

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE**Government of Madras***Public Works* DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	943 (Ry)	Press
-B.P. No.		-Mis-

Dated. 10-4-1876.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
10th April 1876*

No. 174. Read the following letter from Lieutenant-Colonel J. H. M. SHAW-STEWART, R.E., Consulting Engineer for Railways, to Major-General G. W. WALKER, R.E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madras, 15th March 1876, No 127 —

I HAVE the honor to enclose, for the consideration of Government, a letter from the Agent of the Madras Railway, No. 211, dated 1st March 1876 bringing to notice an instance in which the time of Railway servants was unnecessarily wasted in consequence of a prosecution before the Second-class Magistrate of Pullumpett having been postponed seven times. It is stated that this is "but one instance out of many where Inspectors, Watchmen, and Coolies are, in consequence of adjournments, unnecessarily kept away from their work"

2. This is not the first occasion upon which Railway business has been found to be seriously inconvenienced by Magisterial delays. In order to reduce the inconvenience to a minimum, the Magistrate of Malabar in 1868 appointed weekly Courts to be held by a Sub-Magistrate at each of the principal Railway Stations within the district. This system appears to have worked well at first; but the Government arrived at the conclusion that there was no necessity for its general adoption throughout the Presidency. The matter is referred to in the following Proceedings of Government in the Judicial Department —

No. 450, dated 18th March 1869.

„ 1,062, „ 25th June „

3. Upon the Oudh and Rohilkund Railway it is stated that each Engineer is a Magistrate in the different districts through which his length of line runs, and that this arrangement insures the investigation on the spot of a number of cases which might otherwise entail the absence of the Railway servants when most wanted

4. In the Proceedings of Government above alluded to, No. 1,062, dated the 25th June 1869, it is observed that the Magistrate of Malabar suggested the employment of a Sub-Magistrate on the special duty of trying all Railway cases over a certain length of line. It appears to be deserving of consideration whether some such arrangement would not be an improvement upon the existing system, under which the time of Railway servants is often unnecessarily wasted

From R. B. ELWIN, Esq., Agent and Manager, Madras Railway Company, to Lieutenant-Colonel J. H. M. SHAW-STEWART, R.E., Consulting Engineer for Railways, dated Madras, 1st March 1876, No 211

I HAVE the honor to enclose you a copy of the Acting Chief Engineer's letter, No 181, of the 29th ultimo, and to request that you will be so good as to submit it to Government in view to some action being taken to prevent Railway servants being obliged to attend the Courts of Mofussil Magistrates an unnecessary number of times in cases in which prosecutions are undertaken by the Company.

From W. R. ROBINSON, Esq., Acting Chief Engineer, to R. B. ELWIN, Esq., Agent and Manager of the Madras Railway Company, dated Madras, 29th February 1876, No 181.

I BEG to report the following case where an Inspector and witnesses had to attend a Court an unnecessary number of times.

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
10th April 1876*

2 The case referred to was the prosecution of Kyathipatti Railway of Madras before the Second-class Magistrate of Pullumpet (Case No. 4). The case was filed on the 8th of January and made returnable on the 14th. On the 14th the Inspector with witnesses appeared for prosecution. I went to that day was at 11.15. The defendant not appearing, the case was postponed until the 22nd. The Inspector was then told that the defendant was arrested and would appear on the 22nd, on which date he and witnesses for the prosecution were expected. The case was then adjourned to the 31st ultimo, and the Court held at Sillanpilly, a village about 11 miles from the inland. The Inspector duly appeared and was told to wait until the 31st. He then received instructions from the Magistrate to go to the station at Pullumpet. On arriving at Reddypully Station he received a letter from the Magistrate telling him to appear on the 4th instant when the case was to be tried.

3 The Resident Engineer, 1st Division, North-west Line, informs me that there is a large number of instances of many where Inspectors, Watchmen and Clerks are, in consequence of adjournments, unnecessarily kept away from their work.

No. 175.

ORDER THEREON, 10th April 1876, No. 243

RAILWAY, No. 18.

His Grace the Governor in Council desires that the Magistrate of Cuddalore may be called on to the Judicial Department to explain fully the causes of delay on the part of the Second-class Magistrate of Pullumpet complained of by the Railway authorities. The loss of time and derangement of duties caused by the frequent absence of Railway servants at Courts held away from the Railway Stations is a serious evil, which should, if possible, be remedied. And the advice of all Magistrates through whose jurisdiction the Railway passes will be sought in the Judicial Department. Meanwhile, the Consulting Engineer will call on the Agent and Manager of the Madras Railway to furnish a list of cases in which delay has occurred in the disposal of suits in which the Company was concerned.

(For Extract)

Colored,

251 Under Secy to Govt, P.W.D.

To the Consulting Engineer for Railways,
Judicial Department

RAILWAY DEPARTMENT

CONSULTING ENGINEER'S OFFICE

Madras, 15 March 1876.

No. 127

From

LIEUT. COLONEL J. H. M. SHAW-STEWART, R.E.,

Consulting Engineer for Railways,

To

MAJOR-GENERAL G. W. WALKER, R.E.,

Secretary to Government,

Public Works Department,

Fort Saint George.

SIR,

18211 dated
1st March 1876.

I have the honour to enclose, for the consideration of Government, a letter from the Agent of the Madras Railway bringing to notice an instance in which the time of Railway servants was unnecessarily wasted in consequence of a prosecution before the second-class Magistrate of Pullumpet having been postponed seven times. It is stated that this is "but one instance out of many where Inspectors, watchmen, and

and coolies are, in consequence of adjournments, unnecessarily kept away from their work.

2. This is not the first occasion upon which Railway business has been found to be seriously inconvenienced by magisterial delays. In order to reduce the inconvenience to a minimum, the Magistrate of Malabar in 1868, appointed weekly courts to be held by a Sub-Magistrate at each of the principal Railway stations within the District. This system appears to have worked well at first, but the Government arrived at the conclusion that there was no necessity for its general adoption throughout the Presidency. The matter

30
is referred to in the following Proceedings of Government in the Judicial Department:—

N^o 450 dated 18 March 1869

N^o 1062—25 June 1869. 28

3. Upon the Oudh and Rohilkund Railway it is stated that each Engineer is a Magistrate in the different districts through which his length of line runs; and that this arrangement insures the investigation on the spot of a number of cases which might otherwise entail the absence of the Railway servants when most wanted.

4. In the Proceedings of Government above alluded to N^o 1062, dated the 25 June 1869, it is observed that the Magistrate of Malabar suggested the employment of a Sub-Magistrate on the special duty of trying all Railway cases.

by letter N^o 53
Karagaptha 18/19.

cases over a certain length of time.
 It appears to be deserving of
 consideration whether some such
 arrangement would not be an
 improvement upon the existing
 system, under which the time
 of Railway servants is often
 unnecessarily wasted.

I have the honour to be,
 Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Wm Stewart Esq. R.E.

Consulting Engineer for Railways

12/174
 The following letters
 from the Agent R.E.
 bearing on it include
 the necessary amount
 of the necessary
 who is obliged to attend
 the magistrate's court
 on 20-2-1876
 P.M. 1876 & 1877
 1876 1927
 1876 1927

No. 211

62
 MADRAS RAILWAY.

AGENT AND MANAGER'S OFFICE,

Madras, 1st March 1876

From Robert W. Stewart Esq.
 Agent and Manager M.R. Company
 To Lieut Colonel J. H. M. Shaw Stewart R.E.
 Consulting Engineer for Railways.

Sir,
 I have the honour to enclose you a
 copy of the Acting Chief Engineer's letter
 No. 181 of the 29th ultimo and to request that
 you will be so good as to submit it to
 Government in view to some action being
 taken to prevent Railway Servants being
 obliged to attend the courts of Mofussil
 Magistrates, an unnecessary number of
 times, in cases in which prosecutions are
 undertaken by the Company.

I have the honour to be,
 Sir

Your most obedient servant

W. Stewart

Agent and Manager

P.W. 1727/76

181

Madras Railway Company
Chief Engineer's Office
Madras 29 February 1876

The Agent and Manager

Dear Sir,

I beg to report the following case where an Inspector and witnesses had to attend a Court an unnecessary number of times. The case referred to was the prosecution of K. Suthupvaloo Ramasawmy at Madarapad, before the 2nd class Magistrate of Pullumpett for assaulting Line Watchman N^o 48. The case was filed on the 8th of January and made returnable on the 14th. On the 14th the Inspector with witnesses appeared for prosecution and were told that that day was a holiday and to appear on the 19th. The defendant not appearing, the case was postponed until the 22nd, the Inspector was then told that the defendant was not arrested and to appear on the 25th on which date he and witnesses for the prosecution were examined, the case was then adjourned to the 31st ultimo, and the Court held at Suddareddy Pully a village about 10 miles from Kodoor inland. The Inspector duly appeared and was told to wait which he did until 3 P.M. he then received instructions from the Magistrate to attend on the 3rd instant at Pullumpett. On arriving at Reddypully Station he received a letter from the Magistrate telling him to appear

MADRAS RAILWAY CO.

From

The Agent and Manager

To

The Chief Engineer

For Forward

Per Mr. Macdonald 15th

Recd 2. Jan

No 211

Springing to witness the
unnecessary number
of times, the Inspector
witnesses were able to attend
to attend 11 of arbitrator
of Court

appear on the instant when the case was settled

OT 1927/76
2

3. The Resident Engineer 1st Division North West Line informs me that there is but one instance of many where trespassers, watchmen and bodies are, in consequence of adjournments, unnecessarily kept away from their work

Yours faithfully
J. W. R. Robinson
Acting Chief Engineer

True copy
W. H. [unclear]
Agent and Manager

Papers put up with Chg
1927.

2010 Dec 1868 - 123750.
" 7th April 1869 " 1157
" 7th July " 2200
" 4th Sept " 2903 & 2904.

Public Works Dept
72

Letter from the Consulting Engineer for Railways No 127 dated 15th March 1876.

For orders

Submitted for orders.

Instruction to the Comptroller of the Public Works
Secretary to Govt P.W.D.

Principles that -
may. holding
crabs on fixed days at
principles stations -
should be the best -

can respond to him
seems to call for special
explanation of the delay
[unclear] the [unclear] to [unclear]
it at once - his reply
can then be compared with
the complaint.

The loss of time & inconvenience
of them of duties & absence
[unclear] is a [unclear] the complaint
[unclear] but [unclear] to [unclear]

[Signature]

No 943

Public Works Department

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the

10th April 1906

Read the following -

From
The Comptroller
for Railways

(H.L. No. 127 of 10 March 1906)

His Grace the President
in Council desires that
the Magistrate of Cuddalore
may be called on in the
Judicial Department
to explain fully the
causes of delay on the
part of the 2nd class
Magistrate of Pullampet
complained of by the
Railway authorities.
The loss of time and
derangement of duties
caused by the frequent
absence of Railway

96-10 April 1906

servants at Cusco to be
away from the Railway
Stations in a serious
evil, which should if
possible be prevented.
And the advice of all
Magistrates through whose
jurisdiction the Railway
passes will be sought
in the Judicial Depart-
ment. Meanwhile the
Consulting Engineer will
call on the Agent and
Manager of the Madrid
Railway to furnish
a list of cases in
which delay has oc-
curred in the disposal

of suits in which the
Company was concerned.
92 CMW

To the Comptroller for Exp. $\frac{1.5}{1}$
Jud. Depart.
Dated 26/4/11

1922

The City of San Francisco

10th

943

1925-1925

Reclamation of San Francisco
in the City of San Francisco
State of California

Calling for the City of San Francisco
to City of San Francisco
Notice the present

No 174-175

Let in 1926
27-11-26