

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	3725 (W)	Press Mis.
Dated	3-2-	1877.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
3rd February 1877. No. 375.*

Read the following:—

No. 120. From Lieutenant J. LEVER, Assistant Engineer, dated 22nd Nov. 1876.

No. 120-A. From the District Engineer, Ganjam, dated 24th Dec. 1876, No. 89.

No. 121. ORDER THEREON, 3rd February 1877, No. 375.

ESTABLISHMENT, No. 7.

THE Range Officer cannot be excused the penalty which he has incurred by deliberate inattention to G.O., No. 580, of 3rd March 1876. The vacancy appears to have occurred on the 10th April. It was not reported till the 18th, and then no one named as having been appointed. Moreover, the man said to have acted, and said in the District Engineer's letter No. 59 of 18th September to have been qualified for the post, was reported in the Range Officer's letter No. 166 of 29th April to have no experience in drawing plans and estimates. However convenient therefore it might have been for the Range Officer to appoint him to assist generally in office work, his appointment as Draughtsman was clearly an impropriety. For so short a time as that during which the Draughtsman was absent, the Range Officer, in the absence of a qualified substitute, might very well have done without one at all.

(True Extract.)

Lieut.-Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the District Engineer, Ganjam.

Exd. E. C. Shunker.
Spare Copies

From

London, 1st March 1841

To Mr. John H. Thompson

My dear Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 27th inst. in relation to the proposed purchase of the land in the parish of St. Martin, in the County of London, for the purpose of erecting a new church. I am very glad to hear that you are so interested in the subject, and I am sure that the Committee will be very anxious to hear from you. I have no objection to your making such use of the facts as you may think proper, and I am sure that you will find them very interesting. I am, Sir, very respectfully,
Your obedient servant,
John H. Thompson

I am, Sir, very respectfully,
Your obedient servant,
John H. Thompson

8th 1876

I have

The Officer in Charge
No 1 Range Gungahm

To

The District Engineer
Gungahm

Dated 29th April 1876.
Yours No 100 of 29th April 1876 requesting
to report on the abilities of C. Thier
patnam now doing duty as a Draftsman
man &c.

Sir,

I have the honor to state
the above named man attending
the Range Office and being well
since 10th Instant.

This man has no experience
in drawing plans & estimates, but
can make some simple estimates
& can check calculations of estimates
received from the Subordinates with
some accuracy & writes a neat &
becoming hand. I have kept him
in the Office with an instruction that
he may work something over in the
absence of the Draftsman. I therefore
request this appointment may be
approved & his pay paid from the salary
of Draftsman pay till a confidential
hand is obtained.

I have &c &c
Signed J. Lever Smith
District Engineer

8th 1876

9th

Reference yours No 100 of 29th April 1876

Subject

Please report when C. Thier returns
to duty.

Yours C. Thier

Offg. District Engineer Gungahm

8th 1876

10th May 1876.

I have

The Officer in Charge of No 1
Range Gungahm

To

The District Engineer Gungahm

Reference -

Yours No 100 of 6th May 1876 requesting to
report when C. Thier returns to duty.

Subject

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you
that he produces again Medical
Certificate for 15 days from 11th May 1876
which I forwarded to you with letter
No 175 of 12th Instant.

Consequently I am unable to
inform you the actual date as to
his return to duty.

I have &c &c
Signed J. Lever Smith
District Engineer

Extract of the Disallowance Report from the salary bill
for May 1876 of Birmingham Divisional Office.

Name and Rank ^{Amount} Reason of Disallowance Explanation by Council and District Officers.

1. J. C. Venkateswami

As Draftsman Pay for
1st to 14th May 1876 at

1 1/2% = Rs. 12.10

Salary provisionally paid. Since candidate was not available,
during receipt of information the services of a draftsman were
required of the Government which his services were required
under which the full being very small. Since not
pay of the draftsman but appoint him & draw at Rs. 12.10
has not been drawn. The salary for which was provided.
should be retained whether payment of Rs. 12.10 will require
Venkateswami possession. District Engineer has to
the required qualifying (8). Under Range office re-
certificates and it is not
why the order of works but these appointments
Government at Rs. 8.80 appears to have all been
of 3rd March 1876 which irregularly made order
strictly prohibits the District Engineer from making
appointment of unqualified person. ^{Ref. L. D. Harris}
to appointments the salary ^{to District Engineer}
attached to which is Rs. 20
and above has not been
attended to.

Signed J. H. Gordon
Commissioner P. W. accounts.

(9) The District
Engineer is requested
with reference to Govt
order No. 880 of the
3rd March 1876 to be
good enough to apply
to Government for sanc-
tion for the final
admission of the pay
of As Draftsman to the
Retardation from 1st April
to 14th May 1876.

Signed J. H. Gordon

Signed J. H. Gordon
Commissioner P. W. accounts

Through

The District Engineer
Ganjani.

True Copies

J. H. Gordon
District Engineer Ganjani.

P.W.D.

5 JAN 77

No. 98

such as a
letter No 89 1/14
sent to Mr. Chy
(sent from)

No. 89

Chatterbox

District Engineer's Office.

GANJAM. 24th Dec^r

1876.

FROM

THE DISTRICT ENGINEER,

138

GANJAM.

TO

THE

Chief Engineer
Public Department
Madras

SIR,

Enclosure.

All Correspondence
regarding the
Assestment
of the Katarah

With reference to yours of 1st Dec^r 1876, I
have the honour to forward copies of
correspondence to which a Mr. Jones
letter embodied in yours under reference
alludes.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servants.


L. J. JONES, R. E.,
District Engineer Ganjam

The Wainwrights
I guess cannot be, we
found the family like
we had been assured
by Delbecq and the house
of P. O. 500 of 1876.
The Wainwrights
appear to have
arrived on the 10th
April. It was not
reported till the
18th, and then we
were named as having

been appointed. Moreover the man said to have acted and said in the District Engineer's letter No 59 of 18th September to have been qualified for the post, as reported in the Range Officer's letter No 106 of 29th April. to have no experience in drawing Plans and Submissions. However convenient therefore it might have been for the Range Officer to appoint him to assist generally in


Office work, his appointment as Draftsman was clearly an impropriety. Some short a time as that during which the Draftsman was absent, the Range Officer in the absence of a qualified substitute might very well have done without one at all.

Over

E. Sanjam
Sept. 21. 2.77

[Signature]

908/815



Govt. of 1877 Madras
PUBLIC WORKS.

To Mr. B. G. G. G. G.

Dated
To
From

1877

No. 125-126

For Karamathman Acting
draughtsman for
the . Order
on correspondence &
regarding the appointment
of

1877

1877