

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	968	(Ry)	Press
B.P. No.			Mis.
Dated	11. 4.		1876.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

IV

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
11th April 1876.*

No. 225. Read the following endorsement of the Consulting Engineer for Railways, dated Madras, 5th April 1876, No. 173:—

From R. B. ELWIN, Esq., Agent and Manager of the Madras Railway Company, to Lieutenant-Colonel J. H. M. SHAW-STEWART, R.E., Consulting Engineer for Railways, dated Madras, 4th April 1876, No. 338.

ADVERTING to your letter of the 27th ultimo, No. 313, I have the honor to enclose, for your information, copy of the correspondence that has passed between the Traffic Manager and myself as to the South-west Line train not having waited at Erode for the South Indian train on the 22nd January last.

From R. B. ELWIN, Esq., Agent and Manager of the Madras Railway Company, to H. E. CHURCH, Esq., Traffic Manager, dated Madras, 9th March 1876, No. 912.

YOUR C/ ⁵⁵³_{1,554}, 8th instant.

I have sent on your explanation for the information of Government, but my understanding of your No. C/ ⁵⁵³_{1,277}, of the 31st of May last, was that the delay of one or two hours as required was to be reckoned from our advertized time of departure.

I imagine that the Government will also have accepted the arrangement in this light, and I shall therefore be glad to hear from you further upon the subject.

From H. E. CHURCH, Esq., Traffic Manager, to R. B. ELWIN, Esq., Agent and Manager of the Madras Railway Company, dated Madras, 9th March 1876, No. C/ ^{553 A & M}_{1,557}.

South-west Line Mail not waiting for South Indian Train.

YOUR No. 912 of 9th instant.

No exception having been taken by the South Indian Railway Company to the arrangement proposed in my letter to their Traffic Manager, dated 24th June last, I was bound to conclude that he concurred with me in thinking that the margin of one hour and ten minutes was sufficient for ordinary occasions; and under these circumstances, I would submit that Mr. Betts has no real ground of complaint against this Company.

From R. B. ELWIN, Esq., Agent and Manager of the Madras Railway Company, to H. E. CHURCH, Esq., Traffic Manager, dated Madras, 4th April 1876, No. 1,275.

YOUR C/ ⁵⁵³_{1,557}, 9th ultimo.

The explanation you give only affects the matter as between ourselves and the South Indian Company, and does not explain what was apparently a breach of arrangement as between this Company and the Postal Department.

I shall be glad to hear from you that the arrangement explained in your No. C/ ⁵⁵³_{1,277}, of the 31st of May 1875, will, when necessary, be adhered to in future.

SUBMITTED for the information of Government with reference to their Proceedings, dated the 24th March 1876, No. 743.

2. The explanation given by the Traffic Manager is unsatisfactory, and inconsistent with the arrangement made with the Postal Department. It is understood that that arrangement will in future be adhered to.

(Signed) J. H. M. SHAW-STEWART, Lt.-Col., R.E.,
Consulting Engineer for Railways.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
11th April 1876.

No. 226.

ORDER THEREON, 11th April 1876, No. 968.

RAILWAY, No. 28.

RECORDED. It is understood that in future the delay of one or two hours for the mails will be reckoned from the advertised time of departure.

(True Extract.)

Colonel,

Offg. Under Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

No. 338

MADRAS RAILWAY.

AGENT AND MANAGER'S OFFICE.

Madras, 4 April 1876.

From

Robert B. Elwin Esq.

Agent and Manager. M.R.C.

To

Lieut Colonel J. M. Shaw Stewart R.E.

Consulting Engineer for Railways.

SIR,

Adverting to your letter of the 27th ultimo, No. 313, I have the honour to enclose for your information copy of the correspondence that has passed between the Traffic Manager and myself as to the South West Line train not having waited at Erode for the South Indian train on the 22nd January last.

I have the honour to be
Sir,

Your most obedient servant

W. B. Elwin
Agent and Manager.

W. 25/8/96.
2

MADRAS RAILWAY.
From

The Agent and Manager.

To

The Consulting Engineer

for Railways.

Dated 4th April 1876.
Recd. 5. M.

No. 338.

For sending copy of
Correspondence between
Traffic Managers and
Agent Managers as to
the P. M. train not
having waited at Madras
for the South Indian train
on the 22nd January last.

N^o 912.

Madras Railway Company
Agent and Manager's Office
Madras 9th March 1876.

Dear Sir,

Your C/553/1554 of 8th instant

I have sent on your explanation for the information of Government, but my understanding of your N^o C/553/1277 of the 31st of May last was that the delay of one or two hours as required, was to be reckoned from our advertised time of departure.

I imagine that the Government will also have accepted the arrangement in this light and I shall therefore be glad to hear from you further upon the subject.

Yours faithfully,
H. Robert B. Elwin
Agent and Manager

The Traffic Manager.

N^o C/553 a.m. 1557

Madras Railway
Traffic Manager's Office
Madras 9th March 1876.

The Agent and Manager
Madras Railway Company.

Dear Sir,

South West Line Mail not waiting
for South Indian Train.

Yours

Your N^o 912 of 9th instant

No exception having been taken by the South Indian Railway Company to the arrangement proposed in my letter to their Traffic Manager dated 2^d June last, I was bound to conclude that he concurred with me in thinking that the margin of one hour and ten minutes was sufficient for ordinary occasions, and under these circumstances I would submit that Mr. Betts has no real ground of complaint against this company.

Yours faithfully
Edy W. E. Church
Traffic Manager.

N^o 1275.

Madras Railway Co.
Agent and Manager's Office
Madras 1st April 1876.

Dear Sir,

Your C/553
1557 of 9th ultimo.

The explanation you give only affects the matter as between ourselves and the South Indian Company, and does not explain what was apparently a breach of arrangement as between this company and the Postal Department.

J

9V S.
I shall be glad to hear from you that the arrangement explained in your C/553
1277 of the 31st of May 1875, will when necessary be adhered to in future.

Yours faithfully
Edy Robert B. Elwin
Agent and Manager.

The Traffic Manager.

1 True copy.

L. M. W. W.
Agent and Manager.

W.

Pr 2518/96

Railway Deptt.

Cons. Eng'g Office
Madras 5th April 1876.

N^o 173

Submitted for the in-
formation of Government, with
reference to their Proceedings
dated the 24th March 1876, N^o 743.

2. The explanation given
by the Traffic Manager is
unsatisfactory, and inconsis-
tent with the arrangement made
with the Postal Department.
It is understood that that
arrangement will in future
be adhered to.

W. H. C. C.
Cons. Eng'g for Railways
To Secy to Government
P. W. Deptt.
Fort St. George.

Ex No 7438 24/3/76

No 968 Ryf

Recorded. It is understood that in future the delay of one or two hours for the mails will be reckoned from the advertised time of departure.

G.M.M.

21/11th April 1876

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text]

N 225-226

Chas. Dyer
April 21/76

Dep't 20/4/76

Genl. 1876 22/4/76
No. 2518

Genl. Dyer

Secretary to Govt

Chas. Dyer

Patents 21/4/76

Genl. 1876

22. Standing into

Secretary of

letter from the

French Consul

at Constantinople

to the British

Consulate at the

Genl. Dyer

21-4-76

85/8

Govt. of 1876 Madrid.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To

The Com^o Eng^o de Railways

Dated

Insert

Recd.

Envoy

G. O. H. 11th April 1876 No. 768

No. 725-726

Encl^o in Reg^o
29/4/76

Train (Ry)

Endorsement of the Com^o
Eng^o for Ry^o submitting cor-
respondence as to the SW
Line train not having
waited at Grode for the
South Indian train on the
22^d. See last, recorded
with remarks.