

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1379	(w)	Press Mis.
Dated 23-4-	1877.	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

5
12

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
23rd April 1877. No. 1,379.*

Read the following papers :—

No. 524. From Major J. BEATTY, R.E., Officiating Superintending Engineer, Godavery District, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, Buildings and Roads Branch, dated Dowlaishweram, 9th April 1877, No. 65.

With reference to paragraph 12 of my letter No. 3,403 of 23rd February 1877, in which I stated that the Examiner had reported that Rupees 1,434 might be withdrawn from the allotment of the Range Office at Chettipett, I have the honor to inform you that that statement was based entirely on the wording of G.O. No. 2,420 of 11th September 1876. I inferred that the sum in question had been withdrawn at the suggestion of the Examiner, and I regret to find that I was quite mistaken, and that he was not in any way responsible for its having been withdrawn. I now understand that the Examiner merely reported expenditure to end of previous year, and on this Government withdrew part of the grant.

No. 524-A. From the Examiner of Public Works Accounts, dated 19th April 1877, No. E. 21 G. D.

No. 525. ORDER THEREON, 23rd April 1877, No. 1,379.

CIVIL WORKS, No. 44.

RECORDED, with the remark that there was nothing in the wording of G.O. No. 2,420 of the 11th September 1876, which could warrant the construction placed on it by Major Beatty.

(True Extract.)

Colonel, R.E.,
Secretary to Government, P.W.D.

To the District Engineer, Godavery.
" Examiner of Public Works Accounts.

Exd. E. C. Shunker.
Spare Copies

No. 65

DISTRICT ENGINEER'S OFFICE GODAVERY.
DOWLAISHIWERAM, 9th April 1877.

3a

From

The Offr Supr Engineer
Godeaverry District.

To

The Chief Engineer
for Roads & Buildings.

Sir,

With reference to para 12 of my letter No 3403 of 23rd February 1877, in which I stated that the Examiner had reported that Rs 1434 might be withdrawn from the ~~attainment~~ of the Range Office at Chettipett. I have the honor to inform you that that statement was based entirely on the wording of L.O. No 2420 of 11th September 1876. I inferred that the sum in question had been withdrawn at the suggestion of the Examiner, and I regret to find that I was quite mistaken, and that he was not in any way responsible for its having been withdrawn. I now under-

understand that the Examiner merely re-
ported expenditure to end of previous year
and on this Government withdrew part
of the grant.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your Most obedient Servt
L. Beatty Major R.E.
Offg. Supr Engineer Godavery D.

NO. E. 21. G. D.

FORT ST. GEORGE, 19TH. APRIL 1877.

FORWARDED FOR THE INFORMATION OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER,
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Lowry Gordon

EXAMINER, P. W. ACCOUNTS.

CD. & EXD. E. H. M.

[Faint handwritten notes and signatures on the right page, including "L. Beatty" and "Major R.E."]

14-12-76 No 3808
11-9-76 No 2420
17-3-77 No 907

Roads & Buildings
E.W.D.
20 APR 77
4100

From
The Offg Supt Eng
Godavery

To
The Chief Engineer
Roads & Buildings

Dated 9 } April
Recd
No 65

Reports that this was mistaken in assuming that the Examiner had suggested the withdrawal of 1434 Rs from the Office at Chertip

By O 23rd April 77 No 324

On 21.4.77 No 23-4.77

No 1379

Public Works Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 23rd April 1877

Read the following:—

From the Offg Supt Eng Godavery D^y (H. C. No 65 dated 9th April 1877).

Recorded with the remark
that there was nothing in the wording
of H. C. No 2420 of the 11th September
1876 which could warrant the
construction placed on it by Ma-
-jor Beatty.

617
21/4/77

The D^y Supt Eng Godavery
The Secy of Public Works Dept.

100/100

Govt. of Madras

PUBLIC WORKS.

To The D. C. Godavari

Dated

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G. O. 23 April 1877, No. 1379

Nos. 524-525

Range Office at Chelapeta
Godavari. Letter from
the Officer in Charge stating
that he was mistaken in
assuming that the Engineer
had suggested the with-
drawal of Rs. 14,25 from the
allotment for the —
accorded in the remark

Ente in Regt.