

(1) Number of pages _____
(2) Date of repair _____
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	572	(W)	Press
B.P. No.			Mis.
Dated	3.3.		18 76

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
3rd March 1876.*

No. 20. Read the following letter from Lieutenant-Colonel J. F. FISCHER, R.E., District Engineer, Madura, to Major-General G. W. WALKER, R.E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madura, 1st February 1876, No. 4,040:—

ADVERTING to G.O., No. 1,910, under date the 6th July 1875, I have the honor to forward the accompanying plan and revised estimate of Rupees 12,700 for constructing a District Munsif's Court-house at Paramagoody on the standard plan circulated with G.O., No. 1,293, dated 7th May 1875.

1 Revised Estimate, Rupees 12,700.
1 Comparative Statement.
1 Plan.

2. The cause of excess, Rupees 4,400, over the sanctioned estimate is explained in the accompanying comparative statement.

3. In the previous correspondence on this subject the necessity for constructing this building as soon as possible has been pointed out, and I can only add it is very advisable to provide funds for the purpose in the ensuing year's Budget.

No. 21. ORDER THEREON, 3rd March 1876, No. 572.

CIVIL WORKS, No. 6.

In his letter recorded with G.O., No. 1,910, of 6th July 1875, the District Engineer of Madura requested instructions whether to revise his estimate of Rupees 8,300 sanctioned on the 17th March 1875, according to a revised standard plan of Munsif's Court circulated on the 7th May 1875. His estimate having been prepared on the standard plan sanctioned in Judicial Department Order No. 1,292, of 15th July 1874, which was slightly modified by memorandum No. 190, of 17th February 1875, the height of the walls provided in which he represented to have been reduced 2 feet in the revised plan referred to in paragraph 1 of these Proceedings, leave to revise was granted; it being assumed that such reduction of height implied that a reduction of the estimate would be effected. The more so as in submitting the plan finally adopted the Consulting Architect, in his letter recorded with the sanctioning order, stated that it would be "less in point of cost than either of the other designs."

2. The District Engineer has now submitted an estimate amounting to Rupees 12,700, or more than 50 per cent. in excess of the original; Colonel Fischer being fully aware that in Judicial Order No. 961, of 26th May 1874, an estimate of Rupees 9,460 had been refused sanction, being considered an excessive sum to spend on a Munsif's Court.

3. The chief excesses are Rupees 2,700 in the roof trusses, stated to be owing to increased number of trusses, and an increase of one-third in the rate, neither excess being explained. The remainder is owing to greatly increased charges for the doors and windows, also unexplained. Even if money were available it could not be granted on such insufficient explanation; but there are no funds for the work. The District Engineer will therefore be called on to explain clearly why the sanction of

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department.
3rd March 1876.

17th March 1875 should not hold good; and if not, who is answerable for the defects of the estimate then sanctioned. He will likewise submit the site plan called for in the last paragraph of the order just alluded to.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. W. WALKER, Major-General, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the District Engineer, Madras
Judicial Department.

N^o 4040

District Engineer of Madras
1st February 1876

Sir

Lieut. Colonel J. F. Fischer R.E.
District Engineer Madras

Lo

Major General G. W. Walker R.E.
Secretary to Government P.W.D.
Madras.

Sir

- Encls. 3
- 1 Revised Estimate Rs 12,700
- 1 Comparative Statement
- 1 Plan

Referring to G.O. No 1292 under date the 3rd July 75 I have the honor to forward the accompanying Plan and Revised Estimate of Rs 12,700 for constructing a District Munsiff's Court House at Parimagoodyan the standard plan circulated with G.O. No 1292 dated 7th May 75.

2 The cause of excess Rs 4,400 over the sanctioned estimate is explained in the accompanying Comparative Statement.

3 In the previous correspondence on this subject the necessity for constructing this building as soon as possible has been pointed out, and I can only add, it is very advisable to provide funds for the purpose in the ensuing year's Budget.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
Lieut. Colonel J. F. Fischer R.E.
District Engineer Madras

London 3
 Estimated from No. 650 of 6.4.78
 towards Plan &
 received Estimate of
 Rs 12500 for constructing
 on District with which
 could not agree at the moment
 & no guide to provide it
 Submits for the same
 in the evening year
 1878-79

Co. 3 March 76 B.F. 20

No 572 Case Works 18
Public Works Department.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 30th March 1941

Read the following :—

Read the following:

From
The District Registrar of C. P. No. 1,040 of 1st July 1906
Madurai S.

.. In his letter recorded with L.O. No 1910 of 6th July 1875, the District Engineer of Madras requested instructions whether to revise his Estimate of Rs 8300 sanctioned on the 17th March 1875, according to a revised Standard Plan of Municipal Council, sanctioned on the 17th May 1875. His Estimate having been prepared on the Standard Plan sanctioned in Judicial Dept. Order No 1292 of 15th July 1875, which was slightly modified by Memo No 1910 of

17th February 1875; the height of the walls provided in which he represented to have been reduced 2 feet in the Revised Plan referred to in para 1 of these Proceedings; leave to revise was granted; it being assumed that such reduction of height implied that a reduction of the Estimate would be effected. The more so as in submitting the Plan originally adopted the Consulting Architect, in his letter recorded with the sanctioning order, stated that it would be "less in point of cost than either of the other designs".

It is therefore with much surprise that His Grace the Governor in Council

The District Engineer finds that he has now submitted an Estimate amounting to Rs 12700, or more than 50 percent in excess of the original; Colonel Fraser being fully aware that in Judicial Order No 94 of 15th May 1874 an Estimate of Rs 9450 had been refused sanction being considered an excessive sum to spend on a Municipality's Court. His preposterous that the self same building should be estimated to cost Rs 8300 in October 1874, and Rs 12700 in January following.

The Chief Excesses are Rs 2700 in the Roof Trusses, stated to be owing to increased number of Trusses, and an increase of one-third in the rate, neither excess being

being explained. The re-
mainder is owing to greatly
increased charges for the
Raids and Windings, also un-
explained. View of money
were available it could not
be granted on such insuffi-
cient explanation, but
there are no funds for the
work. The District Engineer
will, therefore, be called on
to ^{explain charges} show the cause why
the sanction of 17 March
1875 should not hold
good, and if not, who is
answerable for the defects
of the Estimate then sanc-
tioned. He will likewise
submit the Site Plan
called for in the last para
of the order just alluded
to.

20-21

107 C 28-29

D. E. Meadows
Jna. Sep 6
1875

being explained: the remainder is owing to greatly

846
Bd. of 1876 Madras
PUBLIC WORKS.

The S. H. Engineer
Madras

to S. H. Engineer 872

20-27

British Madras Engineer
- house at Ponnampet
Madras Brit

Plans & cost on the new
scheme at Rs 12,700
- Budget by the Brit Eng
for construction

- (1) Number of pages _____
(2) Date of repair _____
(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	572	(W)	Press
B.P. No.			Mis.
Dated	3.3.		18 76

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
3rd March 1876.*

No. 20. Read the following letter from Lieutenant-Colonel J. F. FISCHER, R.E., District Engineer, Madura, to Major-General G. W. WALKER, R.E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madura, 1st February 1876, No. 4,040:—

ADVERTISING to G.O., No. 1,910, under date the 6th July 1875, I have the honor to forward the accompanying plan and revised estimate of Rupees 12,700 for constructing a District Munsif's Court-house at Paramagoody on the standard plan circulated with G.O., No. 1,293, dated 7th May 1875.

1 Revised Estimate, Rupees 12,700.
1 Comparative Statement.
1 Plan.

2. The cause of excess, Rupees 4,400, over the sanctioned estimate is explained in the accompanying comparative statement.

3. In the previous correspondence on this subject the necessity for constructing this building as soon as possible has been pointed out, and I can only add it is very advisable to provide funds for the purpose in the ensuing year's Budget.

No. 21. ORDER THEREON, 3rd March 1876, No. 572.

CIVIL WORKS, No. 6.

In his letter recorded with G.O., No. 1,910, of 6th July 1875, the District Engineer of Madura requested instructions whether to revise his estimate of Rupees 8,300 sanctioned on the 17th March 1875, according to a revised standard plan of Munsif's Court circulated on the 7th May 1875. His estimate having been prepared on the standard plan sanctioned in Judicial Department Order No. 1,292, of 15th July 1874, which was slightly modified by memorandum No. 190, of 17th February 1875, the height of the walls provided in which he represented to have been reduced 2 feet in the revised plan referred to in paragraph 1 of these Proceedings, leave to revise was granted; it being assumed that such reduction of height implied that a reduction of the estimate would be effected. The more so as in submitting the plan finally adopted the Consulting Architect, in his letter recorded with the sanctioning order, stated that it would be "less in point of cost than either of the other designs."

2. The District Engineer has now submitted an estimate amounting to Rupees 12,700, or more than 50 per cent. in excess of the original; Colonel Fischer being fully aware that in Judicial Order No. 961, of 26th May 1874, an estimate of Rupees 9,460 had been refused sanction, being considered an excessive sum to spend on a Munsif's Court.

3. The chief excesses are Rupees 2,700 in the roof trusses, stated to be owing to increased number of trusses, and an increase of one-third in the rate, neither excess being explained. The remainder is owing to greatly increased charges for the doors and windows, also unexplained. Even if money were available it could not be granted on such insufficient explanation; but there are no funds for the work. The District Engineer will therefore be called on to explain clearly why the sanction of

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department.
3rd March 1876.

17th March 1875 should not hold good; and if not, who is answerable for the defects of the estimate then sanctioned. He will likewise submit the site plan called for in the last paragraph of the order just alluded to.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. W. WALKER, Major-General, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the District Engineer, Madras
Judicial Department.

N^o 4040

District Engineer of Madras
1st February 1876

From

Lieut. Colonel J. F. Fischer R.E.
District Engineer Madras

To

Major General G. W. Walker R.E.
Secretary to Government P.W.D.
Madras.

Sir

- Enclosed 3
1 Revised Estimate
Rs 12,700
1 Comparative Statement
1 Plan

Referring to G.O. No 1292 under date the 3rd July 1875 I have the honor to forward the accompanying Plan and Revised Estimate of Rs 12,700 for constructing a District Munsiff's Court House at Parimagoodyan the standard plan circulated with G.O. No 1292 dated 7th May 1875.

2 The cause of excess Rs 4,400 over the sanctioned estimate is explained in the accompanying Comparative Statement.

3 In the previous correspondence on this subject the necessity for constructing this building is so far as possible has been pointed out, and I can only add, it is very advisable to provide funds for the purpose in the ensuing year's Budget.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
Lieut. Colonel J. F. Fischer R.E.
District Engineer Madras

4817 - June 1875 No 748
 7th July - 1893.
 6th July - 1910
 15th Aug 74 - 2268 with orig.
 26th - " 4481 A - }
 22nd Oct - " 12 orig. ins.
 22nd Oct - " 2007-

+ Estimated with estimate No. 8300 of 1875
 towards the cost of
 the 12 years for constructing
 on District. The estimate
 cost of the work at the present
 & no account to be made of
 the estimate for the same
 with the existing year's
 budget -

Draft 1st }
 Draft 2 }
 No 1040
 The District Engineer
 to Government
 Madras
 No 846
 1876 Sept.

Per 5 March 76 Det 20

No 572
 Public Works Department

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Under date the 3rd March 1876
 Read the following :-

From
 The District Engineer
 Madras
 (No 1,040 of 1st July 75)

In his letter recorded
 with No 1910 of 6th July
 1875, the District Engineer
 of Madras requested in-
 structions whether to revise
 his estimate of Rs 8300 sanc-
 tioned on the 17th March 1875,
 according to a revised stand-
 ard plan of Municipal
 Council, circulated on the 7th
 May 1875. His estimate
 having been prepared on
 the Standard Plan sanc-
 tioned in Judicial Dept.
 Order No 1292 of 15th July 1874,
 which was slightly modi-
 fied by Memo No 1910 of

17th February 1875; the height of the walls provided in which he represented to have been reduced 2 feet in the Revised Plan referred to in para 1 of these Proceedings; leave to revise was granted; it being assumed that such reduction of height implied that a reduction of the Estimate would be effected. The more so as in submitting the Plan originally adopted the Consulting Architect, in his letter recorded with the sanctioning order, stated that it would be "less in point of cost than either of the other designs".

It is therefore with much surprise that His Grace the Governor in Council

The District Engineer finds that he has now submitted an Estimate amounting to Rs 12700, or more than 50 percent in excess of the original; Colonel Fischer being fully aware that in Judicial Order No 94 of 15th May 1874 an Estimate of Rs 9450 had been refused sanction being considered an excessive sum to spend on a Municipality's Court. His preposterous that the self same building should be estimated to cost Rs 8300 in October 1874, and Rs 12700 in January following.

The Chief Excesses are Rs 2700 in the Roof Trusses, stated to be owing to increased number of Trusses, and an increase of one-third in the rate, neither excess being

being explained. The re-
mainder is owing to greatly
increased charges for the
Raids and Windings, also un-
explained. View of money
were available it could not
be granted on such insuffi-
cient explanation, but
there are no funds for the
work. The District Engineer
will, therefore, be called on
to ^{explain charges} show the cause why
the sanction of 17 March
1875 should not hold
good, and if not, who is
answerable for the defects
of the Estimate then sanc-
tioned. He will likewise
submit the Site Plan
called for in the last para
of the order just alluded
to.

20-21

D. E. Meadows
Jna. Sep 6
1875

1000 28-29

being explained: the remainder is owing to greatly

846
Bd. of 1876 Madras
PUBLIC WORKS.

The S. H. Esquimaux
Madras

to S. H. Esquimaux 872

20-27

British Esquimaux Com.
House at Esquimaux
Madras Brit.

Public works on the island
estimated at Rs 12,700
granted by the Brit. Govt.
for construction