

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works

DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.

B.P. No.

3176

Press

Mis.

Dated

28-11

1876

195

Not to be folded or roughly handled

N^o 254-W. 0^o 18

Encls 4.

Submitted for the consideration
of Government.

The appropriations proposed
all relate to the Principal ancient system
of Prisons Extensions and Improve-
ments.

Many of the entries in the Budget
estimates appear to have been very
wide guesses. Much greater care
is clearly needed for the future,
and it must be great waste of time
and labour to prepare and print
estimates in detail for petty matters
the particulars of which have not
been decided upon. The reappropria-
tions are recommended for sanction
but it must be added that this office
knows nothing of the necessity for
any of the works, and will not
have the opportunity of judging
of any save those for which the
estimated cost being more than

750 Rupees, the estimates will have to be submitted to Government for sanction.

2nd Maintenance and Repairs
The grant amounts to Rupees 29,500 and the District Engineer transmits a list showing how he proposes to distribute this sum. The undersigned concurs with the opinion expressed by Mr. O'Shaughnessy that it is impossible to say in September how the grant for the following year will have to be distributed. The exhibition in detail, in the Budget, under sub-heads, of the repair allotments involves much waste of time in the first instance as well as subsequently when the greater part of the entries have to be revised. It can be estimated what the repair of a canal as a whole is likely to cost, the average of past years being taken as a guide but

10
it is not possible to say what will have to be spent on individual works of a canal from 6 to 15 months before the repairs have to be carried out.
The District Engineer must ultimately decide upon the details and should be able to do so without wasting time in first making a distribution which has no basis in fact, and then in getting leave for changes. That distribution from authorities none of whom can form an opinion of value on his proposals. It is this waste of power which makes the cost of establishments great and the Executive supervision less effective than it should be.

3rd Works of the part of the scheme sanctioned as the Surplus project.
It is to be noticed first that under instructions from the Examiner of Accounts certain items have been entered in the column Ordinary

on the grounds that the estimates for them have not been sanctioned and the District Engineer has no power to sanction estimates under Extraordinary. The difficulty appears to have been transferred without being solved and moreover some of the items are petty and belong to Revenue Extensions and improvements. The confusion would only be increased by ignoring the circumstance that a revised estimate for the project is under preparation and by multiplying sanctions by different authorities instead of waiting for the sanction of the revised estimate. It may cause some inconvenience to postpone certain works for a year, but as so much delay has taken place in submitting the revised estimate this will be the simplest plan. The following items are recommended

to be struck out from the statement of re-appropriations

Deepening Kistapatam channel Ordinary Rs 780
Irregularly channel
Compensation ——— do — 1979

There is an item of Rupees 6201 under Extraordinary and less than Rupees 3300 balance of sanction, but it is proposed to retain the larger amount which is that of the Budget, Item 171, in order that the payment for land already taken up may not be delayed.

Irrigation since Pennberti Tank Ordinary Rs 575
This should have been provided for under Revenue Extensions and Improvements

Golapamudi channel
Tunnel 2nd mile Ordinary — 50
— 3rd — do — 24
Earthworks — do — 1000

It appears that Rupees 7628 have been spent on this channel without sanction, and the work should be

stopped until the necessary sanction has been obtained.

Demarcation stones - ordinary Rs 70.
This is a Revenue Extension and Improvement.

Drainage and Protective works.
B hand.

Compensation for Damalati
Madangai channel - Rupees 106.

do. Singaraddy channel - " - 131.

It would seem that the expenditure has been already incurred. The project as sanctioned did not provide for compensation under the several main heads and the outlay should be met from the provision for compensation Surva-fully channel under Extraordinary.

If these recommendations be approved the following will be the result.

Budget

Budget grant for the Tennair
Delta system under Capital
Extraordinary - Rupees - 21,000
Revised appropriations - " - 16,585
Appropriations Capital Ordinary - nil

Fort St George
18th Nov 1876

Colonel H. St. George
Chief Engineer for Irrigation

1/6
it
ter
ments
inst.
low,
nt
ted
the
I
nary
d
no
an

QD 12-5-76 ho 1188

" 17-6-76 .. 1503

" 28-8-76 .. 2276

R.W.D.

21 NOV 76/876

N^o 8431

From

The Chief Engineer for Engrs

Dated 18th } Nov 1876
Recd. 20 }

Encls 4.

N^o 254-W.

Pennair Ancient System -
Kellor District - Recommends that
the re-appropriations for the - for 1876-77
under Revenue Extensions & Improvements
and Revenue Maintenance & Repairs,
as proposed by the District Engineer, may
be sanctioned, & that certain items may be
struck out from the Statement of Re-appropriations
for the Surrapully Project, with remarks.

N^o 579

Pr: 22-11-76

No 3196

14/11/76

Public Works Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the 20 Nov 1876

Read the following:-

Endorsement of the
Ch. Engr. for Engrs
& Mr. Roads, 11/18 Nov 76

The re-appropriations
for the Pennair ancient
system for 1876-77 under
Revenue Extensions & Improvements
Maintenance & Repairs
as proposed by the
District Engineer, Kellor,
are approved.

As the Government
of India have directed
all expenditure on the
Surrapully project
from the Extraordinary
grant to be stopped
no re-appropriations
from that fund can

be sanctioned.

2. The question whether the exhibition in Budget estimates of proposed outlay under Revenue Maintenance and Repairs, by sub-heads, can be of any practical use will be referred for the opinion of those District Engineers in whose Districts there are irrigation systems for which Capital and Revenue accounts are maintained, and to the Examiner of S.D.s.

May 20/11

G.M.

579-520

Mar. 22-11-76

Ch.R. for Irig 5 units 4 Encls

D.L. Kellau 6

- Gadagay 9

- Kistna 6

- Chingleput 6

- N. Arcat 6

- S. Arcat 6

- Tanjore 7

- Tinnevely 5

- S. & N. Arcat 5

17

541
421

Subl. of 1876: *Hatch*.
PUBLIC WORKS.

The Chief Eng^r for draft.

29-11-70

Ch. O. 28th 1876. No. 3146

Hos. 579/20

Sanitary Sewer System
Yellow District - *Carlson*
appropriations for
1876-77 in connection with
the — approved
Edw. J. [unclear]