

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

Government of Madras

Public Works

DEPARTMENT

G.O. No.	2550	Press
B.P. No.		Mis.
Dated	22 - 9 -	4876 -195-

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Public Works Department,
22nd September 1876. No. 2,550.*

No. 398 Read the following letter from Major H R MEAD, R.E, District Engineer, South Arcot, to Major-General G W WALKER, R E, Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Camp at Cuddalore, 14th September 1876, No 113 —

I REGRET to have to report that the law suit (that Government in G O, No 927, dated 7th April 1876, said might be defended) brought by Chinnasawmy Naidu, Contractor of the Oopalavady channel work, has been decided in the District Munsif's Court in the plaintiff's favor as sued for Rupees 1,288-13-5, with regard to costs, it is decreed that the 1st defendant, Mr Wiffin, must pay the costs of the 3rd defendant, mine (District Engineer), and the costs of the plaintiff.

2 I regret that as yet I can give no further information, as I have not a copy of the judgment or decree, but they have been applied for, and on their receipt, I will send copies to Government, and also report whether I consider it is advisable to appeal to the District Court As far as I can judge from the evidence, without seeing the judgment, I think it will be advisable; the appeal, if made, will have to be made before the 6th October 1876.

No. 399 ORDER THEREON, 22nd September 1876, No. 2,550.

ESTABLISHMENT, No 28-A.

NOTICE of appeal may be given pending the submission of information on which Government may be able to decide whether the case should be gone on with.

(True Extract.)

Colonel,
Offg. Under Secy to Govt, P.W.D.

To the District Engineer, South Arcot

D P W
South Arcot

No 113

DISTRICT ENGINEER'S OFFICE

Camp

Dated

14th September 1876

From

The District Engineer,

South Arcot

To

The

Secretary to Govt
D P W

Enclosures

Sir

I regret to have to report that the Law Suit in (that Govt in G O No 927 dated 7th April 1876 said might be defended) brought by Chinnaasamy Naidu Contractor of the Copalavady Channel work has been decided in the District Munsiff Court in the Plaintiff's favour as sued for Rs 1288-13-5; with regard to costs it is decreed that the 1st Defendant Mr. Wiffin must pay the costs of the 3rd Defendant mune (District Eng) and the costs of the Plaintiff.

2. I regret that as yet I can give no further information as I have not a copy of the judgement or decree, but they have been applied for and on their receipt I will send copies to Government and also report whether I consider it is advisable to appeal to the District Court, as far as I can judge from the evidence without seeing the judgement, I think it will be advisable, the appeal, if made, will have to be made before the 6th October 1876.

I have the honor to be Sir

Your most obedt servt

M. M. D. Major R. E.
District Engineer

507-4-76 no 927.

P.W.D.

13 SEP 76

No 17014

No 2550 of 22 Sept 76.
Notice about may be given
pending the submission of
information on which Govern-
ment may be able to decide
whether the case should
be given with

by 1879

No 398/99
Cir. 19.9.76
Desp to 16-9-76

S.R. S. Arch
m s desp 22/9

SOUTH ARCOT D P W

From

The District Engineer

South Arcot

To

The

Major R. E. D. M.

Dated

Despatched

Received

No 113

ENCLOSURE

Reporting that the
and filed by the Govt
Secretary, Chennai
Comptroller has been
decided in his favor
as stated per No 1133-
13-5-76 that further
the report will be made
upon the subject

670422

Gobt. of 1876, Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS.

To

Mr R. G. South Street

Dated

Issued

Recd

Encl

G O, 22nd 4/1876, No. 1850

Nos. 398/99

Butt by 2/10-99

Suit in connection with the
Popalawady Channel Works
South Street S. Notice of
appeal against the decree in
the — to be given, pending
the submission of information
in which. Govt may be able
to decide whether the case
should be gone on with.

27/11/99

S.T.