

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	774	(w) Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	27.3	18 76.

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
27th March 1876.*

No. 425. Read the following letter from Lieutenant-Colonel J. F. FISCHER, R.E., District Engineer, Madura, to Major-General G. W. WALKER, R.E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madura, 15th March 1876, No. 4,660:—

I HAVE the honor to submit, for the consideration and orders of Government, the accompanying original letter and its enclosures from the Executive Engineer, Madura Range, No. 2,606, under date the 13th instant, reporting the circumstances under which the Overseer in charge of the Madura Taluq was fined by the Bench of Magistrates of the Madura town for renewing, without permission in writing of the Municipal Commissioners, the thatch of the roof attached to the Post Office at Madura.

2. It will be observed from the above papers that the Madura Range Officer has, in his memorandum, Nos. 2,277 and 2,293, dated respectively 2nd and 8th February 1876, instructed the Taluq Overseer to proceed with the repairs of the Post Office at this station with a view to complete the same by the end of the current official year. The Overseer in carrying out these repairs renewed the thatch of an upper-storied room of the above-mentioned building. The Overseer was not aware that a license should be obtained for such repairs, and neither the Municipal Commissioners nor their Goomastahs have objected to this while under progress.

3. It appears at about 5 P.M., on the 25th February 1876, summons was served on the Overseer by one of the Police Constables just two minutes before the Sub-Magistrate sat on the bench, the Overseer appeared as summoned, and during the inquiry he produced the two orders he received from the Madura Range Officer directing him to proceed with the repairs, and also mentioned that the building complained of belongs to Government and was not a private one. After listening to these statements he has been fined 1 Rupee, as will be seen from the accompanying copy of the register.

4. Under these circumstances, I request that you will be so good as to obtain the orders of Government to remit the fine, and to pay the cost incurred by him in obtaining copies of the documents connected with the case, and to prohibit the Municipal Commissioners from interfering in future with the Government servants who are entrusted with the execution of public works without giving due and proper notice to them.

No. 426. ORDER THEREON, 27th March 1876, No. 774.

ESTABLISHMENT, No. 27.

HIS Grace the Governor in Council declines to interfere. The Overseer should have conformed to the Municipal regulation and informed the Range Officer of the necessity for applying for permission to use thatching materials.

(True Extract.)

Major-General, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the District Engineer, Madura.
Exd. S. T. Austin.
Spare Copies

Encls in letter from
 the District Comr and
 to the Secy to Govt
 4/15-3

1983/6
 27 March 1983

Copy applied for 36. 30.76
 Stamp paper 34. 3.76
 Copy ready for 38. 3.76
 Delivered 39. 13.76
 Van Allen
 Magda

Register showing the disposal of case by the Hon. Magistrates of Madurai Town under section 227 of C.P.C.

Case of Subramonia Gopal }
Neevatchi Naidoo } Honorary Magistrate

The serial number	The date of the Commission of the offence	The date of the report or complaint	The name of the complainant	The name of the accused person	The offence complained of or proved	The Prisoner's plea	The finding and in the case of a conviction a brief statement of the reasons therefor	The sentence	The date on which the proceedings terminated
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J
406	16.2.76	21.2.76	Tamavian Parithary Sub Ins. Inspector	Loobbarayaloo Naick Talag Overseer Madam	Renewing the roofing on the upper part of the Post Office with roof material without permission in writing of the Municipal Commissioner punishable under section 148 Act 3 of 1871	The defendant admits that having renewed the roofing and states that it is not in practice to obtain permission of the Commissioner to renew the roofing of Government buildings	Offence charged is proved	Fined 1 Rupee	25.2.76.

(True Extract)

(Compared)
Namasamiah
Gunnastab

Vandana Allen
Magistrate

Recd
10-3-76

Madura Range Office
No 2606. Madura 13th March 1876.

For
The Executive Engineer,
Madura Range.
To
The District Engineer,
Madura.

Sir,
I have the honor to
forward the accompanying
my original letter No 240
dated 10th March 1876 from
the Talook Overseer of
Madura, with its encls.
for your consideration.
I know and orders. —

The Overseer complains
that he has been fined
by a Magistrate under
the Municipal Act for
putting a thatched roof
on the Post Office at
Madura. — Possibly the
Magistrate is right but
the Overseer can hardly
be responsible personally
for acting contrary to the
Act, as he simply performed

the work according to the sanctioned estimate under orders from this office.

3. The revised estimate was prepared in this office countersigned by you and sanctioned by Government on the 22nd January 1876. When it was prepared I was not aware that the Municipal Act prohibited the erection of thatched buildings within the town.

I have the honor to be, Sir,
Yours Most Obedt Servt
James O. Head.

James O. Head,
Executive Engineer,
Madura Range.

Enclosed for the Executive Engineer, Madura Range, are three copies of a letter from the District Engineer, Madura, dated 13th March 1876, in reply to a letter from the Executive Engineer, Madura, dated 1st March 1876, regarding the erection of thatched buildings within the town of Madura.

27 March 1876 B. 425

M. 1983/4/76

From ⁴⁴¹⁷ ~~13-76~~
The Executive Engineer

Enclosed for the Executive Engineer, Madura, are three copies of a letter from the District Engineer, Madura, dated 13th March 1876, in reply to a letter from the Executive Engineer, Madura, dated 1st March 1876, regarding the erection of thatched buildings within the town of Madura.

Madura

Dated 13 }
Recd } March 1876

No 2606

Enclosures 3

Forwards for consideration the Madura Taluk Amerson's letter on the subject of fine imposed on him for renewing Madura Post office.

Plays officer's letter.

மமர் 1.

குற்றஞ் சாட்டப்பட்டவனுக்கு சம்மன்.

69 - வது பிரிவு.

உடனடியாக வரவேண்டுகிறேன்.

விருக்கும் ஸார்ஜன்மேன்.

இதனை கட்டளையிடுகிறதென்னவென்றால்,

அவ் குற்றத்தை விசேஷமாக வந்திருக்கிற

பிரியாதுக்கு உத்தரவுசொல்ல நீ அவசியம்

வரவேண்டியிருக்கிறபடியால் 1876-ம்

ஆண்டு 25-வது பிரிவு, முன்வாத

கேரில் ஆதரவுகொண்டிருக்க (அல்லது அது

காரம்பெற்ற ஜெண்டி வரக்கூடமா என்பியா

தாயிருந்தால் உனக்குப்பதிலாக அதிகாரம்பெ

ற்ற ஏஜண்டை அஜாசெயவிக்கலாம்) இதற்கு

நீ எவ்வளவேனும் தப்பிப்போகக்கூடாது

1876-ம் ஆண்டு 25-வது பிரிவு.

Vasorewa Pillay

மேஜிஸ்ட்ரேட்டு.

5 P.M. on the 25-2-76

No. 1.

Summons to the accused.

Section 69. 7

Sobroyaloo Saidu, Palace

Overseer, who lives in the North Market

Street, is hereby directed to appear before

the 2nd Class Magistrate in the Muni-

-cipal office on the 25th February 1876

to defend a suit or case brought against

him which is punishable under Section

148 of the Municipal Act. (An authorized

Agent may be made to appear on his

behalf if the nature of the case allows

him to do so) He should not at all

fail to comply with this summons.

He should bring his witnesses with

him at 3. P.M.

22 Feb'y 1876.

Vasorewa Pillay

2nd Class Magistrate

Encls. 2. in a letter from
the District Engineer, Havana
to the Secy to Gov. No. 4660
of 15 - 5 - 76.

Summons

Pl 27 March 76 BK 4 25

2000

01

1983/76

No 240. 11/3/76
From

Salary Querees
Encls: 4 in a letter from
Engr Madras to the Secy
to Govt of P. & F.
The Secy. Engineer
No. 1 Range.

Dated 11th.
Re. } March 10th
Enclosures. 2.

Forwarded a Ref
of the proceedings pa
by the Bench Magis
of the Madras Sess
regarding Madras
Office repairs.

Overseer's letter

17th March 76 BT

11/3/76 No 240
Salary Querees Office Madras
10th March 1876
From
The Salary Querees of
Madras

Enclosure - 1
Copy of the proceedings
Enclosure 2.

No. The Executive Engineer
No. 1 Range Madras
Reference to your Memo No. 2277
and 2293 of the 2nd and 8th February 1876.
I have the honor to inform you that the
Repairs of the Madras Post Office have
been taken into hands to complete the
repairs by the end of the official year
as requested in the above 2nd Memo.
The thatched shed on the Post Office
building was removed and re-thatched as
provided in the Estimate for my demerage
this no commissioners nor their goons take
or any one consent to that body had given
me any notice to take license nor they
prevented me from repairing the shed
without a license, on the 26th February 1876
the enclosed summons was served on me
by one of the Police constables just 2 minutes
before the Sub-Magistrate sits on the bench
about 5th on the day of my going
when I appeared before the Magistrate
and produced your 2 orders on that account
putting me to go on with the work at the
same

same time I also mentioned that building complained off is one that belongs to Government and not a private one. listening to all my statements the Magistrate charges me under section 148 Act 3 of 1871 and fines me a rupee for the offence, after having paid the said amount I have applied for the copies of the said proceedings and enclosed here in for your kind perusal.

M. 1983/
3/76

I further beg to state that the proceedings of the Sub Magistrate and Municipal Commissioners seems to me rather unsatisfactory, if such Act in force that a Public servant in the execution of his duty, to such extent, it is the duty of the Commissioners or their sub to inform the Public servant officially, in such case if I had fail to attend to their notice, then I am chargeable to that offence, in this case no body informed me till I completed the work.

Under these circumstances I take the liberty of laying the matter before you for your kind disposal, and request that the necessary steps may be taken to recover the fine and my cost for getting the copies of the proceedings, and also to prevent these people interfering with my work in future time.

By 27th March 76 B.T. 225

I have the honor to be
Your obedient servant
R. S. Srinivasan
District Engineer, Madurai

2/441776
15-3-76

District Engineers Office
Madurai 15 March 1876
10
No. 466
From

Lieut. Col. J. F. Fischer D.C.
District Engineer Madurai
Major General G. W. Walker D.C.
Secretary to Government D. G. W.
Sir,

I have the honor to submit
Enclosures for the consideration & orders of
Government the accompanying
1. Original letter from original letter & its enclosures from
the Madurai Range Officer the Executive Engineer, Madurai Range
to the District Engineer Madurai No. 2606 under date the 13th Instant
March 1876 with its 3. Enclosures. reporting the circumstances under
which the Overseer in charge of the
Madurai Taluk was fined by the
Bench of Magistrates of the Madurai
Town for renewing, without permission
in writing of the Municipal Commissioners
the

the thatch of the roof attached to the Post Office at Madura.

2. It will be observed from the above papers that the Madura Range Officer has in his Memo Nos 2277 & 2293 dated ^{24 & 25 Aug: 1876} respectively instructed the Taluk Quereer to proceed with the repairs of the Post Office at this Station with a view to complete the same by the end of the current official year. The Quereer in carrying out these repairs renewed the thatch of an upper storied room of the abovementioned building. The Quereer was not aware that a license should be obtained for such repairs, & neither the Municipal Commissioners nor their Goornastahs have objected to this while under profeso.

3. It appears at about 5 P.M. on the 25th February 1876 summons was

was served on the Quereer by one of the Police Constables just 2 minutes before the Sub Magistrate sat on the Bench, the Quereer appeared as summoned, & during the enquiry he produced the two orders he received from the Madura Range Officer directing him to proceed with the repairs, & also mentioned that the building complained of belongs to Government & was not a private one. After listening to these statements he has been fined one rupee as will be seen from the accompanying copy of the Register.

4. Under these circumstances I request that you will be so good as to obtain the orders of Government to remit the fine & to pay the cost incurred by him in

N^o 474

Public Works Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 27th March 1876.

Read the following:—

From,
The S^t Engineer
Madura

(H.C. No. 4660 of 15 March 1876)
Per Mr. [unclear]

This Grace the
Governor in Council
declines to interfere in
this petty matter.
In G.O. No. 161 of 22nd
January he has already
condemned the careless-
ness with which the
work in question was
estimated for. Similar
carelessness appears to
have characterized all
other proceedings with
reference to it, with
the

The [unclear] should
have [unclear] to
the [unclear] [unclear]
& have [unclear]
the [unclear] of the
the [unclear] [unclear]
for [unclear] to
the [unclear]
materials

[unclear]

P. & W. 1876 Dept.
No 1983.
The District Engineer
Madura
To:
The Secretary to Govt.
P. & W.
Madras.

Dated 15 March 76
Recd. 18

Encls. 4.
No. 4660.

Forwards cor-
respondence regarding the
fine inflicted on
[unclear] [unclear]
Madras with a request to
cause to refund the
same for the reasons
given.

G.O. 22nd Jan 76 No. 161

Gr 22-3-76

Lincoln

1871

The ...
 ... in ...
 ...
 ...
 ...

[illegible]

425/6


 Cir 22-2-36

1866
J. W. Smith
New York

Group 4 April 1961
D.E. Madura.

1983



Govt. of Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To

Mr. Engr.
Madras.

Dated

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G. O. 29th Mar. 1876, No.

774

Nos. 625-626.

W. in Engr.
6/4

Post office at Madras
Mr. Engr. is informed that
Govt. decline to interfere
in the case of the fine of the
imposed on the Madras
Siding Order by the Mag.
- state for removing the
thatch of the roof of the
- without permission of the
Municipality -