicate the following remarks.

2. In table A. the length of line sanctioned
is shown as miles 858, chains 8, while in the
last revised progress statement it is entered
as miles 856, chains 60. I am to enquire
which of the two figures is correct.

3. Again the heading under column 90 of this table is worded "Proportion per cent of freight to dead weight," instead of as in the revised Review forms "Proportion per cent of freight to total weight," I am to ask under what circumstances the alteration was made.

4. In table B, the number of 1st class season ticket passengers is shown as 960 and the unit mileage due thereto as 206,520; but the column for receipts is returned blank.

5. The receipts from haulage and hire of Post office vehicles, special trains and parcels have been lumped together, I am to request that these receipts may be exhibited separately in future.

6. In table C the average rates per ton per mile of horses and cattle under live stock, and horses under Military live stock are shown as pice 17.52, 16.72 and 17.53; but dividing the receipts by the estimated total ton-mileage they appear to be pice 30.67, 16.25 and 30.68, respectively. I am to enquire how the average rates were obtained.

7. In table E, against "Engineer's salaries and allowances," and "subordinate superintending salaries and allowances including overtime" the amounts entered are Rs 66,864 and Rs 36,996 respectively, while against the corresponding headings in the Revenue Account they are shown as Rs 74,499 and Rs 29,361. I am to ask whether the figures in the Review or those in the Revenue Account are correct.

8. In table F the average number of train-miles hauled per half-year by total Locomotives at work is shown as 20,13,507. But dividing the train-mileage by the number of Locomotives at work it appears to be 12,299.

9. In table MI, the weight of the contents of a cattle van is shown as tons 0.92 but dividing the unit mileage by the vehicle mileage it appears to be tons 0.61.

10. In table MX the average receipt per unit per mile of cattle vans is shown as pice 17.88, but dividing the receipts as

3. per table C by the unit mileage as per table
to MI it appears to be p. 27.07

P.W.D.

I have the honor to be

225377

1904

Sir,
your most obedt servant, The Govt of India

John D. Stuart Major, P.E.

dated 15th Feb 77

28th Feb 77

Offg. Under Secretary

Go. 28.10.76 No 2881/22882

Remarks on the
Review of the Rev. Account of the
Madras Railway for the 1/2 year
ended 31st December 1875.

No 26 of 23 Feb 77

Communicated
to the Consulting Engineer
for Railways with reference
to G.O. No: 2881 d/28 Feb 77.

U.

C. E. for Rs. 13-3-77
in the auto

1890

Dept. of 1877 India.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To the Comd'g Eng'g

Dated

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G. O. 23rd Feb^y 1877, No. 526

Nos. 590-91

Review of the Revenue Acct
of the Madras Railway.

Letter from the Comd'g Eng'g
offering remarks on the
the half-year ended 31st
Dec 1875. Comm'd to the Comd'g
Eng'g for Rys.

Ent in Rys.