

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	1009 (W)	Press
		Mis.
Dated	5/11/27	1827.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

5th November 1877, No. 1,469 W.

General
Establishment.

Read the following paper :—

No. 58. Despatch from the Most Honorable the Secretary of State for India.
Public Works, INDIA OFFICE, LONDON,
No. 15. 6th September 1877.

My LORD DUKE,—I have received your Grace's letter, No. 26 of the 30th June last, forwarding a memorial from S. Veeraragavooloo Naidoo, late Taluq Overseer in your Public Works Department, in which he appeals against his dismissal, and requests that he may either be restored to his appointment as Taluq Overseer or as a Draftsman, or that he may be granted the pension to which he would have been entitled had he retired from the service.

2. The papers which accompany your letter are not sufficient to enable me to form any judgment as to the merits of the petitioner's case. Before replying to his memorial, I desire to be furnished with copies of his replies to the several charges brought against him, particularly with reference to the allegation in paragraph 13 of his memorial, and of any subsequent reports or Proceedings of your Government with reference thereto.

I have, &c.,
SALISBURY.

His Excellency the most Noble
The Governor in Council, Fort St. George.

No. 59. ORDER THEREON, 5th November 1877, No. 1,469 W.

G.O., No. 207, dated 29th January 1874.

" 722, " 16th March 1874.

" 647, " 14th April, Petition Department.

ORDERED that the Proceedings noted in the margin be forwarded in original to the Home Government.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) E. A. FOORD, Colonel, R.F.,
Secretary to Government, P.W.D.

Exd. E. C. Shaunker.
Spare Copies

Fort St. George

Public Works
No. 15.

India Office, London

10th September 1877

My Lord Dukes

I have received

Your Grace's letter No. 26,

of the 30th June last,

forwarding a Memorial

from S. Veeraragavooloo

Naidoo, late Taluq

Overseer in your Public

Works Department in

which he appeals against

his dismissal, and requests

that he may either be

restored

His Excellency,

The Most Noble,

The Governor in Council

Fort St. George.

restored to his appointment
as Taluk Overseer, or as
a Draftsman, or that
he may be granted the
Pension to which he
would have been
entitled had he retired
from the service.

Also is desired

2. The papers which
accompany your
letter are not sufficient
to enable me to form
any judgment as to
the merits of the
Petitioner's case. Before
replying to his
memorial I desire
to be furnished with
copies of his replies
to

to the several charges
brought against him
particularly with
reference to the allegation
in paragraph 13 of
his Memorial, and
of any subsequent
reports or proceedings
of your Government,
with reference thereto.

I have the honor to be,

My Lord Duke,

Your Grace's most obedient
humble servant,

John Murray

G.O. no 29377/22 June 1872
" 2062/30 July 1874
" 722/16 March 1874
" 207/29 Jan 1874

No. 3.

Public Works
6th Sept 1874

...
...
...

The
Letter to Fort St. George

No. 15.

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

...

G.O. no 51/1874, 18th Dec. 58

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

No.

TO THE MOST HONORABLE THE MARQUIS OF SALISBURY,
SECRETARY OF STATE FOR INDIA.

MY LORD MARQUIS.—In answer to your Despatch No. 15, dated the 6th September, calling for further information regarding the dismissal of S. Veeraragavooloo Naidoo, late a Taluk Overseer in the Chingleput District, we have the honor to remark that the statement made in the 13th paragraph of the petitioner's memorial to your Lordship conveys a slightly incorrect impression of the case.

2. The orders of the Madras Government to which the petitioner refers in that paragraph did not deny him redress; they are quoted in the memorial itself, and your Lordship will observe that their tenor was, on the whole, favorable to the Overseer. Nevertheless his defence was not wholly satisfactory. It was not however on account of the shortcomings alleged against the petitioner in the first instance by Mr. Harington, the Range Officer, or by Colonel Moberly in his letter recorded with Government Order, No. 722, dated 16th March 1874, that the Madras Government resolved to dismiss the Overseer, but upon what appeared to them to be the most conclusive evidence brought forward in

Vide G.O., No. 2,062, dated 30th July 1874. Captain Smith's letter No. 529, dated 6th June 1874, evidence which we would remark was based upon facts, not upon suspicions only.

3. This being the case this Government considered it unnecessary to call upon the petitioner for any defence he might have to offer.

4. The petitioner appealed against his dismissal in February 1875, when, after a careful reperusal of the papers connected with the case, this Government resolved to abide by their previous decision.

5. We have the honor to forward the marginal Proceedings containing further correspondence regarding the Overseer, but the evidence upon which sentence of dismissal was passed upon the man, and with regard to which it was afterwards upheld, will be found in Captain Smith's letter of the 6th June 1874.

Public Works G.O., No. 722, dated 16th March 1874.

Petition, G.O., No. 647, dated 14th April 1875.

We have the honor to be,
My Lord Marquis,
Your Lordship's most obedient,
humble servants,

My Lord Marquis,

Your Lordship's most obedient,

humble servants,

(Signed) humble servants,
(") BUCKINGHAM AND CHANDOS.
(") N. B. CHAMBERLAIN.
(") W. ROBINSON.
(") W. HUDLESTON.

BUCKINGHAM AND CHANDOS.
N. B. CHANDOS.

N. B. CHAMBERLAIN.
W. BORN

W. ROBINSON.

W. HUDLESTON.

FORT ST. GEORGE,
November 1877.

November 1877.

A further petition under date the 18th March 1878 is also forwarded at the Petitioner's request. The delay in replying to your Lordship's despatch is due to the necessity of procuring certain original papers which had been sent to the Petitioner.

947. $\frac{144}{2.4 \cdot 70}$

Exd. E. C. Shunker

On the 20th day of
 October 1891
 at the City of New York
 I, the undersigned,
 have not been
 admitted to the

FORT ST. GEORGE.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Copy.

Letter to the Most Honorable the
Marquis of Salisbury, Secretary
of State for India.

Dated November
No. of 1877.

Order for...

Harmon

FORWARDS with remarks certain Proceedings
connected with S. Veeraragavooloo Naidoo, late
Taluk Overseer in the Chingleput District.

To The Most Honorable the Secretary of State
for India

My Lord Marquis
In answer to your
despatch No. 15 dated the
5th Sept. calling for further infor-
mation regarding the dismissal
of S. Veeraragavooloo Naidoo,
late Taluk Overseer in the
Chingleput District, we have
the honor to remark that the
Statement made in the 13th
paragraph of the Petitioner's
Memorial to your Lordship
conveys a slightly incorrect
impression of the case.

The orders of the Madras
Government to which the
Petitioner refers in that
paragraph did not deny
him redress; they are quoted
in the Memorial itself, and
your Lordship will observe
that their tenor was on the
whole favorable to the Cause.

Nonetheless

Nevertheless we would remark
that his defence was not wholly
satisfactory.

But it was not on account
of the shortcomings alleged
against the Petitioner in the
first instance by Mr. Harrington
the Range Officer, or by Colonel
Moberly in his letter recorded
with Government Order No.
722, dated 16th March 1874, that
the Madras Government
resolved to dismiss the Overseer,
but upon what appeared to
them to be the most conclusive
evidence brought forward in
Captain Smith's letter No. 529
dated 6th June, 1874,
evidence which we would
remark was based upon
facts, not upon suspicions
only.

This being the case the
Government considered it
unnecessary to call upon the
Petitioner for any defence he
might have to offer.

The Petitioner appealed
against his dismissal in
February 1875, when after a
careful

P.W. G.O. No. 207 dated 29th
January, 1874.
" No. 722 dated 16 March, 1874.
Petition G.O. No. 647 dated 14 April, 1875

vide G.O. No. 2062
dated 30th July, 1874

careful perusal of the papers
connected with the case, the
Government resolved to abide
by their previous decision.

We have the honor to
forward the marginal pro-
ceedings, containing further
correspondence regarding
the Overseer, but as we have
had the honor to remark,
the evidence upon which
sentence of dismissal was
passed upon the man, and
with regard to which it was
afterwards upheld, will be
found in Capt. Smith's
letter of the 6th June, 1874.

EAT
31/10

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 5th Nov 77

Read the following:-

Letter from the Most Honorable
The Secretary of State for India } (M.O. No 15 dated 6th Sept 1877)
From S. Veeragavoo loo Naudo }
late Valley Oversee. Chingleput Dist. RE No - - - 22nd Oct 77 -
" S. Eng Chingleput - - - " " 30th Oct 77 -
GO 207 of 29 Jan 1874 The Proceedings noted in the
"- 722 of 16th March 1874 Margin be forwarded in
" 647 of 14 April original to the Home Govern-
ment Department. ment.

MA

A.S.D.

25. 10. 77
For attention to
Instructions under
No 14694
and for
the same

Copy 12
Sect. of 1877, Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To

Dated

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G. O., 5 Nov 1877, No. 14674

Nos. 58-59

P. Veeravagavooloo haidoo
late Tahsy Munsif PWD

Ordered that the Home
Dept be furnished with
certain proceedings connected
with ———

S. of S

Forward with some
remarks certain Proceedings
connected with P. Veeravagavooloo
haidoo late
Tahsy Munsif in the Chingle
put District