M.R.O. 1—10,006—28-2-50.

(1) Number of pages_____

(2) Date of repair_____

(3) Initials of the Referencer_____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No. / ~~B.P. No.~~	1816-17	Press / ~~Mis.~~
Dated	19-7-	C876 ~~195~~ .

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
19*th July* 1876. *No.* 1,816.

No. 292. Read the following docket of the Government of India in the Public Works Department, (Account—Irrigation), dated Simla, 26th May 1876, No. 214-A. I.:—

COPY of the following forwarded to the Secretary to the Government of Madras, Public Works Department, for an expression of opinion.

(Signed) J. J. McLEOD INNES,
Dy. Secy. to Govt. of India.

EXTRACT paragraph 2 from a letter No. 213-A. I., dated 26th May 1876, from the Government of India, Public Works Department, to the Secretary to the Government of the North-Western Provinces, Public Works Department, Irrigation Branch.

Paragraph 2.—The attention of the Government of India has been attracted to the circumstance that the excess outlay has been caused by adjustments of establishment charges consequent on the short expenditure on extraordinary Irrigation Works, as this has increased the proportion of the charges for establishment which has to be debited to the ordinary grant. The Governor-General in Council considers that this result would be avoided if it were arranged that the charge to the extraordinary grant on account of establishment charges in mixed divisions should never be less than the grant assigned for the purpose. This course might result in an excess charge over the provision for establishment in the general estimates for the projects under execution from extraordinary funds, but it seems only proper that the extraordinary grant should be held liable for the shortcomings connected with the expenditure from it rather than that the excess charge in consequence of the failure to work up to the allotment should be thrown upon the ordinary grant. But before passing final orders the Government of India would be glad to receive the opinion of the Government, North-Western Provinces, on the subject, and I am therefore to ask for an expression of the views of the Lieutenant-Governor on the proposed mode of adjustment.

No. 293. ORDER THEREON, 19th July 1876, No. 1,816.

IRRIGATION, No. 28.
Account.

ORDERED that the following letter be sent:—

(Here enter, No. 1,817, dated 19th July 1876.)

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. W. WALKER, Major-General, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

Exd. W. H. French.
Spare Copies

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
FORT ST. GEORGE, 19*th July* 1876.

No. 294.

No. 1,817.

FROM

MAJOR-GENERAL G. W. WALKER, R.E.,
Secretary to the Government of Madras,
Public Works Department,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
Public Works Department.

IRRIGATION No. 29.
Account.

SIR,—With reference to your docket, No. 214 A I, dated May 26th, 1876, I am directed to state that the rules regarding the apportionment of general establishment charges have in this Presidency always been construed in the meaning now suggested to be attached to them by the Government of India.

2. The grants under Imperial have been taken separately under the following heads—

Irrigation—(1) Extraordinary.
„ —(2) Ordinary.
„ —(3) Revenue.
„ —(4) Ordinary Agricultural.
Imperial—(5) Military.
„ —(6) Other Services.

And Establishment charges have been debited at 25 per cent. of the grant or of the expenditure, whichever was greater, under each of these six heads taken separately.

3. I am to add that His Excellency the Governor in Council sees no reason for changing the existing practice of apportioning general establishment charges.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Colonel,
Offg. Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.,
for *Secretary to Government*

Exd. W. H. French.
Spare Copies

C20. 18-8-75-2375
" 9-3-75. 669
" 5-8-75-2234
" 2-4-" - 879
" 13-2-" - 407 & 406
" 5-2-" - 312
" 19-12-74. 3577
" 1-6-" - 3391A
" 29-9-74 - 215 bis
" 15-8-" - 2265
" 12-8-" - 2213 & 2212
" 4-8-" - 2130
" 2-8-75 - 2220
" 20-10-74 - 2991A
" 21-5-" - 1356
" 5-6-" - 1206 & 1205
" 30-1-" - 219
" 3-11-73 - 2684 & 2683
" 24-7-" - 164 bis
" 2-4-" - 1024 & 1023
" 2-4-" - 1011
" 18-2-" - 538
" 28-1-76 - 221
" 28-10-75 - 2882
" 7-12-" - 3468

Packet of Papers of
C.P.O. 5794 of 1876

P. W. 1876 Department

No. 5791

From
The Government of India

Dated 25th May
Received 21st July } 1876.

No 214 A.D.

Calling for an expression of opinion regarding the apportionment of general Establishment Charges.

Revd 10th & 19th July 76
Bdlwd Mad. Bom. Follwng
(42 Rs 18179) 69 July 76

No 292

P.L. Sheet 25 July.

pitching with the ordinary
tax as I can see, which
would make us the poorest
of the main divisions of
the Imperial grants under
Civil Services & Original Works
and Repairs (making 12 grants)
& further, even to individual
Appropriations.

W.C. Eq. 6.

Proposed draft to India

Cir. 29/6

Eq 27

Circ

39 7

to deal separately with
the six Imperial grants,
1. Irrigation - Capt. Saty
2. do do do Ordy
3. do do Revenue
4. do do Ordinary
5. Military
6. Other Items

Judging from Res. of 17.7.74
No 1927, and of 20.10.74
No. 2294. A. the intention
was I think the other way,
viz. to treat the Imperial
Grant as one, and this
arrangement, though in
practice it would bear more
heavily on Provincial, is
I think more reasonable in
principle, inasmuch as it
should be a matter of indif-
ference to Provincial, how
the total Imperial grant may
be split up. Adopting the
this interpretation there is
nothing

1. The rule laid down
in G.O. 2991. A. of 20.10.74
says nothing about Separate
grants under Imperial, and
according to that Order
therefore the apportionment
of charges should be based
on the total grant or
total expenditure, as the
case may be, under Imperial
including Irrigation.

2. The other plan, that
of considering each Irrigt.
work separately, would of
course, make more
payment for Imperial,
and less for
Provincial
to Canada
P.S. It appears that the
actual practice has been

Gov[t] Letter No 214 a.d. dated
26 March 1876

Memo Note

Transmitted with file, to the Examiner S.W. a for any remarks he may wish to be taken into consideration in the reply to the Gov[t] letter

My. 20/6/76

To C.

The question is whether in applying the rule of 25% on Grant or outlay whichever may be the greater, the Imperial ~~Imperial~~ Grants are to be taken as a whole, or each grant separately?

(3) Revenue

(4) Ordinary Agricultural

Imperial - (5) Industry -

(6) Other Services.

and Establishment charges have been debited at 25 per cent of the grant or of the Expenditure, whichever was greater, under each of these six heads taken separately -

3. I am to add that the Secretary of State in Council sees no reason for changing the existing practice of apportioning general Establishment charges

Lt[r]

29/6

Desp[d] 2/8/76

No. 1817 dt 19th July/76.

To Works.

Genl. of Birdwood

With reference to your

Secret

letter No. 2140 A.S. dated

May 26th 1876, I am directed

to state that the rules regarding

the apportionment of general

Establishment charges have in

this Presidency always been

construed in the manner

now suggested to be attached

to them by the Govt. of India

2. The grants under

Imperial head have been taken

separately under the following

heads:—

Irrigation — (1) Extraordinary.

(2). Ordinary.

No 292-293

31-3-08

9/11/76

5794

Govt. of {1876} Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

The Secy to the Govt of India

Dated

Issued

Recd

Encl

G. O., 19 July 1876, Nos 1876 & 1877

Nos. 292 - 293
294

Expressing the opinion of Govt regarding the apportionment of General Establt Charges.

Apportionment of General Establt Charges

Passing Orders on Secy of the Govt of India Jammu Dy for an expression of opinion, returned from the letter addressed to the Govt N.W.P. & Oudh &c regarding the ——

Ent in Reg
8-8-76

Ex 12-7-76