

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Public Works DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 1021

(W)

Press
Mis.

Dated

26-3-

1877.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
26th March 1877. No. 1,021.

No. 632. Read the following letter from Lieutenant-Colonel R. B. KENNEDY,
District Engineer, Malabar, to the Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Camp, Luckadie, 19th January 1877, No. 196 :—

I HAVE the honor to resubmit plans and estimates* for constructing Sub-Magistrate's Cutcherry with Sub-jail and out-houses at Kuttuparamba, revised in accordance with the remarks contained in your memorandum No. T-132, dated 3rd May last, and approved and countersigned by the Collector of the district.

* Rupees 15,085.

One Detailed Estimate.

„ Abstract do.

„ Specification.

„ Plan of Cutcherry and Sub-jail.

„ do. of out-houses.

„ do. of site.

2. No section of trial pits for foundations accompanies this, but the soil has been examined and found to be hard gravel.

3. The plan returned with your memorandum was drawn out before the receipt of the revised standard design adopted in G.O., No. 642, dated 13th March 1876, hence its not being in accordance with the latter. The two rooms for Sub-Registrar are now added in the position indicated by you and the scantlings all revised.

4. With regard to “ out-houses,” I have the honor to state that the heading on the revised standard plan led to the supposition that it referred to out-houses for Taluq or Tahsildar's Cutcheries, and therefore the plan approved of in G.O., No. 3,552, dated 18th November 1867, for such buildings was followed in preparing the design returned with your memorandum under reply. It has, however, been now revised in accordance with the revised standard plan.

5. The hospital-shed was retained, because it was entered in the original estimate drawn out by Captain Bailey, and approved of in G.O., No. 2,030, of 22nd June 1869, and the ryots' shed was omitted, because there was no provision for it made in the above estimate. The former has now been omitted and the latter added.

6. The iron barred doors, &c., have been now designed in accordance with detailed plan referred to in G.O., No. 232, of 23rd April 1872.

7. It is not understood what frames are referred to in paragraph 4 of your memorandum ; but no addition to the estimate will be caused by protecting them with iron sheets, as the cost can be charged to sundries and superintendence.

8. The necessity for bringing labor and carting chunam to site from Tellicherry (a distance of 8 miles) explains the difference of rates entered in the estimate and those given in the district schedule, as regards the items “ plastering with chunam and whitewashing” and “ cut-stone flooring,” and with reference to similar difference in the rates for other items remarked upon, I have the honor to state that common roof with jungle-wood rafters, &c., cost about Rupees 22, and Rupees 30 were allowed in the estimate for *Teakwood*. No good data have yet been obtained from experience in the district ; the rate for “ teakwood wrought and put up” has however been now reduced to Rupees 3 per cubic foot. Similarly, there had little painting work been done to get data from when the schedule of rates for 1875 was sent in, but when painting an old work including scraping cost Rupees 5½, I don't think Rupees 5 is excessive rate for new work of painting with three coats, considering carriage from Madras to Kuttuparamba, &c.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
26th March 1877. No. 1,021.

9. In the site plan sent herewith the front of the proposed cutcherry marked in black is what has been entered by the Range Officer, and that marked in red has been suggested by the Collector, who considers it the most advantageous direction.

No. 633.

ORDER THEREON, 26th March 1877, No. 1,021.

CIVIL WORKS, No. 56.

(a) Heads of Service.—Provincial.
Fund.—Ordinary.
Class.—Original Works.
Main-head.—Civil Buildings.
Sub-head.—A, Judicial Department.
Section.—i, Court houses.
Name of Work.—Constructing Sub-Magistrate's Cutcherry and Sub-jail at Kuttuparamba.
Amount.—Rupees 15,085.
To be carried out by the Public Works Department.

SANCTIONED.

2. No funds are available for the work.
3. This sanction has been registered as Provincial No. 281—W of 1876-77.

(True Extract.)

Colonel,
Acting Joint Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.,
Buildings and Roads Branch.

N^o. 196. Malabar Distt. Engrs' Office
Camp Luckadie 19th Jan'y. 1877.
From
The District Engineer,
Malabar.

To
The Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department,
Madras.

Sir,

+P: 15085. I have the honor to re-submit Plans and Estimates for constructing Sub-Magistrate's Cutcherry with Sub-jail & Cuthouses, at Kuttuparamba, revised in accordance with the remarks contained in your Memorandum, N^o. 182 dated 3rd May last, and approved and countersigned by the Collector of the District.

1 Detailed Estimate
1 Abstract — do —
1 Specification
1 Plan of Cutcherry and Sub-jail
1 do. of Cuthouses
1 do. of site
6 Total

2. No section of trial pits for foundations accom-
panied this, but the soil has
been examined and found to
be hard gravel.

3. The Plan returned
with your Memo: was drawn
out before the receipt of the
Revised Standard Design a-
dopted in J.O. N° 642 dated
13th March 1876, hence its not
being in accordance with the
latter. The two rooms for Sub-
Registrar are now added in
the position indicated by you
and the scantings all re-
vised.

4. With regard to "Out-
houses" I have the honor to
state that the heading on the
Revised Standard Plan led
to the supposition that it
referred to outhouses for
Taluk

24/11/76
J. H. H. H.

Taluk, or Tahsildars' Cutcheries
and therefore the plan ap-
proved of in J.O. N° 3052
dated 18th December 1867 for
such buildings, ^{was followed} in preparing
the design, returned with
your Memo: under reply.
It has, however, been now
revised in accordance with
the Revised Standard Plan.

5. The Hospital Shed
was retained because it was
entered in the original esti-
-mate drawn out by Captain
Bailey and approved of in
J.O. N° 2080 of 22nd June 1869,
and the Ryots' shed was
omitted because there was
no provision for it made
in the above estimate. The
former has now been omit-
-ted and the latter added.

6. The iron barred doors
J. H. H.

2. No section of trial pits for foundations accom-
panied this, but the soil has
been examined and found to
be hard gravel.

3. The Plan returned
with your Memo. was drawn
out before the receipt of the
Revised Standard Design a-
dopted in G.O. N^o 642 dated
13th March 1876, hence its not
being in accordance with the
latter. The two rooms for Sub-
Registrar are now added in
the position indicated by you
and the scantings all re-
vised.

4. With regard to "Out-
houses" I have the honor to
state that the heading on the
Revised Standard Plan led
to the supposition that it
referred to outhouses for
Taluk.

Taluk, or Tahsildar's Cutcherries
and therefore the plan ap-
proved of in G.O. N^o 3052
dated 18th December 1867 for
such buildings ^{was followed} in preparing
the design returned with
your Memo. under reply.
It has, however, been now
revised in accordance with
the Revised Standard Plan.
5. The Hospital Shed
was retained because it was
entered in the original Esti-
mate drawn out by Captain
Bailey and approved of in
G.O. N^o 2080 of 22nd June 1869,
and the Ryots' shed was
omitted because there was
no provision for it made
in the above estimate. The
former has now been omit-
ted and the latter added.
6. The iron barred doors

Yca

8. You have been now assigned
in accordance with detailed
plan referred to in J.O. No. 232
of 23rd April 1872.

It is not understood
what frames are referred to
in para 4 of your Memo.
but in addition to the esti-
mate will be caused by
protecting them with iron
sheets as the cost can be
charged to Sundries and
Superintendence.

8. The necessity for
bringing labor, and carting
churnam to site from Telli-
cherry (a distance of 8 miles)
explains the difference of
rates entered in the esti-
mate and those given in
the District Schedule as re-
gards the items "Plastering
with churnam and white
washing"

washing, and "cut stone floor-
ing". And with reference to
similar difference in the
rates for other items re-
marked upon, I have the
honor to state that common
roof with jungle wood
rafters &c cost about Rs.
22, and Rs. 30 were allowed
in the estimate for leak
wood. No good data have
yet been obtained from ex-
perience in the District,
the rate for "leakwood"
wrought and put up" had
however been now reduced
to Rs. 3 per Cubic foot. Simi-
larly there had little paint-
ing work been done to get
data from when the schedule
of rates for 1875 was sent
in, but when painting on
old work including sera-
ping.

8. = price cost Rs 5 1/2, I don't
 1. think Rupees 5 is excessive
 7 rate for new work of paint.
 6. = price with 3 Coats, consid.
 5. = price carriage from Mad.
 4. = road to Kuttuparamba &c.
 3. = g. In the site plan
 2. sent herewith, the front of
 1. the proposed Cutcherry mark.
 0. = ed in black is what has
 9. been entered by the Range
 8. Officer and that marked
 7. in red has been suggested
 6. by the collector who con-
 5. sidered it the most ad-
 4. vantageous direction.
 3. I have the honor to be,
 2. Sir,
 1. Your most Obedt. Servant,
 0. W. B. Vennery S. Hol.
 District Engineer,
 Malabar.

(a) Heads of Service— Provincial

Fund. Ordinary
 Class. Original Work
 Main-head. Civil Buildings
 Sub-head. A. Judicial Department
 Section. i Court houses
 Name of Work. Constructing Sub-Magistrate's
 Cutcherry & Sub Jail at Kuttuparam

Amount. Rs 15,000

To be carried out by the Public Works Department.

Print in the margin of G.O. No. 1021 of 26-3-77

13.3.76. No 62.
 10.9.75 " 60. India
 20.4.74 " 1014.
 23.4.72 " 232 India
 22.6.69 " 2030.

From P.W.D.
 22 JAN 77
 61-1
 The District Eng^r
 Malabar,
 To
 The Chief Engineer,
 P.W.D.
 Madras.
 No 196

Dated 19th January
 Rec^d 3 January
 1877.
 Enclosed 3 Plans
 with Librarian

3. Plans.
 1. Estimated Estimate } Returned to
 1. Abstracts do } the D.E. Malabar
 1. Specifications } vide C.R. 5266.
 8 } of 77 - 29.5.77

Forwarding Plans
 and Estimates for
 constructing Sub
 Magistrate's Cut:
 - cherry at Kootta.
 - Jambha.

L.O. 26: March/77 No 632

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 26th March 1877
 Read the following:—

From the District Engineer (H.E. No 196 of 19th Jan/77)

Sanctioned.

2. No funds are avail-
 able for the work.

This sanction ^{has}
 has been registered as
 Provincial No 281 W. of
 1876-77—

24/3

District Engineer ✓
 by Mr. A.
 Per Dept ✓ Dated 9-4-77

✓
Oct. 1877. Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To D. G. Mahaboo.

27/3

Dated

Issued

Recd

Dist

G. O. 28th March No. 1021

Est. 632-633

Sub Magistrate, Cutchery
at Ruttuparamba, Malabar
Dr.

The estimate of
Rs. 15,083 for constructing
a —, sanctioned

Est. in P. G.