

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	2988	Press	<i>Original</i>
B.P. No.		Mis.	
Dated	7. 11.	195	
		1876	

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Read the following papers:—

No. 119. Read Proceedings of the Madras Government in the Judicial Department, dated 29th February 1876, No. 377.

Read the following letter from the Acting District Magistrate, Madura, dated 14th February 1876, No. 130.

With reference to G.O., No. 1,621, dated 22nd July 1875, Judicial Department, I have the honor to submit, for the orders of Government, plan and estimate for the construction of a Subsidiary Jail, Taluq Police Station, and Town Magistrate's Office at Madura, at a cost of Rupees 17,100.

2. I forward the above, as they seem to be what Mr. McQuhae wished; but I object to the plan for several reasons.

3. In the first place, I think it is a mistake that the Magistrate's Court should be upstairs. I cannot lay my hands on the papers; but I have a distinct recollection of Government objecting once before to the office room of a Covenanted Magistrate being upstairs, on the ground that it was thus made much less accessible to the people than if on the ground-floor. In the present case, the same reason applies, I think, and with additional force, from the fact that access to the stairs is through the Police Station. The public will therefore be much more exposed to the chance of being interrupted in their free access to the Magistrate than in the case of the Covenanted Magistrate's Office I have mentioned, and the working of the Police will be almost certain to be interfered with.

4. The stairs themselves I consider very inconveniently narrow as a means of access to an office so much resorted to as that of the Town Magistrate of Madura. It also seems to me that they are steeper than is desirable, and I also think that they should terminate on a spacious landing shut off by a door from the Court room, and into which a window should open from the Gumastah's room, so that persons requiring copies or having to put in process service fees, &c., might transact their business without interrupting business in the Court room itself. At my suggestion the District Engineer has provided such a window, but it seems to me to open on the stairs rather than on the landing, such as it is, which is also provided.

5. In such an office as this there should, I think, be a waiting room for Vakils and other respectable persons attending the Magistrate's Court.

6. I observe that it is proposed to build the building of brick plastered with chunam. I beg to suggest that coursed rubble stone work, as used in nearly all the works lately constructed by the Railway Company, would look far better, would be more durable, and, if care is taken in building, should not cost much more.

7. I have never yet seen a flooring of square tiles last well; I see the District Engineer proposes to tar the flooring. I believe this makes square tiles more durable, but I must say that such a flooring seems to me most unsuitable to such a building as this. I would suggest a cut-stone flooring which would never wear out or require repair.

THE Government do not approve this plan in which the Madura Sub-Magistrate's Court is placed in an upper story above the Police Office, and are not aware that any standard plan in accordance with it has ever been sanctioned.

2. A revised plan and estimate more in accordance with the approved standard plans for Taluq Cutcheries must be submitted.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. HUDLESTON,
Chief Secretary.

No. 119-A. From Lieutenant-Colonel J. F. FISCHER, R.E., District Engineer, Madura, to Major-General G. W. WALKER, R.E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Madura, 27th April 1876, No. 376.

With reference to your memorandum, No. 528, under date the 22nd ultimo, and reminder thereon, No. 528 I, of the 21st instant, I have the honor to forward, for your information, the correspondence noted in the margin,* and to state that I am unable to obtain the Collector's countersignature to the site-plan of the Sub-Magistrate's Cutcherry and Subsidiary Jail proposed to be constructed at Madura.

1 Original letters.
1 Site-plan of the Sub-Magistrate's Cutcherry and Subsidiary Jail proposed to be constructed at Madura.
1 Design of the Sub-Magistrate's Cutcherry prepared by the District Engineer.
1 Sketch design prepared by the Collector.
1 Original letter from the District Engineer to the Collector, No. 186, dated 13th April 1876.
1 Original letter from the Collector to the District Engineer, No. 315, dated 24th April 1876.

2. The site-plan is herewith forwarded.

3. I have in my letters, Nos. 180 and 374, dated, respectively, 13th and 27th April 1876, explained the manner in which the Acting Collector interferes with the members of this department and then accuses me now of insulting him. I can only further add that the original design for these buildings was prepared by me after long

consideration and communication* with Mr. McQuhae and the Superintendent of Police to suit the means we had available by the sale of the old jail buildings, Rupees 15,000, and the only site we could find without adding 10,000 or 12,000 Rupees to the cost of them. I have prepared a sketch design in accordance with the Orders of the Government of the 29th February 1876, No. 377, in the Judicial Department, and beg to submit the same, together with a sketch design proposed by the Acting Collector; but as neither of these will fit the site which Mr. Bliss considers is suitable, I must solicit further orders and instructions. In my sketch design I have adhered as closely as I could to the Government standard design, and I cannot conceive of any better, but I believe I could alter my original design, if returned to me, to obviate some of the objections now raised.

No. 119-B. From the same Officer, dated Madura, 29th April 1876, No. 380.

On further consideration I regret to say I find that in both the rough sketches for Subsidiary jail, &c., sent to you yesterday with this office letter, No. 376, dated 27th April 1876, two lock-ups for the Police have been omitted, so that more space will be required for these buildings on the design ordered than the site provides.

2. If a new site can be found, and the conditions laid down by the Government that the sale of the old buildings is to provide for the construction of the new ones as much as possible, satisfied, the orders to prepare the design in accordance with the standard design for Cutcheries, as much as possible, can be easily attended to; but, so far as I am aware, this is impracticable.

3. When Mr. McQuhae had this matter under his consideration, I pointed out to him that the difficulty could be got over by having an upper story, and to him at once acceded, and I can see no valid objections to the proposed arrangement, none at least have been communicated to this office, the order for a change in design having been obtained without reference to this department, and hence loss of time and perhaps increase of cost.

No. 1,030.

MEMORANDUM.

No. 119-C.

The subject of the above is a proposed Sub-Magistrate's Cutcherry and Subordinate Jail at Madura, and its site. The latter is approved by the Collector, but the District Engineer objects that it will hold neither building planned by either of them. That planned by the District Engineer is 131½ feet by 103½ in extreme dimensions; that planned by the Collector 148½ by 55½. The site-plan shows that either of them might be contained within the space available. The Chief Engineer has marked on it a quadrangle 175 feet by 140, within which either of them might be located. But on reference to the revised standard plan of a second-class Cutcherry issued with G.O., No. 642, of 13th March 1876, he finds 93 feet by 55½ to be the extreme dimensions allowed. Within these are comprised—

1 Court room	...	...	...	...	...	25 × 16
1 Clerk's room	...	...	...	...	...	12 × 16
1 Record room	...	...	...	...	...	12 × 16
1 Police room	...	...	...	...	...	22½ × 16
2 Male Wards	...	...	...	...	...	15 × 16 each
2 Female „	...	...	...	...	...	8 × 16 „

with a retiring room walled off from the verandah round the Cutcherry, which is 6½ feet in width. The Chief Engineer is instructed to order the District Engineer of Madura to plan and estimate for two additional male wards in the space available, making the extreme width 90½ feet; also to add an Inspector's room and waiting room each 12 by 16, making the extreme length 120 feet as blocked out on the site-plan. The Collector's further requirements in the matter of enlarging the Court and other rooms to the extent of about 50 per cent. are considered inadmissible.

4th July 1876.

(Signed) G. W. WALKER, Major-General, R.E.,
Chief Engineer, Public Works Dept.

No. 119-D. From Lieutenant-Colonel J. F. FISCHER, R.E., District Engineer, Madura, to Major-General G. W. WALKER, R.E., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Camp at Dindigul, 31st July 1876, No. 1,566.

ADVERTING to your memorandum, No. 1,030, dated 4th July 1876, I have the honor to submit, for the sanction of Government, the accompanying plan and estimate, amounting to Rupees 15,280, for constructing a Deputy Tahsildar's Cutcherry and Subsidiary Jail at Madura.

1 Estimate of Rupees 15,280.
1 Plan.
1 Site-plan.
1 Copy of District Magistrate's letter, No. 819, of the 27th July 1876.

2. I am of opinion that the accommodation now provided will be found to be insufficient for so large a city as Madura, particularly for the Police, the prisoners and the Court, but I have attended strictly to the instructions of Government.

3. The Acting District Magistrate in his letter, No. 819, dated 27th July 1876, copy of which is enclosed, agrees with me in thinking that the accommodation for the Court and Police is insufficient.

4. It will be observed from the accompanying estimate that no provision has been made for a well and compound wall, as they are considered to be unnecessary. There is already a large well situated in the vicinity of the proposed site of the building which is surrounded by private dwellings, &c., and it is therefore advisable to have a large space round a building in the heart of a large native town as far as possible, as it is always surrounded by great crowds, and this was provided for in the original design by an upper story for the Court.

From H. W. BLISS, Esq., Acting District Magistrate, Madura, to Lieutenant-Colonel J. F. FISCHER, R.E., District Engineer, Madura, dated Madura, 27th July 1876, No. 819.

I HAVE the honor to return the estimate, &c., received with your letter, No. 1,448, dated 22nd July 1876, countersigned as requested.

2. I concur with you that the Court and Police accommodation is insufficient. That for the jail will, I think, be found practically enough.

3. I notice that the plan makes the jail the right, and the Court the left, wing of the building instead of the reverse as requested in my letter, No. 528, dated 26th June 1876; and, as shown on the site-plan, I hope this will be altered when the work is actually carried out.

4. I think the building should be set back further than is shown in the site-plan, nearly up to the building now used as the District Press.

No. 119-E. From Lieutenant-Colonel J. F. FISCHER, R.E., District Engineer, Madura, to Major-General G. W. WALKER, R.E., Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, dated Madura, 18th October 1876, No. 2,645.

WITH reference to your memorandum, No. 1,485, under date the 5th instant, I have the honor to state that the Sub-Magistrate at Madura is at present accommodated in the Mantapam referred to in paragraph 3 of the District Magistrate's letter, No. 311, of the 9th October 1874, printed with Government Order in the Judicial Department, No. 1,996, of the 29th idem, and not in the old jail.

2. I beg to enclose for your information the correspondence noted in the margin, regarding the question contained in paragraph 6 of G.O., dated 25th April 1873, No. 1,194, and I am not aware why Colonel Roberts, R.E., took no further notice regarding the same, and all this had taken place before I took charge of the district.

1. From the District Engineer to the District Magistrate, dated 17th May 1873, No. 635.
2. From the District Magistrate to the District Engineer, dated 28th May 1873, No. 141.
3. From the District Engineer to the Collector of Madura, dated 26th July 1873, No. 1,763.
4. From the District Engineer to the District Judge of Madura, dated 26th July 1873, No. 1,764.
5. From the District Judge to the District Engineer, dated 2nd August 1873, No. 229.
6. From the District Magistrate to the District Engineer, dated 1st Aug. 1873, No. 1,466.
7. From the District Engineer to the Consulting Architect to Government, dated 8th August 1873, No. 1,935.

3. It is on receipt of G.O. No. 1,996, quoted in paragraph 1, that steps were taken to prepare the necessary plans and estimate for a Sub-Magistrate's Cutcherry, Subsidiary Jail, and Police Station at

8. From the Consulting Architect to Government to the District Engineer, dated 22nd September 1873, No. 518.

9. Endorsement from the District Engineer to the District Magistrate, dated 6th October 1873, No. 2,859, communicating copy of the above letter.

10. Endorsement from the District Engineer to the District Judge, dated 6th October 1873, No. 2,859, communicating copy of the above letter.

Madura, and these have undergone several revision owing to the difference of opinion as to the extent of accommodation to be provided for the same, and finally this question was settled by your memorandum of the 4th July 1876, No. 1,030.

4. The proposal in my letter of the 19th September 1876, No. 2,267, is the same as that made by the District Magistrate in paragraph 3 of his letter printed in G.O., of the 22nd July 1875, No. 1,621, and the Government did not raise any objections to the proposal, as the arrangement well suited the requirements of the Municipality, and I did not therefore consider it necessary to trouble them further in the matter.

5. I will send the detailed and block plans called for by you as soon as I can get them prepared by the Range Officer, Madura.

From Lieutenant-Colonel R. A. ROBERTS, R.E., District Engineer, Madura, to W. McQUHAE, Esq., District Magistrate, Madura, dated Madura, 17th May 1873, No. 635.

As the Government in their Order, No. 1,194, dated 25th April 1873, desire to be informed how the Town Sub-Magistrate of Madura is at present accommodated, whether in a building rented for the purpose or in a building the property of Government, I have the honor to request that you will, at your early convenience, be so good as to furnish me with the required information regarding the Muntapam now occupied by the Town Sub-Magistrate, in order to enable me to comply with their orders on the subject.

From W. McQUHAE, Esq., District Magistrate, Madura, to Lieutenant-Colonel R. A. ROBERTS, R.E., District Engineer, Madura, dated Camp at Shembaganoor in Peralam Taluq, 28th May 1873, No. 141.

In reply to your letter of the 17th May, No. 635, I have the honor to inform you that the Town Magistrate's Office is now held in a Muntapam adjoining the Collector's Cutcherry.

2. There is nothing on record to show how this building came into the possession of Government, but it appears to have been used by the Collector for many years, at first as a record room and for about twelve years as a Magistrate's Office.

3. Ventasawmy Naik, as Manager of the Meenatchy Sundereshwaral Devasthanam, claims the building on the ground that it was originally built by one Ambalavana Pillay and belongs to the temple, but he appears to possess no information regarding the original entry of the Muntapam in the list of public buildings.

4. Unless the Devasthanam authorities make out a much stronger case than they have at present, I shall not recommend the surrender of the building. It is suggested that the Collector had charge of the temples and took the building, but many such buildings are used as public offices in different parts of the country, and they have probably been public offices since the beginning of the century. The old Sub-Collector's Office at Caroor is an example, and several old Taluq Cutcheries and Munsif's Courts.

From Lieutenant-Colonel R. A. ROBERTS, R.E., District Engineer, Madura, to the Collector of Madura, dated Madura, 26th July 1873, No. 1,763.

In reference to your letter, No. 141, dated 28th May 1873, on the subject of the Muntapam in which the Town Magistrate's Office is now held and which, as you inform me, is claimed by the

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
7th November 1876. No. 2,988.*

Manager as the property of the Meenatchy Sundereshwaral Devasthanam, I have the honor to suggest, with a view of carrying into effect the wishes of Government as expressed in G.O., 25th April 1873, No. 1,194, that the Town Magistrate be located in the octagon dome at present occupied as the Mahomedan school.

2. This dome, as you are aware, is designated in the printed diagram as the Judge's private room, but inasmuch as it is vastly in excess of the space required for the purpose, and as the access to this private room will be very inconvenient owing to the Court dais arranged to be at the western end of the bed chamber hall, and as, moreover, if used as the Town Magistrate's Court, the dome and its entrance can be entirely separated from the rest of the Palace buildings, I do not see why the question of locating the Town Magistrate in this dome is not deserving of consideration.

3. Of course an adequate private room for the Judge must be provided, but I apprehend no difficulty in Mr. Chisholm's affording the same with far greater conveniences to the Judge than the dome in question prevents.

4. It is probable that the Devasthanam authorities would be glad to purchase the Government lien on the Muntapam by contributing to the expense of restoring the dome in question on the consideration that the Muntapam be handed over to them.

5. I would call your attention in this connection to my letter, No. 977, dated 12th June 1873, requesting the valuation of the tenements that now diffuse the north and west faces of the Palace. I shall esteem it a great favor if you can let me have this valuation at an early date, if not for all the buildings, at all events for those situated between the dome under reference and the latrine or its eastern flank.

6. In conclusion, I have to inform you that my attention has been called by the Sub-Magistrate to the general stuffiness and unhealthiness for want of ventilation of his present office. I have prepared an estimate, amounting to Rupees 424, for doing what is necessary to remedy the defects brought to notice; but of course, before forwarding this estimate for your approval, I am anxious to have the question of the location of the Town Magistrate's Office definitively settled.

7. I shall send a copy of this letter to the District Judge, with a request that he will favor me with the further expression of his views on this subject.

From Lieutenant-Colonel R. A. ROBERTS, R.E., District Engineer, Madura, to P. P. HUTCHINS, Esq., District Judge, Madura, dated 26th July 1873, No. 1,764.

In forwarding you a copy of a letter No. 1,763, which I have this day addressed to the Collector of Madura on the subject of transferring the office of the Town Magistrate to the dome now used as the Mahomedan school, I have the honor to request the favor of your informing me whether you have any objection to offer to the proposal, provided always that Mr. Chisholm can furnish you with other accommodation suitable in all respects for your private room.

From P. P. HUTCHINS, Esq., District Judge of Madura, to Lieutenant-Colonel R. A. ROBERTS, R.E., District Engineer, Madura, dated 2nd August 1873, No. 229.

With reference to your letter of the 26th ultimo, No. 1,764, I have the honor to inform you that, subject of course to suitable accommodation being provided elsewhere, I have no other objection to your proposal to allot the octagonal dome to the Town Sub-Magistrate than the general objection which I have already urged to so many noisy and crowded Courts being placed so near together; at the same time, I beg to remind you that I have already given up about half of the space formerly allotted for the District and Session Court, and that when I did so I had noticed that the premises occupied by the Mahomedan school were in excess of what was necessary for my private room, and intended that the extra space should otherwise be made use of. If that is now taken away from me, I shall require space elsewhere, not only for my private

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
7th November 1876. No. 2,988.*

room, but also for the other offices which I contemplated locating there, and I do not think that this can be found so readily as you imagine. I very strongly prefer having the octagonal dome for my private room as originally proposed.

From Lieutenant-Colonel R. A. ROBERTS, R.E., District Engineer, Madura, to R. F. CHISHOLM, Esq., Consulting Architect to Government, dated Madura, 8th August 1873, No. 1,935.

REFERRING to paragraph 6 of G.O., No. 1,194, dated 25th April 1873, relative to the

1 Copy of letters to the Collector and the Judge, Nos. 1,763 and 1,764, dated 26th July 1873.
1 Copy of Collector's reply, No. 1,466, dated 1st Aug. 1873.
1 Copy of the Judge's reply, No. 229, dated 2nd Aug. 1873.
1 Copy of Collector's letter, No. 141, dated 28th May 1873.

provision of accommodation for certain offices in the Palace, I have the honor to forward the correspondence noted in the margin, and to request the favor of your opinion regarding the subject in question.

From W. McQUHAE, Esq., Collector of Madura, to Lieutenant-Colonel R. A. ROBERTS, R.E., District Engineer, Madura, dated Dindigul, 1st August 1873, No. 1,466.

In reply to your letter, dated 26th ultimo, No. 1,763, I have the honor to state that I see no objection to your proposal to locate the Sub-Magistrate's Office in the octagon dome referred to if the Judge consents to that arrangement.

2. I do not know whether the Devasthanam authorities will consent to pay anything for the Muntapam alluded to in paragraph 4, but, as the title of Government to the building is good, perhaps they may be induced to do so.

From R. F. CHISHOLM, Esq., Consulting Architect to Government, to Lieutenant-Colonel R. A. ROBERTS, R.E., District Engineer, Madura, dated Madras, 22nd September 1873, No. 518.

In reply to your letter, No. 1,935, dated the 18th ultimo, I have the honor to state, after an inspection of the Muntapam used as a Town Magistrate's Court-house, that I think it preferable to repair the Muntapam then to bring the Court into the octagon dome of the Palace. The modifications you propose making to the Muntapam will greatly improve its appearance and the accommodation it affords, and, if at any time the Devasthanam authorities made good their claim, the alternative of transferring the office to the Palace would always be open.

2. The octagon dome could not be made into a complete Court-house without considerable outlay.

No. 2,859.

FORWARDED to the Collector of Madura for information, in reference to his letter, No. 1,466, dated 1st August 1873.

MADURA,
6th October 1873.

(Signed) R. A. ROBERTS, Lt.-Col., R.E.,
District Engineer, Madura.

No. 2,860.

SAME to the District Judge of Madura, in reference to his letter, No. 229, dated 2nd August 1873.

MADURA,
6th October 1873.

(Signed) R. A. ROBERTS, Lt.-Col., R.E.,
District Engineer, Madura.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
7th November 1876. No. 2,938.

No. 120.

ORDER THEREON, 7th November 1876, No. 2,938.

CIVIL WORKS, No. 12-A.

(a) Heads of Service.—Provincial.
Fund.—Ordinary.
Class.—Original Works.
Main-head.—Civil Buildings.
Sub-head.—A, Judicial Department.
Section.—1, Court-houses.
Name of Work.—Sub-Magistrate's Court and Sub-
sidiary Jail at Madura.
Amount.—Rupees 15,180.
To be carried out by the Public Works Department.

In view of the many objections to locating the Sub-Magistrate's Offices in the Palace, the plan and estimate, amounting to Rupees 15,180, for constructing a Cutcherry and Subsidary Jail at Madura, are sanctioned.

2. Provision will be made in the Budget of 1877-78 for the commencement of this work.

3. This sanction has been registered as Provincial No. 180 W of 1876-77.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. W. WALKER, Major-General, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the District Engineer, Madura.
„ Examiner of Public Works Accounts.
Judicial Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter from

the Acting District Magistrate, Madura,
of 14th February 1876, No. 130.

With reference to G.O. No. 1621, dated 22nd July 1875, Judicial Department, I have the honor to submit for the Orders of Government plan and estimate for the construction of a subsidiary jail, Police Station, and Town Magistrate's Office at Madura, at a cost of Rupees 17,850...

2. I forward the above as they seem to be what Mr. MacLure wished, but I object to the plan for several reasons.

3. In the first place, I think it is a mistake that the Magistrate's Court should be repaired. I cannot lay my hands on the papers, but I have a distinct recollection of Government objecting once before to the office room

view of a convenient arrangement
being upstairs, on the ground floor
it was thus made much less acceptable
to the people than if on the
ground floor. In the present case
the same reason applies, but with
additional force from the
fact that access to the stairs is
through the Police Station. The fact
that will therefore be much more
likely to the chance of being interrupted
in their free access to the Magistrate
than in the case of the former
Magistrate's office. I have mentioned
and the working of the Police will
be almost certain to be interrupted into
the stairs themselves. I am sure
very inconveniently narrow as a means
of access to an office so much visited
that of the Lower Magistrate's
and. It also seems to me that
they are steeper than is desirable and
I think that they should terminate
on a spacious landing shut off by a
door from the Court Room, and into
which

66
which a window should open from
the Gymnasium room, so that per-
sons requiring copies or having to
pay in process service fees might
transact their business without in-
terrupting business in the Court
Room itself. At my suggestion the
District Engineer has provided such
a window, but it seems to me to open
on the stairs rather than on the
landing, such as it is, which is also
provided.

5. In such an office as this there
should I think be a waiting room for
Nakils and other respectable persons
attending the Magistrate's Court.
6. I observe that it is proposed
to build the building of brick plas-
tered with chunam. I beg to suggest
that coursed rubble stone work, as
used in nearly all the works lately
constructed by the Railway Com-
pany would look far better, would
be more durable, and, if care is ta-
ken in building, should not cost much
more.

more.

7. I have never yet seen a floor-
ing of square tiles laid well. I see
the District Engineer proposes to lay
the flooring. I believe this makes
square tiles more durable, but I must
say that such a flooring seems to
me most unsuitable to such a building
as this. I would suggest a cut stone
flooring which would never wear out
or require repair.

Order thereon, 29th February 1876, No. 377.

The Government do not
approve this plan, in which the Ma-
dura Sub Magistrate's Court is placed
in an upper story above the Police Office,
and are not aware that any standard
plan in accordance with it has ever
been sanctioned.

2. A revised plan and estimate, more
in accordance with the ap-
proved standard plans for

Taluk

76 3

Lalaj Cutcheries must be
put in publicity.

1876

True Extract

W. H. R. Norton
Chief Secretary.

The Public Works Department.

L. & 1876 Department

No. 1550A

Public Department

Dated 29th Feb 1876
Received 1st March 1876

To
Dated
Recd
187, No.

Govt. of 1873 Madras.

Recorded -
G.W.

No 119

No. 376

District Engineer's Office
Madras 27 April 1876

From
Lieut Colonel J. H. Fischer R.E.
District Engineer of Madras
To
Major-General G. W. Walker R.E.
Chief Engineer
D. P. W.
Madras.

Enclosures viz
2. Original letters

1. Site plan of the
Sub Magistrate's
Cutcherry & Subside-
ary Jail proposed
to be constructed
at Madras

1. Design of the
Sub Magistrate's
Cutcherry pre-
pared by the
Dist. Engr.

1. Sketch design
prepared by the
Collector.

1. Original letter
from the Dist. Engr.
to the Collr. No 186
d/13-4-76

1. Original letter
from the Collr.
to the Dist. Engr.
No 315 d/24-4-76

Sir,

With reference to your Memo-
randum No 528 under date the 22nd
ultimo and reminder thereon No 528 of
the 21st Instant, I have the honor to

forward for your information the corres-
pondence noted in the margin; & to state
that I am unable to obtain the Collector's

countersignature to the site plan of the
Sub Magistrate's Cutcherry & Subside-
ary Jail proposed to be constructed at

Madras.

2. The site plan is herewith for-
warded.

3. I have in my letters Nos 180 & 374
dated respectively 13 and 27 April 1876

explained the manner in which the Ag
Collector interferes with the members of this
Department and then accuses me now
of insulting him. I can only further
add that the original design for these
buildings was prepared by me after long
consideration and communication with
Mr. Mr. Laha and the Superintendent
of Police to suit the means we had avail-
able by the sale of the old jail building
Rs 15,000 and the only site we could find
without adding 10 or 12,000 Rs to the cost
of them. I have prepared a sketch design
in accordance with the orders of the
Government of the 29th February/76
No. 377 in the Judicial Department
and beg to submit the same together
with a sketch design proposed by the
Ag Collector but as neither of these will
fit the site which Mr. Pless considers
is suitable I must solicit further
orders and instructions; in my sketch
design I have adhered as closely as I
could to the Government Standard
design and I cannot conceive of any
better but I believe I could alter my

See G. O. No 43
dated 6th August
1875

Original design if returned to me. I
obviate some of the objections now raised.

I have the honor to be
Sir.

Your most Obedt Servt

W. T. Laha

District Engineer of
Madras

C. Engrs 52822/3/76 file

P. H. 1876 Department
No 3076

2/11/98/76
from 7-7-76

The District Engineer
Madras
S. P. D.
The Chief Engineer
Madras

Dated 27 April 76
Recd. 1.2 May 1876

Encl. 5
No. 376

Suburban Police Station
& Suburban Jail at
Madras - Submitting
for consideration &
orders certain papers
connected with the

121100

Letter No 376

Cir. 30.6.76

10/5 No 380

District Engineer's Office
Madras 29 April 76
from
Genl Colonel J. J. J. J.
District Engineer Madras

to
Major General G. W. Walker
Chief Engineer Government
P. N. D.

For further consideration
I regret to say I find that in
both the rough sketches for sub-
jail & sent to you yesterday
with this Office letter No 376 dated 27
April 76 2 clock - up for the Police have
been omitted, so that more space
will be required for these buildings
in the design ordered than the
site provides.

2) If a new site can be
found, and the conditions laid
down by the Government that the
sale of the old buildings is to provide
for the construction of the new ones
as much as possible, satisfied, the
orders to prepare the design in
accordance with the standard
design for butcheries as much as

Encl. 2. via letter from
The District Engineer
Madras to the Chief
Engineer P. N. D. No 376
4/18-9-76

possible can be easily attended to
but so far as I am aware this is
infractable

3 When Mr Mac Enhae
had this matter under his consideration
I pointed out to him that the
difficulty could be got over by
having an upper storey, and to this
he at once acceded, and I can see
no valid objections to the proposed
arrangements, none at least have
been communicated to this Office
the order for a change in the design
having been obtained without
reference to this Department and
hence loss of time and perhaps
increase of cost.

I have the honor to be
Your most Obedt Servt
Wm L. Tucker
District Engineer

W-11905

NO 11

letters from the Dist. Engr. Madras.
No 376 of 27th April
" 380 - 29th - "

List of frs. put up with Chos.
3135 + 3076 -
fr. 24 Nov/74 - No 175 ✓
6 Aug/75 - No 43 ✓
Int'l Dept. Chos. 433 of 1876 ✓
fr. 29 Feb/76 No 37 Int'l - ✓
O. Tr. 18 May/76 - No 1252 ✓

1030. 4-7-76

it of the
used sub.
sewing
to pilot
to site.

covered by
the Co.
no objects
it neither
red by
that planned
Engineer is
in extreme
planned
14 8 1/2 by

of 72 - one piece. Can show
that either of them might
be contained within the
space available - The Chief
Engineer

possible can be easily attended to
but so far as I am aware this is
impracticable

3 When Mr Mac Enchae
had this matter under his consideration
I pointed out to him that the
difficulty
having a
he at once
no valid
arrangement
been for
the order
having
reference
hence of
increase

96/9129/76

1896 Sept.
From No. 385

The District Engineer
Madura

To
Chief Engineer
P.W.D.
Madras

Dated 29 April/76
Recd 2 May/76

No 380

Subsidiary Dist & Sub.
Magistrate's office at
Madura - brings to
notice certain omission
in the -

1368

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Letters from the Dist. Engr. Madura
No 376 of 27th April
" 380 - 29th - "

MEMORANDUM

Memorandum No. 1030. 4.7.76

The subject of the
above is a proposed Sub-
Magistrate's Enclosure
and subordinate jail at
Madura, and its site.

The latter is approved by
the Collector; but the
District Engineer objects
that it will hold neither
building planned by
either of them. That planned
by the District Engineer is
12 1/2 feet by 10 3/2 in extreme
dimensions; that planned
by the Collector 14 8 1/2 by
5 5/2. The site plan shows
that either of them might
be contained within the
space available. The Chief
Engineer

Engineer has marked on it a quadrangle 175 feet by 140, within which either of them might be located. But on reference to the Revised Standard Plan of a 2nd Class Outcherry issued with G. O. No 642 of 13th March 1876, he finds 93 feet by 55 1/2 to be the extreme dimensions ^{allowed} within these are comprised

- 1. Court Room 25 x 16
- 1. Clerks " 12 x 16
- 1. Record " 12 x 16
- 1. Police " 22 1/2 x 16
- 2 Male Wards - 15 x 16 each
- 2 Female " 8 x 16 each

with a retiring room walled off from the verandah round the Outcherry, which 6 1/2 feet in width. ~~It would be~~ ~~easy to add 2 additional Male Wards~~ ~~the~~ ~~space available~~ making the extreme width 90 1/2 feet; also to add an Inspectors

2. The Chief Engineer is instructed to order the District Engineer of Madras to plan & estimate for two additional male wards in the space available.

This is what I think should be done. This has been much arranged. I think the Inspector had better have an order to that effect with a view to any further reference to the

1869
Inspectors room and waiting room each 12 by 16, ~~and~~ making the extreme length 120 feet as blocked out on the site plan. But the Collector wishes the Court and other rooms be enlarged by 50 per cent. This might possibly be arranged, but the design and details of the Standard plan would be entirely departed from; and the approval of Government ~~has to be obtained~~.

*
The Collector's further Chief Engineer D. P. M. requirements in the matter of enlarging the Court & other rooms to the extent of about 50 per cent. are considered inadvisable. ~~miserable~~

D. E. Madras
Copy to Collector

1031
Copy of above to the Collector. ~~After~~

the additional rooms
and increased size
of rooms are the
allotted.

Public Works Department
FOR ORDERS.

N^o 1030. -


Per. 7 November 1876-1196.

Mr. Major Genl. R.E.
Secretary to Government D.M.

Memo 1030 & 1031

List of papers sent to Madras
with this memo:

C.R. 3135.
" 3076. with its 5 enclos.



N^o 1196

146/1
The District Magistrate's Office
Madras
The District Engineer
Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to return
the Estimate received with your letter
No. 1448 dated 22nd July 1876, Enclosed
signed as requested.

2. I concur with you that the court
and Police accommodation is insufficient
that for the Jail will I think be found
practically enough.

3. I noticed that the Plan makes the
Jail the right, and the Court the
left wing of the building instead of
the reverse as requested in my letter
No. 528 dated 21st June 1876 and as
shown on the side Plan, I hope this will
be altered when the work is actually car-
ried out.

Pr. 551/25.

1 Signed / H. W. Bliss.

Sty. Dist. Magistrate.

1 June 1897

Wiederkehr St. Gallen

District Engineer of Madras.

20/5

District Commis^r Office
 Fort Snedden 21st July 1876.

Lieutenant Colonel J. H. Fisher R.E.
 District Engineer of Madras

Major General L. H. Walker Rb.
Secretary to Government
P. H. Q.
H. A. T. A. S.

Handwritten signature

Adverting to your Memorandum
No. 1022 dated 2nd July 1896 I have the
honor to submit for the sanction of
Government the accompanying plan and
estimate amounting to Rs. 15,200 for
constructing a Deputy Tahsildar's kutcherry
and subsidiary jail at Madurai.

I am of opinion that the accommodation now provided will be found to be insufficient for so large a city as Madras particularly for the Police, the prisoners and the Court, but I have attended strictly to the instructions of the Government.

The Jy. District Magistrate in his letter No. 819 dated 27th July 1876 copy of which is enclosed, agrees with me in thinking that the accommodation for the Court & Police is insufficient.



Encls. 4.
Estimate of
Rs. 15,280
Plain
List of Plain
Copy of District
Magistrate's
letter No 819
of the 27th July/76

Exch^g in a letter from the
Drill Engineer Incharge to
the duty to Genl. O. N. 2.

82-1586 d/31-7-70

Copy of Books
May 18 1866

May No. 635 I have the honor to inform you that the Town Magistrate's office is now held in a Munstapau adjoining the Collector's cutcherry.
2. There is nothing on record to shew how this building came into the possession of Government but it appears to have been used by the Collector for many years, at first as a record room and for about 12 years as a Magistrate's office. —

3. Deputasamy Saik as Manager of the Mennatchy Sundareshwara Devasthanam claims the building on the ground that it was originally built by one Ambalavana Pillay and belongs to the Temple but he appears to possess no information regarding the original history of the Munstapau in the list of Public buildings.

4. Unless the Devasthanam authorities make out a much stronger case than they have at present, I shall not recommend the surrender of the building. It is suggested that the Collector had charge of the Temples and took the building but many such buildings are used as public offices in different parts of the Country and they have probably been public offices since the beginning of the Century. The old Sub Collector's office at Caroor is an example & several old Taluk cutcherries & Munsiff's Courts.

I have &c
W. M. S. D. Sub
Dist. Magistrate

✓ District Engineer's office
No. 1763. Madras 26 July 1873.

From
The District Engineer
Madras

To
The Collector of
Madras

Sir, In reference to your letter No. 141, dated 28 May 1873 on the subject of the Munstapau in which the Town Magistrate's office is now held and which as you inform me is claimed by the Manager as the property of the Mennatchy Sundareshwara Devasthanam, I have the honor to suggest, with a view of carrying into effect the wishes of Government as expressed in G.O. 25 April 1873, No. 1194, that the Town Magistrate be located in the Octagon dome at present occupied as the Mahomedan School. —

2. This dome, as you are aware, is designated in the printed diagram as the Judges private room, but in as much as it is vastly in excess of the space required for the purpose, and as the access to this private room will be very inconvenient owing to the Court-dais arranged to be at the western end of the Bed Chamber Hall, & as, moreover, if used as the Town

Magistrate's Court, the dome and its entrance can be entirely separated from the rest of the Palace buildings, I do not see why the question of locating the Lower Magistrate in this dome is not deserving of consideration.

3. Of course an adequate private room for the Judge must be provided, but I apprehend no difficulty in Mr. Whistler's affording the same with far greater convenience to the Judge than the dome in question prevents.

4. It is probable that the Devasthanam authorities would be glad to purchase the Gorchien on the Minatapam by contributing to the expense of restoring the dome in question on the consideration that the Minatapam be handed over to them.

5. I would call your attention to this connection to my letter No. 977 dated 12 June 173 requesting the valuation of the Aemments that now diffuse the North and west faces of the Palace. I shall esteem it a great favor if you can let me have their valuation at an early date, if not for all the buildings at all events for those situated between the dome under reference and the Labrine or its eastern flank.

6. In conclusion I have to inform you that my attention has been called

by the Sub-Magistrate to the general stuffiness and unhealthiness for want of ventilation, of his present office. I have prepared an estimate amounting to Rs. 424 for doing what is necessary to remedy the defects brought to notice, but of course, before forwarding this estimate for your approval I am anxious to have the question of the location of the Lower Magistrate's office definitively settled.

I shall send a copy of this letter to the District Judge with a request that he will favor me with the further expression of his views on this subject.

I have &c
100. R. A. Roberts. Little Cal
Rb

District Engineer

District Engineer's office
Madura 26 July 173.

No. 1764.

From The District Engineer,
Madura

To The District Judge,
Madura.

Sir,

In forwarding you a copy of a letter No. 1763 which I have this day addressed to the Collector of Madura on the subject of transferring the office of the Lower

Magistrate to the dome now used as the Mahomedan school I have the honor to request the favor of your informing me whether you have any objection to offer to the proposal provided always that Mr. Chisholm can furnish you with other accommodation suitable in all respects for your private room.

I have &c

1st. R. A. Roberts. Lieut Col R. A.

Distt Engrs

Madura Distt Court.

2^d August 1873.

No 229
1873

From

The Distt Judge of
Madura

To,

The Distt Engrs
Madura

Sir,

With reference to your letter of the 26th ult. No. 1764 I have the honor to inform you that, subject of course to suitable accommodation being provided elsewhere, I have no other objection to your proposal to allot the octagonal dome to the down. Sub Magistrate than the general objection which I have already urged to so many noisy & crowded courts being placed so near together, at the same time I beg to

remind you that I have already given up about half of the space formerly allotted for the Distt & Session Court, and that, when I did so, I had noticed that the premises occupied by the Mahomedan school were in excess of what was necessary for my private room and intended that the extra space should otherwise be made use of. If that is now taken away from me I shall require space elsewhere, not only for my private room, but also for the other offices which I contemplated locating there, and I do not think that this can be found so readily as you imagine. I very strongly prefer having the octagonal dome for my private room as originally proposed.

I have &c

1st. J. P. Hutchins.

Distt Judge

Distt Engrs office

Madura 3^d August 1873.

No. 1935.

From

The Distt Engrs of
Madura

To,

The Consulting Architect to Govt.
Madras.

Sir,

Referring to para 6 of G. O. No. 1174 dated 25th April 1873, relative to the provision of accommodation for certain offices in the Palace, I have the honor to forward

Encls: 4.

1. copy of letter to the Collector & the Engrs

No. 1763 & 1764 of 26th July 1873.

1. copy of Collector's reply No. 1466 of 12th August 1873.

the correspondence noted in the margin,
and to request the favor of your opinion
regarding the subject in question.

1. copy of the letter
reply No. 229 dated
2 August 173.
1. copy of Collector's
letter No. 141 dated
28 May 173.

I have &c
Signed R. A. Roberts Esq. Col. R. E.
District Engineer.

Madura Collector's office
(Camp) Diindijil 12 August 173.

No. 1466.

From

The Collector of
Madura.

To
The District Engineer
of Madura.

Sir,

In reply to your letter dated 26
ultimo No. 1763, I have the honor to state
that I see no objection to your proposal
to locate the Sub Magistrate's office in the
Octagon dome referred to if the Judge consents
to that arrangement.

I do not know whether the
Devastanum authorities will consent to pay
any thing for the Muntapane alluded to
in para 4 but as the title of Government
to the building is good perhaps they may
be induced to do so.

I have &c
Yd. N. Mc. Lihel
Collector.

82

No. 518.

From

The Consulting Architect to Govt
Madras.

To
The District Engineer of
Madura.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 1935
dated the 15 ultimo, I have the honor to
state after an inspection of the Muntapane
used as a Town Magistrate's Court house,
that I think it preferable to repair the
Muntapane than to bring the Court into
the Octagon dome of the Palace. The modifi-
cations you proposing making to the Muntapane
will greatly improve its appearance
and the accommodation it affords and if
at any time the Devastanum authorities made
good their claim the alternative of transferring
the office to the Palace would always be open.

The Octagon Dome could not be
made into a complete Court House without
considerable outlay.

I have &c
Signed R. F. Chisholm
Consulting Architect to Govt

No. 2859.

(True copy) Forwarded to the

Collector of Madras, for information,
in reference to his letter no. 146 dated
18th August 1873.

P.W.D.
23 OCT 73
7018

Madras } 1st. P. A. Roberts. Lutt. Coll. Ab.
6th October 73 } District Engineer

no 2860.

Same to the District Judge of
Madras in reference to his letter no. 229
dated 2nd August 1873.

Madras } 1st. P. A. Roberts. Lutt. Coll. Ab.
6th October 73 } District Engineer

(True Copies)

Write to Mr. Keitt. Coll. Ab.
District Engineer of Madras

Copy of correspondence

Enclosure 1. in a letter
from the District Engg.
Madras to the Chief
Engineer P. W. D. Madras
dated 18th October 73.

10/5 No 2645

District Engineer's office
Madras 18th October 1873

From
Lieut. Col. J. L. Fisher. R.E.
District Engineer, Madras
Major General. G. H. Walker. R.E.
Chief Engineer. D. P. W.
Madras.

With reference to your Memorandum
Enclosure: viz:
1. Copy of correspondence no. 1485 under date the 5th instant. I have the
honor to state that the Sub-magistrate at
Madras is at present accommodated in the
Muntrapuram referred to in para 3 of the District
Magistrate's letter no. 311 of the 9th October 1874
printed with G.O. in the Judicial Department
no. 1996 of the 29th Idem. and not in the old
year.
I beg to enclose for your infor-
mation the correspondence noted in the
margin regarding the question contained in
para 6 of G.O. 25 April 1873
1. From the Dist. Engg. to the Dist. Magistrate
no. 635 d/17 May 1873. I am not aware
2. From the Dist. Mag. to the Dist. Engg. no. 1194 & I am not aware
3. " Dist. Engg. to the Collector of Madras why Col. Roberts R.E.
no. 1763 d/26 July 1873. Took no further notice
4. " " to the Dist. Engg. Madras no. 1764 d/26 July 1873
5. From the Dist. Judge to Dist. Engg. no. 229
6. " Dist. Mag. to the Dist. Engg. no. 1444
7. " Dist. Engg. to the Cong. no. 1444
all this had taken place
G.O. no. 1935 of 8th Aug. 1873.

Col 8. From the Consy. Architect to go
 to the Dist. Eng. No. 518
 in 9. sent from the Dist. Eng. to
 182 the Dist. Mag. No. 2859
 Cong copy of the above letter
 10. to the Dist. Eng. No. 10-73
 6.

were taken to prepare the necessary Plans and
 estimate for a sub Magistrate's Cutcherry, sub-
 sidiary Jail and Police station at Madurai
 and these have undergone several revision
 owing to the difference of opinion as to
 the extent of accommodation to be provided
 for the same and finally the question was
 settled by your Memorandum of the 4. July 176
 No. 1030.

The proposal in my letter of the
 19. September 176, No. 2267 is the same as that
 made by the District Magistrate in para 3
 of his letter printed in G.O. of the 22. July 175
 No. 1621 and the Government did not raise
 any objections to the proposal as the arrange-
 ment well suited the requirements of
 the Municipality and I did not therefore
 consider it necessary to trouble them further
 in the matter.

5. I will send the detailed and
 Block Plans called for by you as soon
 as I can get them prepared by the

Range officer Madurai. — 24/6 14
 I have the honor to be
 Sir
 Your most obedt servt
 West to the Mad. Coll. R.
 District Engineer Madurai

attention to which ap-
 pears to have been
 paid either by the Dis-
 trict Engineer or
 Consulting Architect.
 M. M.
 6.10.

P.W.D.
280CE76
No 7618

+ 1566a/sips.

Letter from D.D. Secy. of
Madras, with Plan &
Estimate for a Sub-
Magistrate's Court at
Madras -

Submitted for orders,

I think in accordance with reference to para.
6. of S.O. No. 1194 of
25th April 1872; no
attention to which ap-
pears to have been
paid either by the D.D.
District Engineer or
Consulting Architect
at Madras.

MM
6.10.

See for correspondence forwarded
with this plan, and estimate
dated 22nd 1872.

No 1194

From
The District Engineer
Madras
P.W.D.
Madras
Dated 18th October 1875
Recd. 25th 1875
No. 2645
The District Engineer
P.W.D.
Madras
I am duly informed
of the plan & estimate
submitted to the
District Engineer
for the purpose of
constructing a Sub-
Magistrate's Court
at Madras.

Cir 30-10-76
No 1030

4. The proposal in my letter of the
19th September 1876, No. 2267 is the same as that
made by the District Magistrate in para. 3
of his letter printed in G.O. of the 22nd July 1875
No. 1621 and the Government did not raise
any objections to the proposal as the arrange-
ment well suited the requirements of
the Municipality and I did not therefore
consider it necessary to trouble them further
in the matter.

5. I will send the detailed and
Block plans called for by you as soon
as I can get them prepared by the

266

Sub Magistrate Cutcherry - Madura

July. O. N. 1194 of 25th April 1873

Para. 6 it is stated that before
passing orders as to the loca-
tion of the Sub-Magistrate
within Princes' Palace

with the other public buildings

The Govt. desire to be informed

how the Sub-Magistrate is

at present accommodated.

And that it was undesirable to

incur the expense of an en-
tirely new building. The

Govt. Architect should con-
sider the possibility of pro-
viding satisfactory ac-
commodation within the

Palace -

2. In his Memo. N. 634 of 2nd

October 1876, the Govt. Architect

states that the above quoted

para. "does not appear to

have been replied to" -

3. From correspondence for-
warded with letter from the

Madura District Engineer

21. 2645 of 18th October, 1876
it appears that L^{td}. Roberts
considered with the Ma-
gistrate and Judge of the
District between May and
October, 1873, and in
with communicating to them
letter from the Govt. Architect,
wherein he considered it
preferable that the Ma-
gistrate in which the Sub-
Magistrate held his court
should be repaired and
improved, than that it
should be brought to
the Palace.

It was in forgetfulness
of the inquiries ordered in
the P. W. Dept. that in para
3. of J. O. Order No. 1996 of
28th October 1874 it is stated
to be "obvious that, sooner
or later, a new Sub. Magis-
trate's Cutcherry will be
required at Madras -"
But Plans and Estimates
for the same having now

276
been drawn up in confor-
mity with Standard Plans
the amount of these latter
viz. Rs. 15180 may be passed
if Mr. Nicholson's opinion
quoted in para 3. is ac-
cepted.

C. M. Walker, Magistrate
Secy to Govt. P. W. D.

27.10.76.

There seem to be so many objections to locating the L.M.S. office in the palace that I advise a separate building being constructed I would sanction the estimate

P.H.C.
1st/11/76.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

UNDER date the

Read the following:—

Propo: Judicial Dept. - (H.O. No. 377d/29 July 1876)
Read also

From District Muz. Madras - (H.O. No. 376d/27 April ")
do do do (H.O. No. 380 " 29 " ")
Chief Inspectors Muz. - (H.O. No. 1000 " 17 July ")
From District Muz. Madras - (H.O. No. 1566 " 31st " ")
do do do (H.O. No. 2645 " 18th Oct ")

In view of the many objections to ^{locating} the District Muz. in the Palace, the plan and estimate amounting to Rs 15,000, for constructing a Pukhary and building Jail at Madras, are sanctioned.

2. Provision will be made in the Budget of 1877-78 for the same.

Commencement of the
work;

Q.M.

This sanction has been
registered as Provincial
No. 180 N. of 1876-77.

(a) Heads of Service— Provincial

Fund. ordinary

Class. Regular Work

Main-head. Civil Buildings

Sub-head. A. Judicial Department.

Section. I. Court houses

Name of Work. Sub-Magistrates' Catechery and
subsidiary jail at Madurai

Amount. Rs. 15,180

To be carried out by the *P. M.* Department.

Print in the margin of G.O. No. 2988 of 7-11-76-

Commencement of the
work:

AMW

This sanction has been
registered as Provincial
No 180 N. of 1876-77

321

For ~~the~~ *Order*

MEMORANDUM.

REQUESTED for reference the under-mentioned papers:—

Reply to para 6 of G.O. No. 1194 of 25th
April 1903.

No 636. This plan does not appear to have been applied to
the Magistrate's & Judge's objections to the location of the
Sub Magistrate's Court in the Palace and the Court
not shown in the first plan subsequent to the
objections.

GOVERNMENT OFFICE,
Public Works Department,
2-10-1876.

R. Krishna
3.10.7
Registrar.

To

The Registrar of the Head Clerk of the Office of the
Consulting Engineer

30-8-12

11-9-12

5551
15509
7618
3158
Govt. of 1876 Madras

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

The H. E. Madras

Dated

2 Oms sent
with C No 88

Issued

30-11-7

Recd

Encl.

G. O., 7th Nov 1876, No.

2988

Nos. 119/20

K.R.
Est. Proprietary Cutcherry
and Subsidiary Jail at
Madras - Estimate of
Rs. 15,180 for constructing
- sanctioned

Est. in P. O.
21-11-76