

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	2691	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	5-10	4876 -195-

Not to be folded or roughly handled

5

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
5th October 1876. No. 2,692.*

No. 92. Read the following endorsement of the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated Ootacamund, 27th September 1876, No 202 W :—

From Colonel F. J. MOBERLY, R.E., Superintending Engineer, Chingleput, to Lieutenant-Colonel J. MULLINS, R.E., Chief Engineer for Irrigation, dated St. Thomas' Mount, 12th September 1876, No. 2,059.

I HAVE the honor to forward the comparative statement of Chembrumbaukum Tank Project called for in your memorandum No. 18 C.N., dated 24th March 1876.

—

Submitted for the information of Government.

2. The Superintending Engineer's explanations of difference between the sanctioned and revised estimate of the cost of the Chembrumbaukum Tank Project are given in the comparative statement. Some of the explanations are not full as they should be, and in the case of N. Tanks and Reservoirs, main bank, out of an excess of Rupees 2,499-6-0 shown only Rupees 593-10-0 are explained in the remarks.

3. No estimates or information in support of the entries for additional works which have not been approved by Government have been submitted.

4. The classification is in some cases doubtful, and it is difficult to say in some instances what classification would approach most nearly to the orders. It is impracticable to make works of this kind agree entirely with the arrangements prescribed for totally different works.

5. The total of the revised estimate is Rupees 5,49,440 against Rupees 4,85,000 sanctioned, and the revised estimate does not include land compensation still to be paid as there is no reliable information as yet, as Government are aware, as to the amount to be paid.

Of the excess Rupees 20,613 are due to compensation, but of the total expenditure it is probable that a part will be recovered by the resale of lands or that some of the land will yield revenue.

Another item of excess is Rupees 38,623 for establishment. This being an indirect charge the explanation is that the general cost of establishments has involved this debit. The Superintending Engineer should have forwarded a statement obtained from the Examiner of Public Works Accounts in support of the entry under this head. It will be seen from these remarks that the excess outlay on works has been and will be but small.

(Signed) JOHN MULLINS, Lt-Col., R.E.,
Chief Engineer for Irrigation.

No. 93. ORDER THEREON, 5th October 1876, No. 2,691.

IRRIGATION No. 12.

Account.

ORDERED that in anticipation of the completion of the estimate for land compensation, a copy of these Proceedings be forwarded for the information of the Govern-

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
5th October 1876. No. 2,692.

ment of India with reference to Colonel Dickens' letter, No. 356 A.I., dated 25th
October 1875.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) G. W. WALKER, Major-General, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

No. 94.

(DOCKET No. 2,692, dated 5th October 1876.)

COPY of the above forwarded to the Government of India.

(True Extract.)

Major-General, R.E.,
Secretary to Government.

From S. E. Chingleput, No 2059 d/12 Sept/76
No 202-W.

Submitted for the information
of Government.

enclos 2

2. The Superintending Engineer's
explanations of difference between
the sanctioned and revised estimate
of the cost of the Chembambankam
Tank project are given in the Com-
parative Statement. Some of the
explanations are not as full as they
should be, and in the case of N.
Tanks and Reservoirs, main bank,
out of an excess of Rupees 2499-6-0
shown only Rupees 593-10-0 are ex-
plained in the remarks.

3. No estimates or information
in support of the entries for
additional works which have
not been approved by Government
have been submitted.

4. The classification is in some
cases doubtful, and it is difficult
to say in some instances what
classification would approach most

nearly to the orders. It is impracticable to make works of this kind agree entirely with the arrangements prescribed for totally different works.

5. The total of the revised estimate is Rupees 5,49,440 against Rupees 4,85,000 sanctioned, and the revised estimate does not include land compensation still to be paid as there is no reliable information as yet, as the Government are aware, as to the amount to be paid.

Of the excess Rupees 20,613 are due to compensation but of the total expenditure it is probable that a part will be recovered by the sale of lands or that some of the land will yield revenue.

Another item of excess is Rupees 38,623 for Establishment. This being an indirect charge, the explanation is that the general cost of establish-

ments has involved this debit.

The Superintending Engineer should have forwarded a statement obtained from the Examiner of P.W.D. in support of the entry under this head. It will be seen from these remarks that the excess outlay on works has been and will be but small.

Attest
27th Sept. 1873.

Wm. Lumsden
Chief Engineer for Sarg.

7205

№ 2691

Public Works Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

0 UNDER date the 5th Decr 1846

Read the following:—

Endorsement of the Chgo. for Surgⁿ G. H. 20202 W of 27th Sept: 1876

Ordered that in anticipation of the completion of the estimate for land compensation, a copy of these Proceedings be forwarded for the information of the Government of India with reference to Colonel Dickens' letter No. 356 A.I dated 25th October 1875.

May 29/97

Packet No. 2692 of 5th Oct/76.

Copy of the above for-
warded to the Govt of India.

Chang ipat, ✓ with 2 Enclos-
ures ✓ + ✓ dispd - 3-11-70
P. L. R. ✓

Dated 27 Sept 76

Exhib 2

h. 202 - W.

Chembambakam Tank
Project - Submits comparative
Statement explaining difference
between the sanctioned & revised
estimate of the cost of the —.

1292/3

7205 (1192-

Govt. of 1876 Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS.

To

The Sup^r Eng^r Cheyloput

of India.

Dated

Issued

Recd

Encl.

G. O. 5th Feb^r 1876, No. 2691

Hos. 12/2

Sup^r Eng^r
4-11-76

Forwarding copy of
Proceedings in relation
with of the Sup^r Eng^r for
sup^r submitting com
parative statement
explaining difference
between the sanctioning
of the estimate
of the cost of the Chen
baram backham Tank
Project.

Chenbaram backham Tank
Project - Chingleput

Endowment of the Sup^r
Eng^r for sup^r submitting com
parative statement explain
ing the difference between
the sanctioning of and re
view of estimate of the cost
of the — order of the
forwarding of the cost of