

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1391.	(9)	Press ~~Mis.~~
Dated 23-4-	1877.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

18

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
23rd April 1877. No. 1,391.*

Read the following :—

No. 548. From District Engineer, Tanjore, dated 26th February 1877, No. 357.
No. 548-A. From " " " " 3rd April " " 578.

No. 549. ORDER THEREON, 23rd April 1877, No. 1,391.

IRRIGATION, No. 55-A.

ENQUIRY having been made, and it appearing from the reports made after inspection of the Annapenpettah Channel by Mr. Littlewood, Lieutenant Smart, and Mr. Garrett, that the petitioner who undertook by Muchilka, dated 28th March 1876, the clearing of the channel, had not executed the work according to the estimate or placed the spoil to form a bank as provided for in the increased rate sanctioned by the District Engineer for that purpose; also that the quantity of work done as measured by Veerasawmy Iyengar and checked by Lieutenant Smart was but 6,318 $\frac{3}{4}$ cubic yards, or less than one-third of the quantity provided for in the estimate, the petitioner is informed that the Range and District Officers were right in refusing payment, but they will now be authorized to pay to the petitioner the value of the work actually done at such rate as the District Engineer may consider equitable in connection with the fact that the spoil was not placed where it was required to be put.

(True Extract.)

Lieut.-Col., R.E.,
Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.,
Irrigation Branch.

To the Petitioner, through the District Engineer, Tanjore.

Exd. S. T. Austin.

Spare Copies

the

No. 1391 Public Works Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the

23 April 1877

Read the following:

4025 }
4024 }

from the District
Engineer Tanjore

(H.E. No. 357 of 26 Feb'y 1877)

from do.

(No. 578 of 3 April 1877)

Endorsement

Enquiry having been made, and it appearing from the reports made after inspection of the Annapettah channel by Mr. Littlewood, Lieut. Smart and Mr. Garrett that the petitioner who undertook by Muchilka dated 28 March 1876 the

the clearing of the channel, had not executed the work according to the estimate or placed the spoil to form a bank as provided for in the increased rate sanctioned by the District Engineer for that purpose; also that the quantity of work done as measured by Veerasawmy Iyengar and checked by Lieut. Sma was but $6,318 \frac{3}{16}$ Cub yds. or less than one third of the quantity provided for in the estimate, the petitioner is informed that the Range and District Officers were right in refusing payment but they will

now

now be authorized to pay to the petitioner the value of the work actually done at such rate as the District Engineer may consider equitable in connection with the fact that the spoil was not placed when it was required to be put.

My. 17/4

To The Petitioner thro' the
District Engineer Tanjore. ✓
Aspd 8-5-77

RE

1025/1024

Sect. of 1877 Madras

PUBLIC WORKS.

To The Petitioner through the
D. B. Langford.

Dated

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G. O. 23 April 1877, No. 1391

General Secretary.

Nos. 548-549

Annappettah Channel
Langford Dr. The Engineer
authorized to pay to the
petitioner, Athistanatha
Pillay, the value of the
work actually done to
the — at such rates as
may be considered equit-
able

Contd. in Page 4