

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.**Government of Madras.***Public Works* **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No.

1026

(W)

Press

Mis.

Dated

26-5-

1877.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
26th March 1877. No. 1,026.*

Read the following papers:—

No. 642. Read the following despatch from the Most Honorable the Secretary of State for India.

Public Works.
No. 38.

INDIA OFFICE, LONDON,
21st December 1876.

MY LORD DUKE,—Herewith is transmitted, for your Excellency's information, copy of a letter* from Mr. Dalrymple, late

* Dated 4th December 1876.

Master Attendant at Madras, relative to

the probable effect of the Harbour Works now under construction there.

2. I shall be glad to receive from your Government, at an early date, a report on the present state of the works, and to be informed whether there are, in your opinion, reasonable grounds for the fears entertained by Mr. Dalrymple in regard to them.

I have, &c.,
CARNARVON.

His Excellency the Right Honorable
The Governor in Council, Fort St. George.

From H. D. E. DALRYMPLE, Esq., late Master Attendant of Madras and Chief of the Marine Department, Madras Presidency, to the Under Secretary of State for India, dated Creebridge House, Newton Stewart, N.B., 4th December 1876:—

As I was for many years the Master Attendant of Madras, and during the latter years of my service the Head of the Marine Department of the Madras Presidency, I trust I may be permitted to address the Secretary of State for India in Council on a subject which is of vital importance to the prosperity of Southern India.

I have been constantly informed direct from Madras of the Harbour Works there, now in progress, and their already disastrous consequences, and I should ere now have come forward to call the serious attention of the Home Government to the subject had I not been withheld by scruples which I now think were mistaken ones.

A sense of duty now impels me to try, if possible, to avert the utter ruin of the port of Madras.

It is with much regret that I am constrained to bring in the name of one no longer living—the late lamented Governor of Madras, whose benevolence was manifest, but who on this subject, new to him, was apparently carried away by an idea.

Lord Hobart when he landed in India, new to the country, and totally unacquainted with its topography, conceived the scheme of a close harbour for Madras. As I was then the Head of the Marine Department, he mentioned his project to me, and courted my opinions, but when he found that I saw insuperable obstacles to such a scheme he dropped the subject and never recurred to it.

I had pointed out to his Lordship that from our own experience we knew that whenever a groyne or jetty had been constructed anywhere on the surf beaten coast, the immediate effects were the accumulation of sand, and the extension of the beach to the outer end of the groyne or jetty.

To the best of my recollection, I also referred His Lordship to the Report of the Breakwater Committee of 1863, of which I was a member; which Report is, I presume, on record in the India Office.

That Committee, which was composed of Engineers, nautical men, and members of the Chamber of Commerce, came to a decision adverse to any work on the principle of a close Harbour connected with the shore.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
26th March 1877. No. 1,026.*

My letters to the Madras Government on the subject* may also be referred to as well as those from the Chief Engineer, who was also Secretary to Government, Public Works Department.

* Under dates 16th April 1873 and 20th December, Nos. 2,700 and 7,489.

Let me now call attention to the remarkable statement regarding the Harbour Works in question, reported to have been made by Mr. Parkes at a meeting of the Madras Chamber of Commerce on the 26th of last October.

It is hardly credible, but such apparently is the fact, that Mr. Parkes with wonderful frankness admits that according to his own calculations the Madras Harbour will in fifty-six years cease to exist.

Now, as a rule, and in accordance as it seems to me with the principles of eternal justice, enactments are made, and great public works constructed for the benefit, not of one generation only, but, as far as can be foreseen, for that of generations yet to come; yet here the projector of a great and costly work coolly admits that the result of it will be the annihilation within a lifetime of the chief emporium of trade in Southern India.

Even in this calculation he is at fault. He states that "it would take fifty-six years for the sand to shoal up the entrance of the harbour," but he ignores the fact that the surf is, according to the season of the year, hundreds of yards (more or less) in advance of the shoaling up, and consequently that the harbour, according to his own calculations, will be useless in half the time he assigns as the period of its existence, viz., in twenty-eight years.

What a prospect this opens to the old established mercantile interests of Madras. When the harbour, which before completed will cost a million of English money, is closed, and the abandoned works nothing but an additional peril to the shipping—the trade will go elsewhere, and universal ruin will be the result.

A short time ago I met Mr. Robertson, the eminent Harbour Engineer, who spent two years in India inspecting all the ports of the three Presidencies, and he gave it as his decided opinion that if the present works now in progress were not stopped the port would be irretrievably ruined.

I may observe that my acquaintance with the whole of the Coromandel coast, and more particularly with the locality of Madras, extended over a period of forty years; the "prospecting" periods of Mr. Parkes' visits, I apprehend, could be counted in days, and yet his unsupported theories were accepted by Lord Hobart in opposition to recorded facts and to the opinion of numbers of men of great experience.

From an article in the *Madras Times* of 4th November 1876, I quote the following:—"A wide tract of dry land now occupies the space upon which the billows broke not twelve months ago. The surf now dashes against the landing stairs of the pier past which until quite recently the swell rolled along in a smooth volume. The Captains of steamers and coasting vessels have also noticed with apprehension the rapid shoaling of the water at their anchorages, and keep out further and further from the pier."

As the foregoing affords, I believe, a true account of the present ominous state of affairs, I earnestly recommend that Mr. Robertson, the Harbour Engineer, be consulted on the subject, and, if his services can be obtained, he be forthwith sent out to Madras to advise the authorities how to shape their course so as to save the port from annihilation.

Having had more prolonged experience of Madras and the Coromandel coast than any man now living, I am ready to place my services at the disposal of Government without requiring any remuneration whatever.

No. 642-A. From W. PARKES, Esq., M. Inst. C.E., Engineer-in-Chief, Madras Harbour Works, to Major-General G. W. WALKER, R.E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 18th January 1877.

I HAVE the honor to acknowledge receipt of copy of a letter, dated 4th December last, addressed to the Secretary of State by Mr. H. D. E. Dalrymple, the late Master

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
26th March 1877. No. 1,026.*

Attendant of Madras, in which he reiterates certain opinions which he had frequently expressed while in this country, as to the supposed disastrous results of the formation of a close harbour on this coast, and supports them by a supposed admission of mine:

2. While respecting the evident sincerity and sense of public duty manifested by Mr. Dalrymple, I cannot but feel surprised that he should have taken such decided action on an unauthorised report of an unofficial proceeding.

3. When I met the Chamber of Commerce on the occasion referred to, representatives of the local press had been invited to be present, and as I supposed my remarks would be reported *verbatim*, I did not, previous to the meeting, reduce them to writing. I afterwards found however that none of the reporters wrote shorthand, and the consequence was that the reports were most imperfect. I therefore immediately prepared a correct version of my address, which the Chamber of Commerce kindly had printed and circulated in a pamphlet form.

4. In this the statement which Mr. Dalrymple had seen reported as an admission, that "the Madras Harbour will in fifty-six years cease to exist," is given as follows, and I may remark that the report in the *Madras Mail* is substantially the same as my own on this point:—

"Again, sand has accumulated to a large extent to windward of the bank. I am not surprised at the public dismay, and I confess that until I had thoroughly investigated the case I was myself surprised at the apparent extent of the accumulation, but when I came to calculate the actual quantity I found that it was quite within the limits which justified my original conclusion that any real damage to the harbour from the annual repetition of such accumulations was in such a remote future as to place the question beyond the limits of practical discussion. From certain meagre data I obtained in 1873, I suggested 180 years as a probable interval before damage to the harbour would result. The results of the late south-west monsoon offer one element for a similar calculation. It is only one element, for it is admitted by every one that the north-east monsoon now commencing will carry away a part at least of what the south-west monsoon has brought, though as yet we do not know what proportion. For the moment, however, let us neglect this, and suppose the whole of the present accumulation to remain; even on this supposition it would require fifty-six years for the 4-fathom line to advance to the bend of the pier, and for the entrance to begin to shoal. Now do not take fifty-six years as a definite conclusion. It has to be added to by so much as each member in his own judgment may allow for the counteraction of the north-east monsoon, the first steps of which are already very obvious in the recession of the beach, and you arrive at a figure which need alarm no one. Then, every extension of the works to the southward will tend to prolong the time; and, at the last, whenever the danger may become imminent our remote posterity may, with the approved appliances then at their disposal, advance the pier seaward at their discretion. So there is no fear of the Harbour of Madras being other than virtually permanent."

5. This statement was made on the 26th October when the north-east monsoon had just commenced. On the 30th November, when the greatest effect of it was over, I reported to the Madras Government as follows, paragraph 45:—

"What then are the new facts? There has been a large and formidable looking triangular accumulation of sand to the southward of the surf bank, advancing the

shore line 550 feet at the bank and reaching alongshore about three-quarters of a mile. Now, if this accumulation had all remained, and we assumed that an equal quantity was liable to be added every year, it would have required between fifty and sixty years for sufficient to accumulate for it to pass the end of the pier and begin to shoal the entrance. But as a matter of fact one-half of this accumulation has since been removed, so that the time required for the injury to the harbour to commence is extended beyond the century, while if we take into further account that this has been a most exceptional season in the long continuance of southerly winds, and the paucity of northerly winds, it would really seem within the scope of reasonable expectation that the north and south movements will ultimately balance one another, and a line of shore be established permanent within certain limits, and those limits well within the line to which the harbour will project."

6. The above extracts will show how very far from my own conclusion is the definite period of fifty-six years. But the statement quoted by Mr. Dalrymple also misrepresents me as to what the state of things will be at the end of that time in saying that "the harbour will cease to exist." What I say is that the "entrance will begin to shoal." Now, some considerable shoaling of an entrance originally 42 feet deep may take place before the Harbour ceases to exist; and it must be an extremely slow process when it does commence. This will show that I have, if I rightly understand him, anticipated the considerations by which Mr. Dalrymple reduces my supposed fifty-six years to twenty-eight.

7. Mr. Dalrymple quotes from the *Madras Times* of 4th November 1876, a graphic description of the then "ominous state of affairs." I do not know whether the present state of affairs has been described by the same hand, but it may be well to state that so much as remains of the "wide tract of dry land" is now occupied by "mountains of rice bags," that the depths of water, at the pier stairs, from thence for the whole length of the pier seaward and for some distance from the same point landward are now restored to their normal amount, while the present position of the ten or twelve steamers now in the roads closer than they have ever anchored before, only serves to confirm what the Marine chart lately completed conclusively showed, viz, that the apprehensions of their Captains as to the shoaling of the water at their anchorages were entirely groundless. The "vast accumulation" has barely affected the 3-fathom line, and that only close to the actual work. Outside of that line there has been no change whatever.

8. I cannot pass over in silence Mr. Dalrymple's statement that my "unsupported theories were accepted by Lord Hobart in opposition to recorded facts, and to the opinions of numbers of men of great experience." Mr. Dalrymple's honest and fearless maintenance of his own conclusions in 1873-74 won the respect of every one, but it should not be forgotten that he stood at that time absolutely alone. Not a single Engineer or nautical man here or in England said a word in support of his theory, while those who a few years previously had sided with him had since either changed their views or were silent. Even now, when the late temporary turn of the popular feeling would have given a good opportunity to the less bold, though perhaps not less logical objectors to bring forward their views, not a single name of any authority has appeared in support of Mr. Dalrymple's particular view. Sir Arthur Cotton has recently spoken in his own name, and Mr. Robertson is quoted by Mr. Dalrymple,

but both of these had formerly placed on record in a most decided manner their dissent from the view of the sand difficulty which Mr. Dalrymple supports, and these expressions of dissent have not been withdrawn. The "recorded facts" are not disputed, but even among those who would have preferred a breakwater to a close harbour not one person of any authority has since 1868 supported the conclusion which Mr. Dalrymple draws from these facts.

9. If the discussion is to be re-opened, I venture to think that it must be based on some more definite argument than any adduced by Mr. Dalrymple.

MEMORANDUM.

THE Chief Engineer has nothing to add to the opinion expressed in paragraph 2 of his memorandum recorded with G.O., No. 3,343, of 9th December 1876.

He considers that (as stated in paragraph 7 of his memorandum recorded with G.O., No. 2,925, of 4th December 1873,) Mr. Parkes had not sufficiently gone into the details of construction of his surf banks; the junction of which, with the regular piers, as well as the means of depositing the rubble foundations of the latter, are giving some trouble to work out practically, and he has already said that a mistake was committed in not commencing the southern pier a year earlier. But these matters are being attended to; and he has no doubt that they are being effectually dealt with by Mr. Parkes and the executive staff under his orders, and the Chief Engineer has no misgivings as to the final success of the work.

(Signed) G. W. WALKER, Major-General, R.E.,
Chief Engineer, P.W.D.

19th January 1877.

No. 643. ORDER THEREON, 26th March 1877, No. 1,026.

CIVIL WORKS, No. 58.

MR. PARKES' report will be forwarded to the Home Government with an expression of His Grace the Governor's confidence in the ultimate success of the Harbour Works, and of his concurrence with the Engineer-in-Chief in considering Mr. Dalrymple's criticisms—which differ in nothing from those which he has often ere now expressed—too indefinite to warrant a re-opening of the discussion as to the safety of that design of harbour which the Madras Government long since adopted.

(True Extract.)

Colonel,
Acting Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.,
Buildings and Roads Branch.

To the Superintendent, Madras Harbour Works.
„ Marine Department.

Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies

11/3

15

The Chief Engineer
has nothing to add
to the opinion ex-
pressed in para 2
of his Memoir. re-
corded with S.O.
No. 3343 of 2^d Dec.
1876

He considers that
(as stated in para 7
of his Memoir. re-
corded with S.O.
No. 2925 of 4th Dec.
1873), Mr. Daines
had not sufficient
ly gone into the
details of con-
struction of his
Sinf Banks; the
junction of which
with the regular
Pier, as well as
the means of de-
positing the
Rubble founda-
tions of the latter,
were giving much
trouble to work
out practically,
and he has already

said that a mis-
take was com-
mitted in not
commencing the
Southern Pier a
year earlier. But
these matters are
being attended
to and he has
no doubt that
they will be ef-
fectually dealt
with by Mr. Parker
& the Executive
Staff under his
orders. And
the Chief Engineer
has no misgiv-
ings as to the
final success of
the work.

Yours faithfully
Chief Engineer

19.1.77

4.2.77

Rev 19-1-77

I am not confident
to give an opinion
but I think that
it would be desirable
that the Engineer
should be consulted
before the work is
commenced. I am
very truly
Yours

11/1

Thom
W. Parker Esq. M. I. C. E.
Engineer in Chief
Madras Harbour Works.

To
Major General G. W. Walker P. C.
Secretary to Government
Public Works Department,
Fort St. George.
Madras, 18th January 1877.

Sir,
I have the honor to acknowledge re-
ceipt of copy of a letter dated 11th December last
addressed to the Secretary of State by Mr. H.
P. C. Palynple, the late Master Attendant of
Madras, in which he reiterates certain opinions
which he had frequently expressed while in this
country as to the supposed disastrous results
of the formation of a close harbour on this
coast, and supports them by a supposed
admission of mine.

2. While respecting the evident sincerity
and sense of public duty manifested by
Mr. Palynple, I cannot but feel surprised
that he should have taken such decided
action

action on an unauthorised report of an unofficial proceeding.

3. When I met the Chamber of Commerce on the occasion referred to, representatives of the local press had been invited to be present, and as I supposed my remarks would be reported verbatim, I did not previous to the meeting reduce them to writing. I afterwards found however that none of the reporters wrote shorthand and the consequence was that the reports were most imperfect. I therefore immediately prepared a correct version of my address which the Chamber of Commerce kindly had printed and circulated in a pamphlet form.

4. In this the statement which Mr. Dalrymple had seen reported as an admission that the Madras Harbour will in 56 years cease to exist" is given as follows, and I may remark that the report in the Madras Mail is substantially the same as my own on this point:-

"Again, sand has accumulated to a large extent to windward of the bank. I am not surprised at the public dismay, and I confess that until I had thoroughly

10
"investigated the case, I was myself surprised
"at the apparent extent of the accumulation:
"but when I came to calculate the actual quantity
"I found that it was quite within the limits
"which justified my original conclusion that
"any real damage to the Harbour from the
"annual repetition of such accumulations
"was in such a remote future as to place
"the question beyond the limits of practical
"discussion. From certain meagre data
"I obtained in 1873, I suggested 100 years
"as a probable interval before damage
"to the harbour would result. The results
"of the late S. W. Monsoon offer one element
"for a similar calculation. It is only
"one element, for it is admitted by every
"one that the N. E. monsoon now com-
"mencing will carry away a part at
"least of what the S. W. monsoon has
"brought, though as yet, we do not know
"what proportion. For the moment, how-
"ever, let us neglect this, and suppose
"the whole of the present accumulation
"to remain; even on this supposition it
"would require 56 years for the 10 fathoms
line

line to advance to the bend of the pier, and
for the entrance to begin to shoal. Now do
not take 56 years as a definite conclusion.
It has to be added to by so much as each
member in his own judgement may allow
for the counter action of the N. E. Monsoon,
the first steps of which are already very obvious
in the recession of the beach, and you arrive
at a figure which need alarm no one.

Then every extension of the works to the
southward will tend to prolong the time;
and at the last, whenever the danger may
become imminent, our remote posterity
may, with the approved appliances then
at their disposal, advance the pier sea-
ward at their discretion. So there is no
fear of the Harbour of Madras being
other than virtually permanent.

5. This statement was made on the 26th
October when the North East Monsoon had
just commenced. On the 30th November
when the greatest effect of it was over, I
reported to the Madras Government as
follows: para 145,

What then are the new facts? There has
been

been a large and formidable looking triangular
accumulation of sand to the southward of the
surf bank, advancing the shore line 550 feet
at the bank and reaching alongshore about
three-quarters of a mile. Now, if this ac-
cumulation had all remained, and we
assumed that an equal quantity was
liable to be added every year, it would
have required between fifty and sixty
years for sufficient to accumulate for it
to pass the end of the pier and begin to
shoal the entrance. But as a matter
of fact one half of this accumulation
has since been removed, so that the time
required for the injury to the Harbour
to commence is extended beyond the
century, while if we take into further
account that this has been a most
exceptional season in the long continuance
of southerly winds, and the paucity of
northerly winds, it would really seem
within the scope of reasonable expectation
that the north and south movements
will ultimately balance one another,
and a line of shore be established,

permanent.

"permanent within certain limits, and those limits well within the line to which the Harbour will project."

6. The above extracts will show how very far from my own conclusion is the definite period of 56 years. But the statement quoted by Mr. Dalrymple also misrepresents me as to what the state of things will be at the end of that time, in saying that the Harbour will cease to exist. What I say is that the "entrance will begin to shoal." Now some considerable shoaling of an entrance originally 42 feet deep may take place before the Harbour ceases to exist and it must be an extremely slow process when it does commence. This will show that I have, if rightly understood him, anticipated the considerations by which Mr. Dalrymple reduces my supposed 56 years to 28.

7. Mr. Dalrymple quotes from the "Madras Times" of 14th November 1876 a graphic description of the then "ominous state of affairs."

I do not know whether the present state of affairs has been described by the same hand, but it may be well to state that so much as

Remains

remains of the "wide tract of dry land" is now occupied by "mountains of rice bags," that the depths of water, at the pier stairs, from thence, ^{for} the whole length of the pier seaward, and for some distance from the same point ^{landward} are now restored to their normal amount, while the ~~present~~ ^{present} position of the 10 or 12 steamers now in the road is closer than they have ever anchored before, only serves to confirm what the Marine Chart lately completed conclusively showed, viz: that the apprehensions of their Captains as to the shoaling of the water at their anchorages were entirely groundless. The "vast accumulation" has barely affected the 3 fathom line and that only close to the actual work. Outside of that line there has been no change whatever.

8. I cannot pass over in silence Mr. Dalrymple's statement that my "unsupported theories were accepted by Lord Hobart," in opposition to recorded facts and to the "opinions of numbers of men of great experience." Mr. Dalrymple's honest and fearless maintenance of his own conclusions in 1873-4 won the respect of every one, but

it should not be forgotten that he stood
at that time absolutely alone. Not a
single Engineer or nautical man here or
in England said a word in support of his
theory while those who a few years pre-
viously had sided with him, had since
either changed their views or were silent.
Even now when the late temporary turn of
the popular feeling would have given a
good opportunity to the less bold, though
perhaps not less logical objectors to bring
forward their views, not a single name
of any authority has appeared in support
of Mr. Palrymple's particular view. Sir
Arthur Cotton has recently spoken in
his own name and Mr. Robertson
is quoted by Mr. Palrymple, but both of
these had formerly placed on record in a
most decided manner their dissent from
the view of the sand difficulty which
Mr. Palrymple supports, and these ex-
pressions of dissent have not been withdrawn.
The "recorded facts" are not disputed, but even
among those who would have preferred a break-
water to a close harbour not one person
of

13
of any authority has since 1868 supported the
conclusion which Mr. Palrymple draws from
these facts.

9. If the discussion is to be reopened,
I venture to think that it must be based
on some more definite argument than any
adduced by Mr. Palrymple.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

W. Parkes
Engineer in Chief
Madras Harbour Works

Go to 2925-9 4-12-73
Lw 3343-9 9-12-76
Lw 56-8-1-77.

From P.W.D.
19 JAN 1877
J. B. Parker Esq: M. I. C. E.
Engineer in Chief
Madras Harbour Works

To The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
Fort St George

Dated 18th
Recd 1 January 1877

Submits remarks upon
the opinions expressed by Mr.
Dalrymple upon the formation
of a close Harbour -

By 26th March 77 J. B. Parker

Recd 19-1-77 J. B. Parker

Creebridge House
Newton Stewart, N.B.
4th December 1876.

Sir,

As I was for many years
the Master attendant of Madras,
and during the latter years of
my service the Head of the Marine
Department of the Madras Presidency,
I trust I may be permitted to
address the Secretary of State for
India in Council on a subject
which is of vital importance
to the prosperity of Southern India.

I have been constantly
informed direct from Madras
of the Harbour Works there - now
in progress - and their already
disastrous consequences, and I
should ere now have come
forward to call ^{the} serious attention

The Under Secretary
of State for India

of the Home Govt. to the subjects, had I not been withheld by scruples which I now think were mistaken ones.

A sense of duty now impels me to try if possible, to avert the utter ruin of the Port of Madras.

It is with much regret that I am constrained to bring in the name of one no longer living, - the late lamented Governor of Madras, whose benevolence was manifest - but who on this subject - new to him - was apparently carried away by an idea -

Lord Hobart when he landed in India, - new to the country, and totally unacquainted with its topography, conceived the scheme of a close harbour for Madras. As I was then the Head of the Marine Department he mentioned his

34
his project to me and counted my opinions, - but when he found that I saw insuperable obstacles to such a scheme he dropped the subject and never recurred to it.

I had pointed out to His Lordship that from our own experience we knew that whenever a groyne or jetty had been constructed anywhere on the surf beaten Coast the immediate effects were the accumulation of sand and the extension of the Beach to the outer end of the groyne or jetty.

To the best of my recollection I also referred His Lordship to the report of the Breakwater Committee of 1868 of which I was a member, - which report is - I presume - on record in the India Office -

That Committee which was composed of Engineers - Nautical Men

men, and members of the Chamber of Commerce, came to a decision adverse to any work on the principle of a Close Harbour connected with the shore.

My Letters to the Madras Govt. on the subject may also be referred to as well as those from the Chief Engineer who was also Secretary to Govt. P. W. D. ^{under dates 16th April 1873 & 20th Dec^r 1873} numbers 2700 and 7489

Let me now call attention to the remarkable statement regarding the Harbour Works in question - reported to have been made by Mr. Parkes at a meeting of the Madras Chamber of Commerce on the 26th of last October -

It is hardly creditable, but such apparently is the fact, that Mr. Parkes with wonderful frankness admits that according to his own calculations the Madras Harbour will in 56 years ^{be entirely gone} cease

5
cease to exist.

Now as a rule - and in accordance as it seems to me with the principles of eternal justice - enactments are made and great Public Works constructed for the benefit - not of one generation only - but as far as can be foreseen for that of generations yet to come; yet here the Projector of a great and costly work coolly admits that the result of it will be the annihilation within a lifetime of the chiefemporium of trade in Southern India

Even in this calculation he is at fault. He states that "it would take Fifty six years for the sand to shoal up the entrance of the Harbour" - but he ignores the fact that the surf is, according to the season of the

the year hundreds of yards (more or less) in advance of the shoaling up and consequently that the Harbour according to his own calculations will be useless in half the time he assigns as the period of its existence viz. 28 years.

What a prospect this opens to the old established merchantile interests of Madras. When the Harbour which before completed will cost a million of English money, is closed and the abandoned works nothing but an additional peril to the shipping, the trade will go elsewhere and universal ruin will be the result.

A short time ago I met Mr. Robertson the eminent Harbour Engineer, who spent two years in India inspecting all the Ports of the three Presidencies and he gave it as his decided opinion that

that if the present works now in progress were not stopped the Port would be irretrievably ruined.

I may observe that my acquaintance with the whole of the Coromandel Coast and more particularly with the locality of Madras extended over a period of Forty years; the "prospecting" periods of Mr. Parkes' visits I apprehend could be counted in days and yet his unsupported theories were accepted by Lord Hobart in opposition to recorded facts and to the opinions of numbers of men of great experience.

From an article in the "Madras Times" of 11th Nov^r 1876 I quote the following. "A wide tract of dry land now occupies the space upon which the billows broke not twelve months ago." "The

The surf now dashes against the
"landing stairs of the Pier" past
which until quite recently the
swell rolled along in a smooth
volume. The Captains of Steamers
and Coasting vessels have also
noticed with apprehension, the
rapid shoaling of the water ^{not long}
at their anchorages, and keep
out further and further from
the Pier.

As the foregoing affords, I
believe a true account of the
present ominous state of affairs
I earnestly recommend that Mr.
Robertson the Harbour Engineer
be consulted on the subject and
if his services can be obtained he
be forthwith sent out to Madras
to advise the Authorities how to
shape their course so as to save
the Port from annihilation.

Having had more prolonged
experience

experience of Madras and the
Coromandel Coast than any
man now living I am ready to
place my services at the disposal
of Government without requiring
any remuneration whatever.

I have &
(signed) H. D. Dalrymple
late Master Attendant of Madras &
Chief of the Marine Dept. Madras Presidency

2500

P.W.D.

19 JAN 77

No. 513

Copy Letter from Mr. Dalrymple

dated 4th Decr 1876 .

Referred to in Public Works Letter =

Madras, No. 38, dated 21st Decr 1876

Para: 2.

G.O. 26th March/77 J.B.V. 642

642

Public Works.
N^o. 38.

* dated 4th December 1876.

16/1

His Excellency,
The Right Honorable,
The Governor in Council
Fort St. George.

India Office, London.
21st December, 1876.

My Lord Duke,
1. Herewith is
transmitted, for your
Excellency's information,
copy of a letter from
Mr. Dalrymple, late
Master Attendant at
Madras, relative to the
probable effect of the
Harbour Works now
under

207 1/2

under construction there.

2. I shall be glad
to receive from your
Government at an early
date, a report on the
present state of the
works, and to be informed
whether there are, in your
opinion, reasonable grounds
for the fears entertained
by Mr. Dalrymple, in
regard to them.

I have the honor to be,
My Lord Duke,
Your Grace's most obedient
humble servant,

Camaron

Who is it?

9
disposal of the
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..
... ..

1
... ..
... ..
... ..

No 2.
P.W.D.
19 JAN 77
513 Public Works
The No. 21st Decr 1876.
Letter to Mr. George
No 38.

C. 1A

Forwarding Copy of a letter
from Mr. Dalrymple, late
harbour attendant at Mad-
ras, relative to the probable
effect of the Harbour Works
now under construction
in Madras.

No. 642

Enc 19-1-77

to P. H. Dept.
The Most Honorable
The Marquis of Salisbury
Secretary of State
for India
My Lord Marquis
We have the honor
to acknowledge your Lord-
ship's Despatch of the 2nd
December last enclosing
a letter from the late Master
Attendant of Madras, in
which the liveliest fears
regarding the Madras
Harbour Works are expressed.
Your Lordship has requested
us to report the present con-
dition of the Harbour Works
and to state whether there
are in our opinion reasonable
grounds for Mr Dalrymple's
apprehensions

M. 24/3

MS
22/3

MS
23/3

ATP

2. In reply, we have the
honor to forward a copy of
our Proceedings dated the
26th March 1877 in which is
recorded a letter from Mr. Parker
to whom we referred the subject
for report. ~~In the remarks con-~~
tained in that letter we
~~fully explain~~ Mr. Dalrymple
was well known to be one of
the most strenuous opponents
of the close-harbour scheme
in the discussions which
preceded the selection of
Mr. Parker's design. That
at this late time it would
be very undesirable to re-
open ^{the} general ^{question} ~~argument~~
as to the comparative merits
of a close and an open
harbour is evident.

3. Mr. Dalrymple's present
criticisms differ in no way
from those which he
has often before uttered.
And

No 1026

S.O. No 812 dated
7th March, 1877

that there is no ^{ground} ~~ground~~
in the statement. ^{that there}
is any shoaling at these ^{usual}
anchorage - and in fact vessels
of all classes have this season
anchored much within the
usual line.

And his assertion that
they are now being buried
by facts ^{is} not ~~be~~
~~assumptions~~. It is true that
a very large accumulation
of sand was during the low
water moon of last year
formed to the south of the
Northern Harbour break.
But in January, as Mr. Parker
remarks in his letter, ^{just}
half of this accumulation
had been cut away. In the
last of the Progress Report
which accompanies this letter
it is observed that the sea
is still narrowing
this land. And the recent
surveys of the Roadstead
show conclusively that ships
can with safety anchor as
near the shore as formerly.
It will therefore be
seen that Mr. Dalrymple's
objections ^{general}
~~arguments~~ are too ~~indefinite~~
to

along the shore

~~to want~~ ~~draw attention~~

4. Our late Chief Engineer before leaving for England decided his confidence in the final success of the work. ~~He agreed with him~~ ^{being} ~~and seeing~~ that the Government is virtually committed to Mr Parker's scheme and that such large sums have been already spent on the work ^{we} would deprecate any reopening of the subject, unless far more cogent arguments for so doing than have been adduced by Mr Dalrymple can be brought forward.

W.P.
20.3.77

Forwarding copy of Proceedings on letter from Mr Parker remarking upon the opinions expressed by Mr Dalrymple, late Master Attendant of Madras upon the formation of a close Harbour at Madras

Stirke 16

No 1026 Public Works Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 26th March 1877
Read the following:—

Despatch from the Secretary of State } (H.L. No 38 of 21st Decr 1876)

From
W. Parker Esq. Engineer. } (— of 18th Jan'y 1877)
in. chief, Madras Harbour works } (— of 19th —)
Chief Engineer, P. W. Dept —

Mr Parker's report will be forwarded to the Home Government with an expression of His Grace the Governor's confidence in the ultimate success of the Harbour works, and of his concurrence with the Engineer in chief in considering Mr Dalrymple's criticisms — which differ in nothing from those to which he has often ^{expressed} ~~now given~~ utterance — too indefinite to warrant a re-opening of the discussion as to the safety of that design of Harbour to

LD
22/3

H.P.

to which the Madras
Government has long
stood committed.
Since adoption

W.H.
20.3.77

On 5732
5722

Obt. of 1877 Madras

PUBLIC WORKS.

To
The Sup. Marine Harbour
Harbour

Dated

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G. O. 26th March 1906, No. 1026

Nos. 642-643

22

Close Harbours at Madras

Letter from Mr. Parker
remarking upon the opin-
ions expressed by Mr. Dal-
rymple, late Master Attor-
ney of Madras upon the
formation of a —, forwarded
to the Home Secy

Secretary

G. O. 26th March 1906
No. 1026